

385

EAST AFR. PROT  
2871

C.O.  
2876  
REGD 25 JAN 13

for Belfield Conf

1913

2 Jan

Last previous paper

Conf Reports on Officers

Send reports on 178 Officers. Labour  
proposes to compare future Annual  
Head of Dept. Principal Post & other  
Officers with special claims to promotion Requests  
to new

to B. Stomley

Nov 9. 1913

Col Reg 36 as to Conf reports. The Gov will make  
a conf report on the qualifications  
of persons in the public service who apply or are  
pitted for promotion otherwise than their own merits  
whether in the Colony or elsewhere

This has been modified by a series of Circulars  
(which I have put up), just the most important  
appear to be that of 1899 (ref to in the last para 2)  
In case of the 1899 Circular it was stated in the  
obvious that the Reports to include not only those officers  
who may have applied for promotion, but that it should  
include all those qualifications a standing, little  
them to look for promotion beyond the limits of the  
They may be at the time serving.  
The circular Col Reg 36 which speaks of promotion  
in the Colony and also the 1897 Circular which  
mainly lays special emphasis on the case of officers  
who may expect promotion outside the Colony.

It is not clear

Shaw (conf)  
to Wilson (Conf)  
to Wilson (Conf)  
copy to B. Stomley 24 MAR 1914

Next subsequent Paper

for 508  
43921/33

where Mr. Bedford's predecessor desired his system  
of reporting on all officers of the rank of District  
Commissioner (see para 1 of the draft) and it  
contains mistakes resulting in a very large number  
of reports (1781) The only thing to be said for  
it is its definiteness - reports cannot be  
used through favoritism etc and we know  
nothing to expect.

Gov. proposes to follow the 1899 Circular  
is less definite, and only to include  
who may reasonably look for  
promotion outside the Colony. (V B the act  
in 1908 para 6 follows this principle)

I approve the Gov's proposal  
Reports to be Best

Mr. Mackenzie

The Governor's proposal is, I think  
quite sufficient for the purpose of considering  
men for promotion or transfer. As regards  
local promotion, we may perhaps have better  
disposition when the Gov. recommends someone  
for promotion out of town, have a little less  
info from the Capt's reports, but we can in  
such cases refer to the particular  
despatch for full details of the merits of  
the man concerned. I approve

W.B.

Mr. Burt Sir G. Fiddes

There is a good deal of divergence of opinion  
between the various geographical desks as to the  
extent to which Conf. Reports are necessary.  
See e.g. N. Hig 6782, on which the Gov. was  
able to furnish reports on all officers serving in the Protectorate.

Without going quite so far as that, I do think we ought to  
have a liberal batch of reports from each (only every year)  
we shall from time to time find - in connection with vacancies  
which we are asked to fill - that we have no adequate  
knowledge as to the merit of officers who appear to have  
had the right sort of training & experience. This is more  
particularly the case in connection with technical vacancies.  
I don't regard the present batch as at all excessive for  
a large Protectorate such as the E.A.P.  
I should be pleased to hear that the Reports are of the  
kind required; that reports on Dist. Officers (Commissioners & other  
junior officers especially those holding technical appointments  
often useful & that it is undesirable to reduce their  
number.

A

Yes, the Gov.  
is right to be  
satisfied with  
the report.

Turning to the present reports -  
The Gov. speaks highly of Mr. Gwynne's services (My impression  
is that the Dept. has not been wholly satisfied)  
Bentley & Bonham Carter get good reports so does the A. & M. (Combr.)  
The report on Mr. K. K. Stewart is again very excellent.  
The report on Captain Edwards is not eating praise. Very good  
you may hear upon what matters he views are peculiar.  
The C. J. in Auckland is somewhat unfavorably reported in  
a good report to Dr. H. H. H.  
Mr. Atkey C.M.G. - Senior Prov. Commr. - gets an excellent report.  
So this Officer in the remaining four smaller Governorships.  
Mr. Major is a good Customs Officer - but his services yield,

has done excellent  
R. but he is not  
the same as the  
others.



6) Personal character & generally  
any details calculated to assist  
in forming an opinion as to  
the officer's fitness for promotion  
to a senior app't or for a  
sanitary app't. I see report in  
Cof 7921/10 was by Lieut. of  
A.M. & S. Co. for Insp. April 7

we must send the same  
to forward to Naga island  
as to my reports to Comdant  
can be omitted. I think in the  
Special Circ. 7 - + in the case  
of any special cases - the  
containing + such use the old  
Upwards, Naga island, Comdant  
that they be sent under  
I said in the report  
reports in the Comdant  
decided that reports should be  
limited to officers whose qualifications  
standing up to the term to work  
for promotion beyond the  
in who they are serving, as reports

For approval  
of all accounts  
MS

injurious officers, especially those  
holding ceremonial app'ts, are  
useful - that in future S.P.S. will  
be glad to receive reports on  
all ~~officers~~ officers (including  
Apost. & Comdant) +  
Officers in the ranks corresponding  
in rank to the most junior officers.

Jague  
Only I think I should be careful toward the desk  
concerns the Mo's so as to make the Gen understand  
that it will be the normal, & not the exceptional  
thing for him to express his opinion as well as the Mo's  
Macao, I should add to the detailed heads of report  
a question as to aptitude for research & orig  
contributions to mind of suitable for publications  
during the year, which has somehow dropped out  
of the W.A. categories, except in 1 case.

Richard G. Fuller

I hope the following reports  
on all points MS. 17

Jague  
MS. 17

MS. 17

7  
C. O.  
2876  
REC-25 JAN 13

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,  
NAIROBI,  
BRITISH EAST AFRICA

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE

CONFIDENTIAL No. 1

2nd January 1913.

Sir,

In transmitting herewith (in duplicate) the Confidential Reports on the European Staff of this Protectorate for the year 1912 - <sup>178</sup>~~199~~ in number - I have the honour to inform you that I have followed the procedure adopted by my predecessor and have reported on all officers of the rank of District Commissioner or of corresponding rank in other Departments besides including certain more junior officers.

2. It appears to me, however, that it is unnecessary to deal with the claims of so large a number of officers. I gather from the second paragraph of Mr Chamberlain's circular of the 16th of August 1899 that confidential reports are required only in the case of those whose qualifications and standing entitle them to look for promotion beyond the limits of the Colony in which they may be serving at the time. I scarcely think that officers of comparatively short service and average merit should be placed on the list of those on whom these reports are to be submitted and it is, further, possible that the inclusion of a large number of names may  
obscure

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE  
LEWIS HARCOURT, P.C., M.P.,  
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,  
DOWNING STREET, LONDON, S.W.

2.

obscure the claims of those who are specially deserving of mention. I am, therefore, of the opinion that the list should be limited to those officers who have displayed exceptional capabilities or whose promotion locally is blocked through want of vacancies in a superior grade.

3. With regard to junior officers in Departments, and Assistant District Commissioners who can hope for promotion either in the natural course of events or, if of special merit, by selection, it would appear unnecessary to furnish annual confidential reports. I do not consider that such officers can be included in the category of those whose "qualifications and standing" entitle them to look for promotion outside the Protectorate, provided that the local service presents reasonable probabilities of advancement.

4. I would therefore propose in future years to confine the annual reports to Heads of Departments, Principal Assistants in Departments and other senior officers whose claims for consideration I desire to bring specially to your notice and to refer only to more junior officers if there appears to be inadequate prospect for their promotion locally.

5. I should be glad to be informed whether the procedure suggested by me may be adopted in future years.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your humble, obedient servant,

*Herbert B. ...*

GOVERNOR.

Sr Messrs  
4 Feb 1913

3

Sr

I have etc to ackn the  
receipt of your <sup>conf</sup> disp of the

12076 - 4 MAR 1914

2<sup>nd</sup> of January, regarding  
the customary Annual

Confidential Reports on Officers  
in the service of the E.A.P.

In reply to the  
question ~~asked~~ in the last

dispatch, ~~concerning them~~  
~~report~~ I have to <sup>say</sup> state

that the reports forwarded  
are of the kind required.

Reports on Assistant District  
Commissioners and other

Junior Officers, especially  
those holding technical

copy of 12076 to be sent

See 12076

DRAFT.

E.A.P. Conf.

W.C. Balfour Esq. Cmsr.

MINUTE.

Mr. ~~Johnson~~

Mr. ~~Hillier~~

Mr. ~~Bostonley~~

Sir G. Fiddes.

Sir H. Just.

Sir J. Anderson.

Lord Emmott.

Mr. Hatcourt.

2 dfts.

appointments are often  
useful and it is un-

desirable to reduce their  
numbers.

3. ~~with regard to the~~

Medical Officers I take

the opportunity of suggesting

that ~~the Officers~~ should be

placed in a special category for this purpose,

and that, as in the case

of the West African Medical

Staff, detailed reports on

each Officer by the D.M.O.

should be furnished annu-

ally ~~for all to read~~

~~to the Governor,~~

~~and for all to read.~~

The D.M.O. should in his

report express his opinion

as to their

(a) Professional ability

(b) Administrative ability

- (3) ~~These~~ qualifications and  
aptitude for sanitary work
- (4) social qualifications
- (5) personal character. 10
- (6) aptitude for research, and

He should make original contributions to  
medical and scientific  
publications during the year  
and generally any details  
calculated to assist ~~in~~  
forming an opinion as to  
the Officer's fitness for  
promotion to a senior  
appointment, or for a  
sanitary appointment. In  
forwarding the ~~PMO's~~ report  
you ~~will~~ <sup>will</sup> of course add  
such observations or criticisms as you  
may be in a position to make.

2876 East Afr. Prot.

C. D.  
R. 22 FEB  
1913

11

March  
4 Feb 1913

12/5

(2) new 508/100

Sir

I have etc to inform you that I have had under my consideration the question of the nature and number of the Annual Confidential Reports on Officers in E.A.P.

I have decided that it is desirable that these reports should not be sent to Officers whose qualifications and standing entitle them to look for promotion beyond the limits of the Prot<sup>6</sup> in which they are serving, since reports on Junior Officers, especially on those

DRAFT

- Uganda Prot Compt
- Nyasaland Prot Compt
- Somaliland Prot Compt
- Col. St. Michael Jackson KCMG, CB
- Off. Nyasaland Prot Compt
- Comd. H.A. Byatt Reg. Col.

MINUTE

- Mr. Dromie 1/2
- Mr. Fiddes 1/2
- Mr. Robertson 1/2
- Sir G. Fiddes
- Sir H. Just
- Sir J. Anderson
- Lord Emmott
- Mr. Harcourt

2d/16

...ing technical appointments  
are useful. In future  
therefore, I shall be glad  
to receive reports on all  
Administrative Officers (in-  
cluding Assistant District  
Commissioners) and of Officers  
in other Depts. corresponding  
in rank to the District  
Commissioner.

Asst. Residents (in the  
case of Nyasaland)  
etc.

3. ~~with regard to~~ (the para. in Nyasaland  
and Uganda only)  
~~reports on Medical Officers~~  
I take this opportunity of suggesting that  
~~it should be placed in a~~  
Special category and that,  
as in the case of the West  
African Medical Staff,  
detailed reports on each  
Officer by the D. M. O.,  
should be furnished  
annually.

annually, ~~in addition to~~  
~~such reports as are~~ <sup>and</sup> ~~to~~ <sup>be</sup> ~~made~~  
may be a particular  
case.

- 12  
The P.M.O. should, in his  
report, express his opinion  
as to ~~the~~ the Officer's  
① Professional ability  
② Administrative ability  
③ Qualifications and apti-  
-tude for sanitary work  
④ Social qualifications  
⑤ Personal character, and  
⑥ Aptitude for unacad. and

He should mention original contributions to  
medical and scientific  
publications <sup>during the year</sup>  
and generally any details  
calculated to assist in  
forming an opinion as to  
the Officer's fitness for pro-  
-motion to a senior appoint-  
-ment or a sanitary  
appointment. In forwarding  
the P.M.O.'s report, you will of course  
include <sup>any</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>relevant</sup> ~~part~~

which the Officer  
may have made

add rich ideas & criticisms  
as you may see in a position to  
make

/