

EAST AFR. PROT

10269  
Rec'd  
Recd 7 APR 10

10269

FOR INFO  
ASAC  
35  
1954

Travel Fund Disposition

in hand

910

Apr.  
Previous Paper.

Accept terms - is prepared to form  
a syndicate to develop the property.  
Request early settlement.

5809

W Road

As Lord Waterman has now definitely  
accepted the stipulation that \$16,000 shall  
be spent in the first five years of the  
lease, there is no reason why the arrange-  
ment should not be concluded. This  
condition was first laid down in August  
1905. Lord Waterman has lost a good  
deal of time in kicking vainly against  
it.

the original ~~lease~~ agreement (see note - W  
45255/106) in favour of  
Capt. Despatcher & W. Macalister cannot  
be assigned without the previous consent

all copy items for 2014 14 4/10  
Copy over CA 25000 11/19

Subsequent Paper.

W/11597

of the Commissioner in writing. Strictly, therefore, the transfer of these leases to Lord Waleran & W. Greenfell, & the issue of a new lease in exchange for them on the terms arranged, should be carried out in the P.C. But no doubt the C.O. & their solicitors could frame a document adequate to the occasion, and as all the later negotiations have taken place in his country and there is still by no means negligible possibility that Lord Waleran will raise new points, there is a good deal to be said in favour of having the necessary formalities carried out here.

Ack. receipt & say that the S. of B. approves of the transfer of the agreements with Capt. Decker & W. Macalister to Lord Waleran & W. Greenfell, with a view to the grant of a new lease, on the terms arranged in the following correspondence:-

- C.O. to Lord Waleran. Aug. 31. 1908 (10260/08)
- Lord Waleran to C.O. Sept. 11. 1908 (10260/08)
- C.O. to Lord Waleran. Oct. 6. 1908 (on ...)
- Lord Waleran to C.O. Oct. 11. 1908 (10260/08)
- C.O. to Lord Waleran Oct. 23. 1908 (on ...)
- Lord Waleran to C.O. Oct. 7. 1910 (10260/10)

Colonial Office,

Whitehall, London, S.W.

ap. 3

By letter

and Waterman called this morning  
 showed me a copy (not the  
 original) of a letter dated  
 July 29<sup>th</sup> 1908 signed by  
 Mr. Cox. It is identical with  
 the draft no. 30653/100 which  
 is numbered off as sent on  
 Aug 31<sup>st</sup> 1908 & signed by Sir  
 J. Hopwood. Probably the letter  
 was sent twice.

P.T. 1 1040 12/4

in lead

appears from the above

book that a letter went out  
Lord Dalmen on the 2-3:

on the 29<sup>th</sup> of August 1908  
and went on the 31<sup>st</sup> of Aug  
1908.

It further points out

29<sup>th</sup> of August was a Saturday  
and suggests that what happened  
was this. Someone left in  
on the SR on the Saturday

Friday sent off the letter but  
did not take the draft  
with it he initials it. The  
Secretary Mr. Hatcher himself  
personally dealt with the matter  
on the 31<sup>st</sup> of August &  
checked the draft that day without  
ascertaining whether it had been  
sent out or earlier.

The usual signature Francis  
S. Topwood was apparently  
used, at a press later by  
the Printing Dept<sup>n</sup> when

This is far more convenient also  
I have asked Lord Waterman  
will alter the date & the letter  
position to the 31<sup>st</sup> of August 1900

HAB

ap 10

T

draft went to them for

— I think we should  
put records to spare

to let both Lord Waterman  
& you know

The date & signature  
written on Gov. must also

A ~~the~~ 31/8/00

retained in all copies of the

It is a small matter but it is  
convenient to have here  
references.

HAB

ap 14

I agree  
at

<sup>correspondence</sup>  
(all <sup>between</sup> the last two letters mentioned  
relates to proposals as to area and  
capital expenditure which have <sup>now</sup> been  
definitely rejected); & that he is  
prepared either to authorize the  
Govt to transfer the existing 330  
agreements on these terms or to  
instruct the C.A. to enter into the  
necessary agreement with Lord  
Waterman & Co. in his country  
on behalf of the Govt, as Lord  
Waterman may prefer.

It should be pointed out to Lord  
Waterman that he constantly mentions  
in his letters a letter from this office  
dated the 29<sup>th</sup> of August 1908, but that  
according to our records, the letter from  
which the negotiations in their present  
phase are to be dated is one of the  
21<sup>st</sup> of August 1908 signed by Sir F.  
Hopwood.

J.A.B.

April 8.

Mr. Fisher.

So printed - a copy of annex - a  
the for for up 117?  
Ed. Selby  
R.A. 0/4 8/11  
Sent according  
to my signature  
8/11

Lord Waleran

331

1910  
Cap

Downing Street,

April, 1910.

My dear Waleran,

I have received your letter of the 7th of April, relating to the Iwale Forest Concession. The Secretary of State approves of the transfer of the agreements with Captain Diespecker and Mr. Lacallister to you and Mr. Grenfell, with a view to the surrender of those agreements and the grant of a new lease, on the terms arranged in the following correspondence:-

- Colonial Office letter to Lord Waleran  
31st August 1908
- Lord Waleran's letter to Colonial Office  
11th September 1908
- Colonial Office letter to Lord Waleran  
6th October 1908
- Lord Waleran's letter to Colonial Office  
10th October 1908
- Colonial Office to Lord Waleran  
23rd October 1908
- Lord Waleran to Colonial Office  
7th April 1909.

The Secretary of State is prepared either to authorize the Governor to transfer the existing agreements on these terms, or to instruct the Crown Agents for the Colonies to enter into the necessary agreement with you and Mr. Grenfell in this country, on behalf

W  
Copy Ca 28 Apr 1910

of the Protectorate Government. If you will let me know which course you prefer, the necessary steps will be taken.

There is one point to which I should like to call your attention. In your letters you refer to a letter from this Department dated the 29th of August 1908; but, according to our records, the letter from which the negotiations in their present phase are to be dated is one of the 31st of August 1908, signed by Sir Francis Hopwood.

Yours sincerely,

B S

RIGHT HONOURABLE  
THE LORD SALISBURY



of the Protectorate Government. If you will let me know which course you prefer, the necessary steps will be taken.

There is one point to which I should like to call your attention. In your letters you refer to a letter from this Department dated the 29th of August 1908; but, according to our records, the letter from which the negotiations in their present phase are to be dated is one of the 1st of August 1908, signed by Sir Francis Hopwood.

Yours sincerely,

J. B. S.

RIGHT HONOURABLE

THE LORD SALISBURY.

of the Protectorate Government. If you will let me know which course you prefer, the necessary steps will be taken.

There is one point to which I should like to call your attention. In your letters you refer to a letter from this Department dated the 29th of August 1908; but, according to our records, the letter from which the negotiations in their present phase are to be dated is one of the 1st of August 1908, signed by Sir Francis Hopwood.

Yours sincerely,

L. B. S.

RIGHT HONOURABLE

THE LORD WALTON.

~~W/ 10269 Lab.~~



*[Handwritten signature]*

DRAFT.

The Right Honourable  
The Lord Salmon

My dear Colonel, 11 April 1910

MINUTE.

- Mr. Parkin 9/4
- Mr. Duff 9/4
- Mr. Fisher
- Mr. Junt
- Mr. Coo
- Sir G. Lucas
- Sir F. Hopwood
- Col. Seale
- Lord Cross

I have received your letter of the 7<sup>th</sup> of April, relating to the Mucke Forest Concession.

The Secretary of State approves of the transfer of the agreement with Captain Diespacher and Mr. Macallister to

you and Mr. Grenfell, with <sup>the</sup> view to the grant of a new lease, on the terms arranged in the following

4000-20

*[Handwritten note]*

*[Handwritten note]*

*[Handwritten note]*

correspondence:-

Colonial Office letter to Lord Waterman

31 August 1904

Lord Waterman's letter to Colonial Office

11 Sept. 1904

Colonial Office letter to Lord Waterman

6 Oct. 1904

Lord Waterman's letter to Colonial Office

10 Oct. 1904

Colonial Office to Lord Waterman

23 Oct. 1904

Lord Waterman to Colonial Office

7 April 1904

The Secretary of State is prepared either to authorize the Governor to transfer the existing agreements on these terms, or to instruct the Col. for the Col. to enter into the

1 Nov 287, 2

309, 310, 4

in Act No

and No 1076

\* This should be 1910. I have asked Lord Waterman to correct the date in the copy sent to Sir

of A.B.

at 24

33628, 316  
37184, 37184, 10267

Protection

the necessary agreement<sup>334</sup> with you or Mr. Greenfield in this country, on behalf of the P.G. Government.

If you will let me know which <sup>course</sup> you prefer, the necessary steps will be taken.

There is one point to

which I should like to call your attention. In your letter you refer to a letter from this Dept.

dated the 29<sup>th</sup> of August 1904; but, according to our records, the letter

from which the negotiations in their present phase are to be dated is one of the 31<sup>st</sup> of August 1904

But a further sheet attached

of A.B.

at 14

S.N. 287 in Act No. 1076

Correspondence:-

Colonial Office letter to Lord Waterman

31 August 1904

Lord Waterman's letter to Colonial Office

11 Sept. 1904

Colonial Office letter to Lord Waterman

6 Oct. 1904

Lord Waterman's letter to Colonial Office

10 Oct. 1904

Colonial Office to Lord Waterman

23 Oct. 1904

Lord Waterman to Colonial Office

7 April 1905

The Secretary of State is prepared either to authorize the Governor to transfer the existing agreements on these terms, or to instruct the Col. for the Col. to enter into the

+ Nos 287, 2

309, 310, 4

in Act No

and No 1026

\* No should be 1410. I have asked Lord Waterman to correct the date in the contract.

of B

at 24

33628, 33629, 37154, 37154, 10267

Protection

the necessary agreement<sup>334</sup> with you & Mr. Greenfield in this country, on behalf of the PA Government.

If you will let me know which course the necessary steps will be taken.

There is one point

which I should like to call your attention to in your letter you refer to a letter from this dept.

dated the 29<sup>th</sup> of August 1904. but, according to our records, the letter

But a further sheet attached

of B

at 14

from which the negotiations in their present phase are to be dated is one of the 31<sup>st</sup> of August 1904

S.P. 287 in G. No. 14

1908, signed by  
Li Francis  
Hopwood -

Yours sincerely  
J. B. Sady.

19 APR 1910

April 7 1910

10269

RECEIVED  
7 APR 10

~~R. J. [unclear]~~  
My dear Peely

I am very sorry for the long delay  
in replying to your letter of 4 Nov 1909

The financial proposals seemed so complicated  
that I had to consult with all those

connected with the Commission, & owing to

Captain Grant's having moved from

Richmond to South Africa, I only

received a letter from him a short time

ago.

I am now prepared to accept your  
proposition & spend \$1000 within 5 years.

Yours truly  
R. J. [unclear] 4083-20





on Government requirements after  
 of August 27, 1908, signed by the  
 for order from the same office

I have found me, and to form  
 a hydroelectric company to develop  
 the project, but I have been before  
 the morning that they require an  
 immediate answer from me, if  
 not accept the proposal I can

17  
 + No. 30627 + No. 202 in 1908

in the copy will I have from the  
 of the same office but the same  
 have to prepare to be held in the  
 I therefore will go to look in a line to  
 that the same will be the same,  
 that there will be a delay in getting the  
 transfer

The time seems long but particularly  
 advantageous to form a hydroelectric  
 company to develop the project,  
 if the opportunity is not lost, I  
 have it has to be something before the

have been a very good one for the  
 (through the the great success has  
 been to improve the habits of the  
 I think and you to let me know  
 why the the have not been done,  
 with them and it is better to get the  
 from me

In the same way I will be interested  
 in the progress of you. I will be  
 anxious to develop the project  
 of the opportunity in new work. I  
 have it too to sometimes before this

Project can be properly developed  
and we should be first thing for  
and after that the immediate develop  
should be planned

19 APR 1916

I have outlined for you all  
the things for your personal to  
I have  
Salvador