

This is not our intention. We have not the
power to compel men to go by the B.I. but
find it quite useful if they will travel by
that line, and so save time.

In issuing the Circular, the Govt. seems to
have been overzealous, and he shows no
good reason for his action. Uganda and
Nyasaland have not issued such a law,
and we must have uniformity.

I think we should? acknowledge point out
that, though we do not recognize the U.C.
and B.I. joint service in so far as to
compel officers to travel B.I., it is
often convenient to allow an officer
to return by the B.I. if he wishes rather
than wait for the next U.C. Boat, and
the passage rates and incidental
expenses on the two lines are about the
same. The Govt. does not consider that
an man who ~~travels~~ chooses the B.I. line
should be penalized by the loss of the
privilege of the L^{to} and L^{to} allowances: and
regards this. The circular of 27 Feb. is withdrawn
and that the Circular of July, 8 1912 be
amended to include the B.I.

(1) ? write to B.I. with ref to ^{ce} ~~an~~ letter of
24 Feb (27/196/14) and say that the
Govt has decided that officers who choose
to travel by the B.I. shall be
granted the L^{to} and L^{to} allowances.

(2) ? copy of the Govt's despatch and our reply
to Uganda and 2 bars to the Lt.

42113
1914

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
NAIROBI,
BRITISH EAST AFRICA.

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE

NO. 839

September, 21st, 1914.

601

Sir,

in view of

In compliance with the request contained in your despatch N. 736 of July 31st, 1914,

have been issued to the effect of the order thereon.

issued in accordance with the

L. 11

H. 2

of the Protectorate will be published in the

of the Protectorate will be published in the

of the Protectorate will be published in the

of the Protectorate will be published in the

of the Protectorate will be published in the

of the Protectorate will be published in the

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE
LEWIS HARCOURT, P. C., M. P.,
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,
DOWNING STREET,
LONDON, S. W.

fixed, and I accordingly directed that it should not be conceded to officers who elect to travel by the British India Line.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your humble, obedient Servant,

H. Lawley Bayard

GOVERNOR.

42113

INCLOSURE

In Despatch No. 839 of *Sept 21st 1911*

No. 8.58 R.

THE SECRETARIAT,

Mirali,

607

CI CELAR, No. 18

January 25th 1914.

PASSAGES BY RAIL IN INDIA VIA STRAITS

The allowances granted to married officers in
aid of their wives' passages will only be given when
such passages are booked by the Union Castle line.
The concession does not extend to those who travel
by British India Steamers.

[Signature]
CHIEF SECRETARY.

Cases of Officers travelling
by Steamers of the British
India Company.

~~to be~~ ~~to be~~ ~~to be~~
that I shall be glad
to learn your views.

on the subject of
regarding the same.

Gov. 42113/1914 EAR

1/5

663

Ans'd 1120/14-5

6 November, 1914

Sir,

I have the honour to transmit to you the accounts, copy of copies, on the subject of the proposed extension of the grant of an allowance towards the cost of passages of officers' wives to the cases of officers travelling by steamers of the British India Coy. & to inform you that I shall be glad to hear your views on the matter so far as Para 6 concerns

DRAFT.

Panzibar
No 216
Draft

MINUTE.

- Mr. Haeser *W.H.*
- Mr. Bottomley *W.H.*
- Mr. G. Fildes
- Mr. H. Just
- Mr. Anderson
- Mr. Islington
- Mr. Hurecart

S.G. 13 July (25861)
EAR 839-21 Sept (42113)

4/5