

EAST AFR. PROT

36063

36063

Governor
Field 767
1914

COCONUT INDUSTRY

1st August

at previous Paper.

26 Aug 3

Trs 6 copies of Report by Commission apptd to inquire into and report on condition and to offer recommendation as to ameliorative measures. Asks authority to incorporate recommendations in draft Bill. Submit proposals as to provision in 1915-6 Estimates and requests telegraphic approval.

~~Copy to Governor~~
~~Mr G Field~~
This is certainly an excellent report in the way of arrangement and completeness in itself and the work of the Commission should be H.R. co-ordinated?

as regards the proposals:

1. Right of coconuts. The arrangements proposed for the registration of plantations & licensing of dealers seem sound and the fees are light. As regards the system of uniform registration fee for plantations of above or below 20 poles, I notice that Mr Stanmore Dickson (p. 99) considers this will lead to the absorption of small & large estates & that cadastral of small estates will lead to splitting up. The accuracy of his view depends on the size of the fee, & with a fee as small as is proposed there should be no danger of evasion such as he anticipates as regards the licensed. He suggests that

Unreadable 26 Sept 14.

Copy placed to Langford Inter. can 26 Sept 14.
MS. 37702
G. J. R. 1914
Durian. P.D. - Consabreensal. 9 Oct 14.

at subsequent Paper.

Ms 9
37703

licensed dealers should purchase from registered
owners only, & settle the question of the
"middleman". If all middlemen are agents,
well & paid, but if not (as it is not likely)
by one would be able to buy from them. In fact,
it would appear that of the few categories
not set out at the bottom of p. 20 the top of p. 3 they would
most surely be out of a job because, though
~~not be~~
~~sterling being~~ registered owners, no one would
buy from them. The situation must be that
licensed dealers would purchase from registered owners
or from other licensed dealers, or ?

1. The removal of the Nairobi local council
system of dealing with thieves should be
approved? It has already been tried a certain
number of times with successful results. As fixed the
Native Tribunal Rules (p. 79) the old penalties
will not be revised.

Yes, go on

p. 4 at top

2. Control of Tapping. The Commission cannot
see its way to prohibit tapping & proposes
to substitute a tax per tapped palm
(Rs 2 per annum). "If this is found to
be too low a rate it might be increased
later." Apparently they are not
very sanguine about that the tax will
reduce tapping, since they base their p. 9
figure for the revenue from this
source on the number of trees estimated
to be tapped at present.

The following with some slight omissions
is the Commission's view. It seems
clear that some banishment would be required
if the ~~public~~ of ^{tribal} taxes of the uppr-

meated variety), and also that prohibition would lead to a lot of illicit tapping which could not be punished without great expense to policing. I am not sure that the same objection does not apply in the case of the taxation proposal since it would seem as difficult to trace tapped but untaxed trees as to trace tapped trees. However, the experiment should certainly be tried. See the G. & A. Report on p. 70.

also
applyments
to Legislation

U.P.S.
H. S. A.

3. The Beetle. This is an Entomological question on which we should have Mr. Marshall's views, but the Commission have gone fully into what is done elsewhere.
- a) eradication of dead or decaying palms. Cf. Langdon Seavee (p. 65) Ceylon Report (pp. 71) Straits Order (pp. 72, 73) Samoa Order (pp. 74, 75). I think a Bill was prepared in 1907 (p. 77), but it did not go further.
 - b) attacks on the Beetle, viz. bats (under European control). The usefulness of this depends on the results of (a) - and rewards for bats, grubs, etc. On the point cf. G. & A. Samoa Report (pp. 74, 75). Apparently, in Samoa the reward system of 1900 gave place in 1911 to Compulsory destruction under penalty though even then those who stayed were to receive a present from the Government.

1/7

pp 6-7

Also finger prints. This had better wait
till we are in a better position to get the
finger prints from Samoa & also before we are
about the usefulness of the method. As
described in the Report it involves
killing the larvae first of all and
it would appear possible to devise some
cheaper way of disposing of them when
killed.

4. Cultivation. The main point is that
dead palms must be cleared away & this
has already been done with Scarcely
of labour since the abolition of slavery
is evidently a serious factor & is referred
to several times in the evidence.

5. Important of copra. The Commission
say that a coconut expert is being
obtained. This seems to be an anticipation
of next year's Estimates - at all events,
we have not previously heard of it. Evidence
however is needed, though I expect
we shall find that the European planters,
other than the Arab, Hindu, or Native,
will derive most benefit from him. It
would seem that ^{about} two-thirds of
the nuts are eaten locally & this is not
unreasonable to suppose that the
Europeans, rather than the Coloured, carry
coconuts for export.

6. Legislation mentioned
part of the country have been (Barbados)

p. 7

p. 8

p. 8

pp. 103 + 109
that 6,000,000
cocoons - or about
each of the 456,000
plantations

p. 142

above as regards (8 - Native legume Ordinance),
the draft of 1897 does not differ materially from the
Ordinance of 1907 printed at p. 83 except (rather
curiously) that it omits the provisions in the 1907
Ordinance to taxation of tapped palms. In fact, as
you will observe from Govt/4539/08, these
provisions were suspended on the ground that
"owing to improved condition of the ownership
of plantations on the Coast, due to a considerable
extent to the abolition of the legal status of
slavery, the tax should not be levied". The
tax was to have been Rs 1 per acre and now
Rs 2 is proposed. However, the Jaffna
Committee of 1897 contemplated an
increase of the one rupee in this tax, &
quoted the Bombay rates of Samos ($\frac{1}{2}$ rupee)
to Rs 12. His last figure rarely amounts
to prohibition.

Obviously there has as yet been
no clear view on the Prohibition or the
question of taxation of tapped trees, but I
do not think we need ~~go into~~ dwell on this now - the
 latter is more one of luxury than of a
necessity.

addition
W. Bothy's proposal of 15th Oct seem
all right.

7. Finance. I have already suggested
that they can't have all their revenue
& at the same time reduce tapping.
Subject to this I have no comments.

? Telegraph (subject to the following
obstacles on the Bill or pp 138, 140)

Telegraph advising of the recommended bill,
but point out that a tea licensed
dealer ought to be able to buy from
another licensed dealer.

Send copy of Report to Mr Marshall,
asking for his views on the Colombo
Bill subject. Say that the recommendations
have been approved by telegraph but that
if he considers that any modification
is necessary a further letter could be
sent.

And await his reply before sending a
detailed, which should confront the
Commission, ask for a report on the cause
on the increase of the revenues to be taken
(especially I think the Kandy Committee),
& draw attention to the rather wobbly
policy of the Govt about the taxation of
teffed palas.

Urg 23/7/14

The export of copra is at likely to
be very remunerative under existing circumstances
as there is a vast amount of Ceylon
copra seeking a market to replace
the former market that formerly
absorbed about $\frac{3}{4}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ the Ceylon output
as proposed.

H. 22
20/9/14

A

The draft Bill at the end of
the report requires revision
(of Mr A.G.) as a glance at
the passage wh. I have marked
in pencil will show. It would
serve no purpose to
criticize these in detail. The
mistakes all occur in the
additions, which have evidently
been made by laymen.

? inform Dr. & Mr. A.

CD. 26.9.14,

also see Dr. 26.9.14.

36063

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
NAIROBI,

BRITISH EAST AFRICA.

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.

No. 767.

17th. August, 1914.

*30/2
26/9/03*
Report.

Sir,

With reference to my despatch No. 619 of June 30th, 1914, I have the honour to transmit for your perusal 6 copies of the Report furnished by the Commission appointed by me to inquire and report on the condition of the coconut industry in British East Africa and to offer recommendation as to ameliorative measures to be adopted. The inquiry has been conducted with much care and thoroughness, and the report forms a valuable record of facts and views upon all questions relating to this important industry.

2. Prior to the commencement of the inquiry I had on more than one occasion fully discussed the subject with members of the Commission, explaining to them the steps which had been taken in the Malay Peninsula and the success which had attended those measures. They therefore commenced this inquiry with full knowledge of my personal views on the subject.

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

LEWIS HARcourt, P.C., M.P.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

BOWING STREET, LONDON, S.W.

(2)

3. I am of opinion that the recommendations made are entirely sound, and I seek your authority to incorporate them in a draft Bill for your consideration.

4. I am one of those who have inclined to the opinion that all tapping of coconut trees should be prohibited, but there is force in the observations on the subject which will be found on page 5 of the report, and I shall be content for the present to adopt the line of action there recommended, substituting for total prohibition a system by which the cost of the article will be substantially increased.

5. A pleasing feature of the report is the fact that the estimated revenue so nearly balances the expenditure that it will be possible to enforce the proposed measures at very small cost to Government. As I do not anticipate that it need be necessary that any prolonged period shall elapse between the date of intimation of your approval and that of the introduction of the requisite legislation, I propose to enter in the draft estimates for the year 1915-16 the items of revenue and expenditure which are set out on the final page of the report. I shall be obliged if I may be favored with a telegraphic intimation of your approval of my proposals.

I will

(3)

I will in the meantime give direction that a draft Bill be prepared to give effect to the recommendations of the Commission.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your humble, obedient Servant,

H Conway Beaufort.

G O V E R N O R.

26 SEP

God
36063/1

ATP

40

35 pm

N.

26/9/1947

Tel

DRAFT.

Sov.

Waisti

MINUTE.

Mr. Bottomley 26/9/1947

Mr. F.

Sir G. Fiddes.

Sir H. Just.

Sir J. Anderson.

Lord Emmott.

Mr. Harcourt.

26 September

Rainford

Your despatch of 17 August

enclosed

767 schools approved

provincial

approved

page two of report should

licensed dealers should be

Securities

able to purchase from other

abiding fraternal

licensed dealers page 139

Bill requires revision by

refusal

attorney General

oppose

20/10/

240

29 Sept 1868.

DRAFT.

To
the
Central Bureau of
Entomology

MINUTE.

Mr. Wm. 26/314 p.

Mr.

Sir G. Fiddes.

Sir H. Just.

Sir J. Anderson.

Lord Emmott.

Mr. Harcourt.

Report

36062)

M. 26/314 p.
of 29th Sept 1868

Sir,
 I am directed by Mr.
 Sir: Harcourt to transmit
 to you, your esteemed
 authority, a copy of the
 Report of a Commission
 appointed by the Govt. of the SAR
 to inquire into the coconut
 industry of the Province, &
 to inform you that he will
 be glad to be favoured with
 your observations on those parts
 of the Report which deal
 with the coconut
 trade.

2. Mr. Harcourt's approval
 of the recommendations of the
 Commission, so far as the
 Governor's report has been

245

Communicated by telegraph,
by if you consider that
any modification of these
recommendations is necessary
a further letter will be
sent on the subject.