

25789

109

REFG 16 AUG 12

EAST AFR. PROT.  
25789

Agents

Date.  
1912

August

Previous Paper.

22158

PASSAGES

Explains action in regard to the proposed payment of 1978 to Messrs C. H. F. Ploeman and G. M. Castle-Smith. Being the difference in cost of a passage via Marseilles and one via London.

W. Reed

In view of our letter of the 8th of March the Co. were perhaps, I think, in asking the Passages to pay off each to their own officers, but as the general question I think that the Company is right. Other wise, as he points out, we should have to pay our full share to openi handling intermediate as the case. I do not think that we need pay much attention to the last sentence

11/11/12

Subsequent Paper

of the Cas' letter - it is impossible to  
avoid all risks of the sent

? Inform the Ch. that, after further  
consideration, the C.P.S. is unable to approve  
the payment to officers handling no being  
off by the all sea route of the difference  
between the cost of a ~~ticket by the sent~~  
passage via Marseille  
and that of a passage by the all sea route  
~~and that of a sailing ticket via Marseille~~

Send back copy of com. letter

Ch. including this note to the info of

M.B. 14. VII.

at once,

H. J. A.

21/8

M  
Passage 319/320/1912

ALL COMMUNICATIONS  
TO BE ADDRESSED TO THE  
CROWN AGENTS FOR THE COLONIES,  
THE ABOVE REFERENCE AND THE  
DATE OF THIS LETTER BEING QUOTED.

TELEGRAMS, "CROWN, LONDON."  
TELEPHONE 1632 VICTORIA.

C. O.  
25789  
LCP  
REGD 16 AUG 12

237

*22*

WHITEHALL GARDENS,  
LONDON, S. W.

15th August 1912

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 22158/12 of the 25th of July enclosing for our observations a copy of a despatch from the Officer Administering the Government of the East Africa Protectorate with reference to our communication of the 3rd of May to the Treasurer on the subject of the proposed payment of 19/8d each to Messrs C.H.F. Plowman and G.M. Castle-Smith. We have not seen the Secretary of State's despatch No 139 of the 8th of March to which reference is made in the despatch but from your letter to us No 4101 E.A. of the same date we understood the principle to be that when officials on first appointment proceeded to East Africa by a route other than that overland via Marseilles the Secretary of State approved of our paying to them the difference between the usual cost of the passage and the sum actually paid to the Company.

*130*  
*7409*  
*2*

2. In that letter we were authorised to provide a passage for Mr A. Stuart from Marseilles only and to pay to him the amount which would otherwise be

Under Secretary of State  
&c &c &c

Colonial Office

be expended on his rail ticket. We regret if we were wrong in giving to the latter the interpretation stated above, but, with reference to the Acting Governor's remarks it may be pointed out that there is nothing in such a case as that of Mr Stuart to prevent the officer from making a profit on the transaction either by arranging with the Shipping Company direct to make his ticket available from London by the sea route at a small extra cost or by proceeding to Marseilles overland second class instead of first class.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,



for Crown Agents

Message 319/320/1912

is expended on his rail ticket. We regret if we were wrong in giving to the latter the interpretation stated above, but, with reference to the Acting Governor's remarks it may be pointed out that there is nothing in such a case as that of Mr Stuart to prevent the Officer from making a profit on the ticket.

15th August 1912

Sir,

either by arranging with the Shipping Company Direct

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 22168/12 of the 25th of July enclosing for our observations a copy of a despatch from the Officer Administering the Government

of the East Africa Protectorate with reference to our communication of the 3rd of May to the Treasurer on the subject of the proposed payment of 19/8d each to Messrs C.R.F. Flowman and G.H. Castle-Smith. We have not seen the Secretary of State's despatch No 139 of the 8th of March to which reference is made in the despatch but from your letter to us No 4101 E. of the same date we understood the principle to be that when officials on first appointment proceeded to East Africa by a route other than that overland via Marseilles the Secretary of State approved of our paying to them the difference between the usual cost of the passage and the sum actually paid to the Company.

2. In that letter we were authorised to provide a passage for Mr A. Stuart from Marseilles only and to pay to him the amount which would otherwise

be

The Under Secretary of State

&c &c &c

Colonial Office

be expended on his rail ticket. We regret if we were wrong in giving to the latter the interpretation stated above, but, with reference to the Acting Governor's remarks it may be pointed out that there is nothing in such a case as that of Mr Stuart to prevent the officer from making a profit on the transaction either by arranging with the Shipping Company direct to make his ticket available from London by the sea route at a small extra cost or by proceeding to Marseilles overland second class instead of first class.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

*(Sd) P. Ezechiel.*

for Crown Agents.

Ca 25789.12

G. D.  
R. 21 AUG  
D.

~~11~~ 3 23 Aug 1912

Gentl,  
I am to ack the receipt  
of your Lt. Pass<sup>m</sup> 38/320/1912 +  
to inform you that, after further  
consider, he is unable to  
approve the payment to Colonial  
Office travelling via Suez by  
the all-sea route of the diff<sup>e</sup>  
between the cost of a passage  
via Marseilles & that of a  
passage by the all sea route

DRAFT.

Ca.

MINUTE.

- Mr. Banning <sup>21</sup>/<sub>8</sub>
- Mr. Read <sup>21</sup>/<sub>8</sub>
- Sir G. Fiddes.
- Sir H. Just.
- Sir J. Anderson.
- Lord Emmott.
- Mr. Harcourt.

See  
all  
7/8

Cop for 520. 23 Aug 12

Jamfc.