

1911

EA
EAST AFR. PROT.C O
33345Rec'd
Ref'd 16 OCT 11

33345

NUMBER 531
NAME
DATE

FLOGGINGS IN NAIROBI GAOL

Sept.
previous Paper

Gives information desired to reply to House of Commons question.

Mr. Widnes,

This information was asked for in order to enable the Secretary of State to reply to the question put by Mr. Edmund Harvey for the 20th of October (see H. of C. 27280).

Reply to that question as follows: There were 439 cases of flogging in the Gaol at Nairobi during the year 1910. Of these 343 were inflicted as the result of sentences by the Courts of the Protectorate and the remaining 96 were ordered by the Visiting Justices for serious breaches of prison discipline. It is not necessary under the existing rules that sentences of corporal punishment in the prison at Nairobi should be authorized by the Town Magistrate.

2/2B

Oct 17

H. M. Macmillan

What is the time limit for
the floggings?

Subsequent Paper

1/2-185
T-12

your experience clearing? They seem to me to
be very unsatisfactory.

Pr. 17.10

Our Rhodes.

There has been very serious abuse.
Compare these figures with those of two or three
other colonies.

E.A.P. 343 floggings, during 1910, by order of
Court, & 96 for breach of prison discipline.

Goda East. 43 floggings, during 1910, by order of
Court, & 11 for breach of prison offences.

S. Leone. 5 floggings, during 1910 by order of Court, &
8 for breach of prison offences.

Natal. 13 floggings during 1910, by order of Court, & 10 for
breach of prison offences. In the Colony the number of floggings was
the subject of parliamentary criticism three years
ago. We had correspondence with the Govt. & the
no number of floggings has been greatly reduced.

1908 (A)	13	13
1909 (A)	6	6
1910 (A)	15	16
		30.

In 1896, 1897 we had much com. with Hong Kong over
the flogging there, & ascertained that in one year 1897
the daily average of persons being 472 - 467 whippings
had been inflicted by order of the Superintendent
alone. Thus the flogging in Hong Kong was even worse
than it has been in the E.A. in other than the

Hong Kong case I know of no instance in which the
flogging has approximated to that in East Africa.

It is fully time that East Africa & Uganda were
brought into line with other colonies - we seem to have
had no com. with them in regard to flogging. I
think by a salutary might also be warned that the
number of floggings inflicted by order their magistrates
is excessive as compared with the number
found necessary in other colonies.

Act this despatch, & say that the figures show that
floggings both by order of Court & as a punishment for
breach of prison discipline have been far too
frequent; quote the Goda East, S. Leone, Nyasaland
& Ceylon figures; send the Govt. a copy of our
Circular despatch of the 25th May, 1897 & 13th
August 1902 [The latter ~~was~~ is similar to the enclosed
model Ord. was sent to the Colony in the despatch dealing
with the Off. Punishment Ord in 29745, but it should
be sent again] & say that the gist of those
Circulars on which Mr. Harcourt desires to
lay special stress is that floggings both by orders
of Court & for prison offences must be regarded as
a serious & exceptional form of punishment to
be employed only in the most special cases, & that
no sentence of flogging ^{in prison offences} shall be carried out without

the written approval of the Govt., whose duty it is also
to satisfy himself that sentences of flogging by orders
of Court are restricted to the narrowest possible limits,
& that returns - which should for the present be six-
monthly not yearly - should be submitted to their office
both of floggings & orders of flogging for
Prison Officers; ^{These} should clearly state for what
offences the punishment was inflicted in each case,
the number of strokes, & the nature of the implement
used, & there should be separate returns for adults & for
juvenile ~~under~~ sixteen.
Meditate ~~markedly~~ ^{markedly} a similar despatch should go to
Uganda - & I would also take the opportunity of
gently pulling up ~~by~~ ^{the} ~~Govt.~~ ^{Government} in regard to floggings by
orders of Court.
In that Colony we have not insisted on the Govt's
consent as a preliminary to a flogging for a prison
offence - but as there has been serious abuse
in East Africa, we should insist on this rule
in that Colony ~~also~~ ⁱⁿ Uganda.

You will note from 27280 that Mr Harvey's
question is down for oral reply on Wednesday
next the 25th inst. It would be much
pleasanter for the dep't if it were possible to
avoid displaying these unpleasant statistics
in a public reply in the House of Commons.
Would it be possible to inform Mr Harvey

236

privately of the figures contained in this
despatch, to say that the Governor is satisfied
that the power of inflicting corporal punishment
has been greatly abused, & is taking steps with
a view to bringing the abuses to an end - & at the
same time to thank Mr Harvey for bringing
these matters to notice.

Tax
20/10

Sir J. Anderson

I am pleased as ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~Govt.~~ ^{Government} has
suggested except on the Parliament and
as the suggestion of abuse, we ought
not to disgrace troops without being sure
of it first.

On the Question for the 25th Inst.
give the answer suggested by the other
advising "Please give me" Tax and the
~~Govt.~~ ^{Govt.} ~~Govt.~~ ^{Govt.} ~~Govt.~~ ^{Govt.} ~~Govt.~~ ^{Govt.} ~~Govt.~~ ^{Govt.}
and direct the date of answer
and direct the figures appear over
Parliament satisfactory, and I am in
concord with the Govt on the subject.

I agree, though I doubt the propriety of
requiring the Governor's approval to flogging
for prison officers. The Govt are regular

worthy returns and if he does his duty - will keep an eye on them. If he has to approve them there will be delay and delay in dealing with prison offences is very undesirable.

If the return is in the same form as I was accustomed to the nature of the offence is stated and also particulars of previous offences & punishment, so that the Gov. can always have the last over the costs if necessary.

I would let the Gov. understand that he is responsible to have him to take his own means of protection himself.

Ch. 10-10

I agree with Sir J. Anderson
as to question as proposed with
W. Field's addition

Ch. 22-10-11

This question does not seem to have been put.
No report has appeared in the "Official Report".

S. 10711

readably written and if he does his duty - will keep an eye on them. If he has to approve them there will be delay and delay in dealing with prison affairs is very undesirable.

If the return is in the same form as I was accustomed to the nature of the offence is stated and also particulars of previous offences & punishment so that the Gov. can always have the right over the tools if necessary.

I did let the Gov. understand that he is responsible & bound to take his own means of protecting himself.

Ch. 20-10

I agree with Sir J. Anderson
as to question as proposed write
w. Fidded addition

Ch. 22-10-11

[This question does not seem to have been put.]
No report has appeared in the "Official Report".

S. 17/11

monthly returns, and if he does his duty will keep an eye on them, if he has to approve them there will be delay and delay in dealing with prison officers is very undesirable.

If the return is in the same form as I am accustomed to the nature of the offence is stated and also particulars of previous offences & punishments so that the Gov. can always have the right over the犯人 if necessary.

I would let the Gov. understand that he is responsible to leave him to take his own means of protecting himself.

S. J. 10-10

I agree with Mr. J. Anderson
as to question as proposed with
Mr. Field's addition

S. J. 22. 10-11

[This question does not seem to have been put.]
Never has appeared in the official report.

S. J. 10/11

monthly returns, and if he does his duty will keep an eye on them. If he has to approve them there will be delay and delay in dealing with prison officers is very undesirable.

If the return is in the usual form as I was accustomed to the nature of the offence is stated and also particulars of previous offences & punishment, so that the Gov. can always keep the light over the walls if necessary.

I would let the Gov. understand that he is responsible to leave him to take his own means of protecting himself.

S. J. 10-10

I agree with Mr. J. Anderson
as to question as proposed with
W. Fodder's addition

S. J. 22 10-11

This question does not seem to have been put.
Report has appeared in the Official Report.]

S. J. 10-11

PAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.

No. 581

C O
33345 237
GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
NAIROBI,
BRITISH EAST AFRICA.
RECEIVED OCT 11

September 25th, 1911.

S.K.,

Yours
2-10-30

To obedience to the instructions contained
in your despatch No. 466 of August 13th on the
subject of flogging in the Gaol at Nairobi, I
have the honour to report that out of a total of
1,726, or a daily average number of 497, prisoners
incarcerated in the Gaol during 1910 343 were
sentenced by the Courts to be flogged in addition
to other punishment of imprisonment. Of this
number flogging was ordered by a Judge of the
High Court, 217 by the Town Magistrates of Nairobi
and Nakuru, and 125 by 22 District or Assistant
District Commissioners stationed in various parts
of the Protectorate, all of whose sentences were
confirmed by the High Court.

2. During the same period the Town Magistrate
as a Visiting Justice of the Prison ordered one
prisoner to be flogged and the other Visiting
Justices

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

LAWRENCE HARROUD, P.C., M.P.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

BONDING STREET,

LONDON, S.W.

Justice's ordered 95 floggings under Section 73
of the Prisons Regulations 1902.

3. It will be seen therefore that of the total number of 439 floggings 343 were inflicted as the result of sentences by the Courts and carried out in the Nairobi Gaol and that for serious breaches of Prison discipline 96 floggings were ordered by the Visiting Justices. The following gentlemen were the Visiting Justices of the Nairobi Gaol in 1910:- Judge Hamilton, Judge Barth, Judge Bonham-Carter, Mr. Hobley, Provincial Commissioner, Mr. Humphrey, District Commissioner, Mr. F.G. Hamilton, Labour Inspecting Officer, Mr. Pickering, Town Magistrate, Mr. Hollis, Secretary for Native Affairs, Dr. Lowsley, Medical Officer, Mr. Stordy, Chief Veterinary Officer, Colonel Bell, Rev. Mr. Falloon, Mr. A.A. Baillie, and Captain J.H. Cowie.

4. In the new Prison Ordinance, which is now before Council, provision will be made for all sentences of flogging ordered by a Visiting Justice for serious breaches of Prison discipline to be confirmed by a Judge of the High Court if exceeding 12 lashes and by the Town Magistrate if less than that number.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your humble, obedient servant,

[Signature]
HOBLEY.

Gov/33345/E.A.P.

Downing Street,

Now
8 October, 1911.DRAFT.EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.No. 6574

Governor

COLONEL SIR PERCY GIROUARD, K.C.M.G., D.S.O.,
R.E.MINUTE.

Mr. Macnaghten. 27th Oct.

Sir,

Mr. Buller. 30 & Nov. 6

Mr. Fiddes. 6/12

I have the honour to acknow-

Sir H. Just.

ledge the receipt of your despatch No.

Sir J. Anderson.

531 of the 25th of September last on

Lord Lucas.

the subject of flogging in the Gaol

Mr. Harcourt.

at Nairobi.

Circular Desp. 25th May, 1907.

Ditto. 13th August, 1902.
drt. Ordinance.

Specimen form for Returns.

(Blank copy of Gold Card issued
in 1890.)

2. It appears from your des-

patch that 343 floggings were inflicted

during the year 1910 by order of the

Courts, and 96 ~~penalties~~ for breaches

of prison discipline. In my opinion

these figures must be regarded as

charts)

showing

showing that floggings both by order of

the Courts and for breaches of prison

~~Rules
discipline~~ have been too frequent.

5. During the year 1910 the

number of floggings inflicted in the

Colony of the Gold Coast was 45 by order

of the Courts and 11 for prison offences;

in the Colony of

Sierra Leone and the Protectorate the

figures for the same period were 5 and 8;

while in Nyasaland the figures were 135 and

12. The number of floggings by order

of the Courts in Nyasaland appears to be

somewhat excessive and I am calling the

attention of the Governor to the matter.

4. In Ceylon the frequency of

floggings formed the subject of Parliamentary

criticism a few years ago with the result

that substantial diminution in the number

of floggings has been effected. The

figures

figures for the last three years are as

follows:-

1908

- | | |
|--|-----|
| (a) Floggings by order of Court | 17 |
| (b) Floggings for breach of prison rules | 131 |

1909

- | | |
|--|----|
| (a) Floggings by order of Court | 6 |
| (b) Floggings for breach of Prison Rules | 45 |

1910.

- | | |
|--|----|
| (a) Floggings by order of Court | 15 |
| (b) Floggings for breach of Prison rules | 30 |

5. I enclose for your information

and guidance a copy of Mr. Chamberlain's

Circular despatch of the 25th of May, 1897,

and also a copy of his further Circular of

the 13th of August 1902 enclosing a draft

Ordinance to regulate the law relating

to sentences of flogging. The purpose

of these Circulars, on which I desire to

lay special stress, is that flogging

both by order of Courts and as a punishment

for breaches of prison discipline must be

regarded

regarded as a "serious and exceptional
form of punishment to be employed only
in the most special cases". I am
~~not~~
~~prepared to regard~~
~~as far as to insist that the Governor's~~
consent should be a necessary preliminary
before any sentence of flogging is
~~for prison offences,~~
~~inflicted on a prisoner in the Gaol or~~
~~the Protectorate, but I consider that you~~
~~should require a monthly return to be~~
~~furnished to you giving full particulars~~
~~of all floggings that have been inflicted,~~
~~in order that you may be in a~~
~~You will thus be able to judge whether there~~
~~has been any abuse of this form of~~
~~to excessive use of the flog and~~
~~punishment.~~

You should also be advised
that in my opinion, that the
to satisfy yourself that sentences of
flogging by order of the Courts are
referred to in the fifth paragraph
constrained to the narrowest possible
and the despatch should be communicated
I have to request that returns
of information to be submitted
which should for the present be sent
Court if the fit in such manner
as you may consider proper.

See G.O.
1175/11
for method in
the return of a
President)

I have to request that I
may in future be furnished -
half yearly, in the first instance,

241

— and not yearly as indicated

in the Circular of May 1877 - ~~should~~
with returns

submitted to me giving particulars both

of floggings by order of the Courts and

of floggings for prison offences. These

returns should clearly state for what

offence the punishment was inflicted in

each case, the number of strokes, and the

nature of the implement used; and separate

returns should be furnished in regard to

adults and in regard to juveniles of under

sixteen years of age.

~~K.C.B.~~ I enclose a specimen of a form

which might conveniently be adopted for

the return, in question.

I have etc.,

Gov. /33345/E.A.P.

Downing Street,

Na
8 October, 1911.DRAFT.UGANDA PROTECTORATE.

No. 421

Governor
F. J. JACKSON, ESQ., C.B., C.M.G.
&c., &c., &c.

MINUTE.

Sir,

Mr. Macnaghten. 27th Oct.

I have the honour to inform

Mr. Butler 30 + Nov. 6

you that my attention has recently

Mr. Fiddes. *b/s*

been called to the extent to which

Sir H. Just.

floggings have been inflicted in the
~~African Countries~~ or some Protectorates
prison of the East Africa Protectorate.

Sir J. Anderson.

take the opportunity &
~~I think it desirable to communicate~~
~~of punishment by flogging~~
with you on the subject both for the
as, in the absence of periodical
~~future guidance of your Government~~
returns from Uganda, I am
and in case it should prove that this
not aware how the matter is
punishment has also been inflicted
exacted in the Protectorate
with undue frequency in Uganda.

Lord Lucas

Mr. Hanbury.

Mr. Kettle has enquiring
the enquiry report. *b/s*
Circular desp. 25th Nov. 1897.Ditto. 13th August, 1902.
~~dft. Ordinance.~~Specimen form for Returns.
(Black City of Gold and Towns in 1890)

(drafts)

I have ascertained that 343

floggings were inflicted in the Gaol at

Nairobi during the year 1910 by order

of the Courts and that a further

~~addition~~ 95 floggings were inflicted for

breaches of prison discipline. In my

opinion these figures must be regarded as

~~in that particular~~
showing that floggings both by order of

the Courts and for breaches of prison

discipline have been too frequent.

3. During the year 1910 the

number of floggings inflicted in the

Colony of the Gold Coast was 45 by order

of the Courts and 11 for prison offences;

~~the Colony of~~ 6
in Sierra Leone and the Protectorate the

figures for the same period were 5 and 8;

while in Nyasaland the figures were

150 and 12. The number of floggings

by order of the Courts in Nyasaland

appears to be somewhat excessive and I

desire to call the attention of the Governor

to the matter.

4. In Ceylon the frequency of floggings formed the subject of Parliamentary criticism a few years ago, with the result that substantial diminution in the number of floggings has been effected. The figures for the last three years are as follows:-

1908.

(a) Floggings by order of Court 17
(b) Floggings for breach of prison rules 131

1909.

(a) Floggings by order of Court 6
(b) Floggings for breach of Prison rules 45

1910.

(a) Floggings by order of Court 15
(b) Floggings for breach of Prison rules 50

5. I enclose, for your information and guidance, a copy of Mr. Chamberlain's Circular despatch of the 25th of May, 1897, and also a copy of his further Circular of the 13th of August 1902 enclosing a

draft

draft Ordinance to regulate the law

relating to sentences of flogging. The

purport of these Circulars, on which I

desire to lay special stress, is that

flogging, both by order of Courts and as

a punishment for breaches of prison

discipline, must be regarded as a

"serious and exceptional form of punishment
to be employed only in the most special"

cases". *3. I will not go so far as to*

regard that the Governor's consent should

be a necessary preliminary before any

sentence of flogging is inflicted ~~on~~
~~for minor offences,~~
~~especially in the case of the Protectorate,~~

but I consider that you should require

~~should~~
a monthly return to be furnished to you,
if he is not done already,
giving full particulars of all floggings

~~for minor offences.~~
~~that have been inflicted.~~ You will thus

be able to judge whether there has been

~~any abuse of this form of punishment.~~

~~In order that it may be well~~
~~advised to check any tendency~~
~~to excessive use of the form~~
~~of punishment.~~

DRAFT.

also desirable in my opinion
that the day of the punishment of
flogging is given to a ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~man~~
~~perpetrator of his offence should be~~
~~communicated, for you to appear~~
~~to the subordinate Courts of the~~
~~You should also be at pains to~~
~~Protektorate, in such manner as you~~
~~believe yourself that sentences of~~
~~may consider proper.~~

~~Floggings by order of the Courts are~~

~~restricted to the narrowest possible.~~

4. I have to repeat that you will
limits, and you should furnish me, as soon

as possible after the conclusion of the
present

coming year, with a return showing all

~~during the year~~
floggings that have been inflicted both by

order of the Courts and for breach of

prison discipline. Similar returns -

which should for the present be sent six-
monthly and not yearly as indicated in the

Circular of May 1897 - should be sent
regularly hereafter, and they should clearly

state for what offence the punishment

was inflicted in each case, the number of
strokes, and the nature of the implement

used. Separate returns should be

furnished in regard to adults and in

regard

regard to juveniles under sixteen years of

I enclose a specimen of a form
which might conveniently be adopted for
the return in question.

I have etc.,

Gov/3354/5/R.A.P.

Downing Street,

New
8 October, 1911.

2 copies

DRAFT.

ASALAND.

273

Governor
COLONEL SIR W. MANNING, K.C.M.G., G.O.H.
Gouverneur

MINUTE.

Mr. Macnaghten. 27th Oct.

Mr. Buller. 30th Nov. 6

Mr. Fiddes. b/f

Sir H. Just.

Sir J. Anderson.

Lord Lucas.

Mr. Harcourt.

I have to inform you that

my attention has recently been drawn
to the extent to which flogging has
been inflicted as a form of punishment
in the East Africa Protectorate.

2. In this connection I observe
from the annual returns forwarded

from Nyasaland that the number of
floggings inflicted by order of the

courts during 1910 was 135, ~~and that~~
~~there is no diminution of the~~
~~number for the first six months~~
~~of 1911.~~

3 drafts)

(in Gov. Bureau)
3354/5

3. This

This view should be communicated
of way of ~~Beaufort~~ to
the subordinate Courts of the
Protectorate in such manner
as you may consider proper.

I have no

~~affair to me~~
5. Consider this number excessive

in comparison with other Colonies @ 20

During the year 1910 the number of floggings
inflicted in the Colony of the Gold Coast was
45 by order of the Courts, and 11 for
~~whole~~
~~police stations~~ in Sierra Leone and the ~~Sierra~~
Protectorate the ~~same~~ for the same
period were 5 ~~and~~.

I have therefore, to request, ~~therefore~~,
that you will satisfy yourself that
sentences of flogging by order of the
Courts are restricted to the narrowest
possible limits.

I have also agreed
by my present order that
flogging can be applied
as a severe but exceptional
form of punishment, to be
employed only in the most special
cases. It is desirable, in my opinion,
that