

1911

EAST AFR. PROF.

C. O. 10430

101,60

## Classification of Bat as a British possession

Attempts are being made to declare it was 1883 and hence  
not subject to control. However, a letter from  
1883 of the Governor was examined & it could be argued  
as follows - that the act purports to make a  
provision, as you would rather think, to make the  
G. P. have a certain - ~~power~~, <sup>right</sup> over anything connected with  
2. Bat in India

No. 2

The section of 1883 will be  
found at p. 718 vol. I of the book of  
acts by Dr. Dyer. It appears that the  
insert is a copy of the document  
in the original title will be found  
that reads "The Government of  
the East India Company by Letters  
Pd. to the said Mr. Dyer  
of the 1st of October 1883  
L. P. No. 1 of 1883  
Copy of Act on 5th Oct 1883  
to apply to the Govt. of

A.J.R.  
5/4

Lord Lucas

Mr Howard

I don't know why we expect  
to see Red. The press and the US, China  
& France agree & expect the independence  
of Abyssinia to be now, at present,  
transfers to G.B. in practice our Date find  
G.B. recognizes the sovereignty of both in  
certain territory

On the analogy of the West Indies it  
will be nothing strange in having the East in the Colonies  
& Portugal of - for the purpose of getting  
affectionately with Portugal, notwithstanding  
an article stip along the coast in Africa - therefore a  
~~similar~~ policy of getting

I think he goes on to take 100,000 for 12 months  
The only difficulty about giving away such a lot  
people can get into difficulties - the Portuguese etc  
are very much in the

P.D. 4

Lager

The Regius wrote according

894

CO  
10460



FOREIGN OFFICE,

March 31 1911.

Sir:-

214

Secretary Sir Edward Grey has had under his consideration your letter 38986/1910 of the 3rd of January last respecting the proposed annexation of the British East Africa Protectorate as a British Possession.

I am directed by Sir E. Grey to state in reply, for the information of Mr. Secretary Harcourt, that His Majesty's Government are debarred by the Declaration of March 10th 1862 signed with France from annexing the mainland possessions of the Sultan of Zanzibar, and that, even if that Declaration were annulled, it would be necessary, in view of the Agreement of July 1st, 1890 with Germany, if the annexation of British East Africa were decided upon, to insert a proviso securing all the just rights of the Sultan of Witu.

It

Yours  
Secretary of State,  
Colonial Office.

(381/11).



It will be for Mr. Harcourt to consider whether the proposed annexation of the remainder of the Protectorate is desirable in view of the limitations imposed by the Treaty engagements above referred to in regard to the ten mile strip and Witu and Sir E. Grey would be glad to be informed of the decision taken.

I am,

Sic,

Your most obedient,

Bumble Servant,

A handwritten signature in ink, appearing to read "W. B. Angley".

707 10260 Sat

10 20

DRAFT

A. S. 23

E.A.

15 Apr. 1944

Dear Sirs  
I enclose and the receipt  
of your letter No. 387/10 of the  
3rd March respecting the  
report to arrive at H. S. A.  
as a British Representative,  
to report that you will  
not be able to get further  
information or take no  
other action in this  
so far as

G. H. M. 17/4/44

R. H. C.

To / 10000 Est.

247

Draft Cat N 191

Gov. in Algiers

to

7 Apr 1911.

Act. 1911

Reel 2

175

3 per

28116

17000  
15000

2 P.M.  
111 Revert

Have the honor to transmit  
to you for your info the  
accompanying copy of  
correspondence with the F.O.  
respecting the proposal  
to make the B.C.A.P. as  
a British Proprietary,  
which found the subject  
of several legal controver-  
~~sies~~ ~~and~~ ~~discrepancies~~ during  
your recent visit to  
this country.

2

2. In view of the limitations  
imposed by the Treaty  
engagements referred to  
in the letter from the F.O.  
of the 31<sup>st</sup> of March,

These desire to take  
no further action in the  
matter for the present.

B.G.