

EAST AFR. PROT.

C. O.
1377
Rec 13 JAN 13

1377

Ordnance survey

1913

13th January

MAP 1 : 250,000

Suggests C.O. should ask the Ordnance Survey Office through the Stationary Office to reproduce. Treasury sanction would be required. Estimated cost for the 3 maps would be £256

Last previous Paper.

MO
41503/12

To Read

I have used this in many of the maps

Col 15

it once

H. J. R.

15/1

Remind Treasury ^{LF} _{W.C.B.} 10/2 at once

To Read

I attached copy of unofficial map of Treasury with W.O. for the question of principle. The papers Col. 15/1

and over have shown clearly that the W.O. asked for the arrangement on the ground of uniformity & accuracy.

The last I heard about the matter was by telephone from Mr. Behren the

to read: can P. R. be
Copy for 362. 15 July 1913. 23337

1913 11 13 10 17 A.M.W.

Last subsequent Paper.

2333

A 20/13

~~20/13~~

6.950
1877
REC'D
13 JAN 13

It is requested that in any further correspondence on this subject the number and date of this letter may be quoted and the letter addressed to

ORDNANCE SURVEY OFFICE

The Director General of the Ordnance Survey

SOUTHAMPTON, 11th January 1913

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that a request has been received from the Controller of H. M. Stationery Office that this Department will undertake the reproduction of three maps of the East Africa Protectorate, viz:- North A 36, North A 37 and South A-37, "for the use of the Director of Military Operations". The Director of Military Operations on being asked states that the maps are not required for him but for the Colonial Office. The question of how to reproduce these maps was discussed at the last meeting of the Colonial Survey Committee, the War Office representative having stated that pressure of work prevented their being done at the War Office.

I suggest that the best way out of the difficulty is for the Colonial Office to request this Department through H. M. Stationery Office to undertake the work in view of the fact that the maps are required in East Africa, and that the War Office is too pressed with work to reproduce them.

The work can be carried out without difficulty by this Department. It would be necessary to obtain Treasury sanction, but, if this is given, no charge would be made against Colonial Office funds. No addition to the Ordnance Survey Vote would be required. *The estimated cost for the 3 maps is £250*

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office.

C. G. G.
Colonel
Director General

12/13

RECEIVED
12 FEB 1913
COL. OFFICE

ORDNANCE SURVEY OFFICE,

It is requested that in any further correspondence on this subject, the number and date of this letter may be quoted and the letter addressed to

The Director General of the Ordnance Survey

SOUTHAMPTON,

11th February 1913

*Reply 3/13
Not the 2nd of 13
has copies left
having also been
checked by revision
12/13*

Sir,

I beg to enquire whether a reply can now be sent to my letter of the 11th Ultimo, relative to the reproduction of three maps of the East Africa Protectorate, viz: - North A 36 North A 37 and South A 37

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

C. H. D. G.
Colonel,
Director General.

Mr. B. H. ...

[Signature]

12 2

Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office.

8ft

16 February 1913

Dear Bedeans,

Your note of the 13th as to
production of East Africa maps
by W.O.

There is no special East African
arrangement - the general arrangement
went dates back to the end of 1895,
though the Intelligence Division did
some mapping for us before then.

The note of Oct. 31st 1895 of which
I enclose a copy shows that they
denied to do all our mapping work.
I have seen a G.O. minute that shows that
in the interests of accuracy and
uniformity that they wished to do it.

I cannot say whether the Treasury
were consulted - it would hardly be
for the G.O. to do so, but we have
always taken it for granted that you
knew. I agree that your letter 10/19/10
of 25 June 1910 (Yale Cross Boundary)
referred to the usual principle that
(~~rather than~~ ^{rather than} ~~attach~~ ^{attach} ~~ment~~ ^{ment} that
Army funds should bear the cost
of mapping work in which ^{we are}
interested.

Yours sincerely,
W.O.

Presented to —
In charge.

GEOGRAPHICAL SECTION
GENERAL SECRET
WAR OFFICE
WHITEHALL S.W.

15 2 13

Dear Bottomley,

Here is all we have. You will see that the Treasury letter of 25.6.1910 refers to "the usual principle"

Whether this is the principle discussed in the other file I am sending you, I can't say.

We have no copy of Treasury Sanction for acting as your reproducing agent, but our defence, if we were

GEOGRAPHICAL SECTION.

GENERAL STAFF,

WAR OFFICE,

WHITEHALL, S.W.

Please reply to:-

Officer in charge.

two years or longer. As they have
 already lain idle for some months,
 and are as yet wanted in S.A., we
 want to do the right thing by the
 Protectorate, instead of locking up
 £4000 of capital any longer.

Yours sincerely

Edgar A. Lee

13th Feb 1913

Dear Bottomley

Yours 41503/1912

of the 1st alt

Can you tell me

how the practice to

which you refer in your

second paragraph arose,

i.e. how ~~was~~ the War Office

Came to perform this

work for the Prohibitive

free of charge? was knowing

authority obtained, if

So could you give me

the reference. Our

records do not show

Yours sincerely

W E Behner

Copy.

10191/10.

Treasury Chambers,
25th June 1910.

Sir,

The Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury have had under their consideration Mr. Fiddes' letter of the 27th ultimo (13597/1910.) and the accompanying copy of correspondence with regard to the inclusion of a map in the report of the Yola-Cross River Boundary Commission.

It appears from the correspondence that this map is being prepared under the supervision of the Director of Military Operations from materials in the possession of the Geographical Section of the War Office. My Lords are not clear as to what extent the War Office is interested in the preparation and publication of the map, nor what is the exact nature of the expenses referred to which are estimated at £30, but if, as They understand, that Department is not merely acting as the agent of your Department They do not see why the cost, in accordance with the usual principle should not be borne by Army Funds so far as it is not chargeable to the Stationery Office Vote under the ordinary rules.

I am, &c.,

(Signed) T.L. Heath.

The Under Secretary of State,

Colonial Office.

11810/1918!

The Under Secretary of State for the Colonies presents his compliments to the Director of Military Operations and begs to say that it has now been decided, in accordance with the suggestion made in Major General Ewart's note M.O.4/Africa/45 of the 21st January, to publish the complete report of the Yola-Cross River Boundary Commission as a parliamentary paper.

Sir F. Hopwood concurs in the suggestion that the report should be accompanied by a map in one sheet, and he notes that the cost of preparing such a map is estimated at £50. A copy of a letter which has been addressed to the Stationery Office notifying the necessity for this expenditure is enclosed.

X. X. X. X. X. X. X. X. X. X.

Copy.

Downing Street,

27th April 1910.

11610/1910.

Sir,

I am directed by the Earl of Crewe to inform you that it has been decided to publish the complete report of the recent Yola-Crosa River Boundary Commission as a parliamentary paper, and that the report will be accompanied by a map, which is being prepared under the supervision of the Director of Military Operations from materials in the possession of the Geographical Section of the War Office. The cost of producing the map, so far as it will not be borne by War Office funds, is estimated at £30.

I am, &c.

(Signed) C.V. Fiddes.

The Controller,
Stationery Office.

