

E. AFRICA

23334

23331

3.29.10

103

1910

July

previous Paper

23335

Conference on East African Shipping

Dr. F. Howard  
Col. Secretary  
Reported for wind

Put by?

AJR

21/III

3.8

3.8

Subsequent Paper

10  
23853

CONFIDENTIAL

16

Conference at Colonial Office on July 27, 1910, on  
proposed Union-Castle Line service to and from East Coast of  
Africa by Suez route.

Col. Seely presided and there were present Mr. Garter,  
Mr. Head (Colonial Office), Sir Matthew Nathan and Mr. Waller  
(Post Office), and Mr. Molteno, Sir F. Marindin, Sir Evelyn  
Evans and Mr. Cook (Union-Castle Co.).

At Col. Seely's invitation Mr. Molteno briefly stated the  
Company's position. They proposed a four-weekly service via  
Suez between Southampton and Durban, out and home, connecting  
with their Cape Mail service.<sup>1</sup> To carry out this scheme  
they would have a big German line against them, and they  
feared they would face a loss of £30,000 or £40,000 a  
year of £36,000. Sir F. Marindin asked the Government to say, if it wanted the service estab-  
lished, in what way it could assist them. When they looked for  
was a definite Government support for a definite term of years.  
In view of the Suez Canal dues - some £30,000 or £40,000 a  
year, they would have at the outset to face a loss, but they  
felt that the German domination must be broken through one of  
these. But they could not embark on this risky undertaking  
without a definite pledge of Government support.

Col. Seely observed that there were only three cash values  
to be considered: (1) passengers, (2) goods, (3) mails. The  
first and last were the easiest points to settle, and Government  
support for the Company under both heads was defensible; but  
on the question of goods (the Company, it appeared, had asked  
for two-thirds of the Government freight) he saw difficulties.  
The Government had to meet House of Commons criticism, and it  
would not be easy to defend what would be called a hole-and-  
corner agreement, giving a virtual monopoly. The question  
would certainly be asked whether the Government had taken signifi-

155

to ascertain whether the proposed service was the best that could be obtained for the State, and if not, why not. For this reason he would greatly prefer the normal, almost universal, practice of asking for tenders.

To this suggestion Mr. Molteno and Sir F. Mervilees strongly objected, as it would expose their hand to the Germans. It must be remembered that the Company would be risking their South African position (the new scheme, said Sir F. Mervilees, would almost certainly cause a war of races in South Africa); and the Company's exceptional risk entitling them to exceptional treatment, with them reasonably defensible to Parliament. To this view Sir Matthew Nathan concurred.

Mr. Mercer said that there need be no public advertising for tenders; a few shipping firms might be privately circularized. Mr. Molteno and Sir F. Mervilees replied that there would be no real privacy, the facts would promptly leak out through the Shipping Press. Further, nothing (say an answer to Parliamentary criticism) would be gained by the Government, as the Company would really have only one in a position to make a bona fide tender; but they objected to any plan of tendering, for the reason already given of the exposure of their hand to the Germans. Col. Sealy and Mr. Mercer then suggested, in lieu of a calling for tenders, a confidential letter to shipping firms asking them to say, within some impossibly short time, say a week, whether they could furnish a better service than the (i.e. the proposed Union-Castle service) that had been laid before the Government. While the Company would be spared the publicity they feared and would readily be shielded from any effective competition, while the Government would have an answer to the inevitable question in Parliament whether they had made any enquiries outside the Company. Mr. Molteno replied that he thought

thought Parliament would be likely to criticize this step even more strongly as a "hole and corner" proceeding than it would the agreement with the Company which they were sealing.

Asked by Col. Seager what was the Post Office view of the proposed service, Sir M. Nathan explained that it would be valuable with the Time Table as it would stop ~~the~~ the dates practically making the service coincident with the existing British India service. On the other hand, if the dates could be altered by a fortnight, the Post Office would have a British service started from the East Coast of Africa as far as Zanzibar every fortnight instead of once a month, as at present. The new service would also no doubt be of advantage for carrying certain mails for Chinde at present sent by German Posts.

As to the postal value of the service it could not be expected to exceed that of the British India service, about £1800. In reply to Sir F. Marrisdees, Mr. Walkley explained how this figure was arrived at by the Post Office. It appeared that the Company had suggested to Col. Seager £15,000 as a postal subsidy + on the assumption that they would be giving a better service than the British India Company, with its subsidy of £9,000. It was explained to Sir F. Marrisdees that this British India subsidy, though borne on the Post Office vote, was given for other than purely postal considerations. Anyhow, said Sir M. Nathan, the new service would not justify a payment on postal grounds, even allowing consideration for regularity and control, of more than about £2000.

Mr. Molteno and Sir F. Marrisdees were manifestly dissatisfied with the Post Office figure, but even admitting that a considerable increase of receipts might be looked for from the certain increase of correspondence between the East Coast

and South Africa they made no attempt to upset the estimate. Sir F. Marrisides, however, said there would be no difficulty about rearranging their Time Table dates, so as to provide a service alternating with the British India service or about arranging for a connexion with the Peninsular & Oriental Packet either at Port Said or to secure a similar service by Aden. (Their Time Table had made no mention of either Port Said or Aden.) In short, on making all due arrangements for connecting up the service, the Company would be able to fulfil the Post Office requirements. Incidentally, the Company proposed to use four ships of 8000 tons each, and in fact to provide a service in every way superior to the British India service, or else they booked in very disagreeable terms.

Deverting to the question of freight, Col. Seelye suggested that any arrangement for the exclusive or nearly exclusive use of a service for cargo would be very difficult to defend in PARLIAMENT.

Finally Mr. Wilson asked: "May we know how we stand at present?" Col. Seelye: "We as a Government are most anxious to see the service maintained and to help you in every possible way - which we likely to have to do - we can give you our passengers and some parcel traffic - how far I cannot yet say (we must wait and see if Sir Matthew Murray's heart will soften) - but we cannot make an arrangement about cargo, unless the Prime Minister and Lord Crewe (whom I will see on the subject) are prepared to take the risk of Parliamentary criticism."

After the Union-Castle Company's party had withdrawn, Col. Seelye expressed the opinion, in which there was general concurrence, that the Union-Castle scheme was directed against the nation. It had been concerted in

C.O.

8

POSTAGE PAID  
RECORDED MAIL  
LONDON

agreement with them, the Government ought not to ignore them in  
the matter. Accordingly he proposed, and it was agreed, that  
he should tell Mr Molteno that the Government would be prepared  
to consider an agreement with the Union-Castle Company for  
carriage of goods as well as of passengers and (some) postal  
subsidy only upon condition that the British India Company was  
at once informed of the scheme and given the opportunity of  
competing. If Mr Molteno would not consent to this, then the  
Government could consent to an agreement with his Company about  
passengers and mails only, and not about cargo.

ABW

27 July 1910

~~private~~  
~~confidential~~

Mr 25  
(910)

My dear Seely

Here is the  
very rough draft  
of what I spoke +  
what I said in  
that speech was  
to give time and to  
get ready to the  
actual agent  
in shall not give him  
warning at 12:15

14th July 1910

B P D molton

A G R E E M E N T for the Establishment of a Service  
of Steamers via the Suez Canal between the United  
Kingdom and East Africa and South Africa.

IT is agreed between His Majesty's Government of the  
one part and the Union Castle Mail Steamship Company of  
the other that, in view of the fact that it is desirable  
in the public interest to establish a direct Service  
of British Steamers between the United Kingdom and  
Mombasa and Zanzibar, via the Suez Canal, and in view of  
the fact that the dues charged for the passage of Steamers  
through the Suez Canal creates very great difficulties  
in the way of the establishment of such a service, and  
in view of the fact that His Majesty's Government are  
unable to promise ~~any~~ subsidy to meet the cost of such  
canal dues, it is agreed between His Majesty's Government  
and the Union Castle Steamship Company that His Majesty's  
~~Government and the Governments of the Protectorates of~~  
Kenya, Uganda and Nyassaland, as well as Zanzibar,  
~~do their best to support a Service of Steamers which~~  
is established by the Union Castle Company in the following  
manner and under the following conditions:

THE Union Castle Mail Steamship Company will  
~~establish and maintain~~ retain the service of steamers to sail once in four  
weeks from a port or ports of the United Kingdom to  
British West Africa via the Suez Canal, and to return

200<sup>A</sup>

via the same route from the ports of British East Africa to the United Kingdom.

THAT in order to carry out the undertaking to support this Service of Steamers His Majesty's Government will give the conveyance of all their Government passengers and migrants to and from the Protectorates to the Steamers of the Union Castle Company. In the event of urgency they will be at liberty to send by other steamers; but this power is only to be used to limited extent, and not to the prejudice of the Union Castle Company's Steamers.

HIS Majesty's Government, as well as the Governments of the Protectorates above named, will do their best to support with such cargo as may be under their control homewards the Steamers of the Union

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60
61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70
71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90
91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110
111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120
121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130
131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140
141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150
151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160
161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170
171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180
181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190
191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200
201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210
211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220
221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230
231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240
241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250
251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260
261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270
271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280
281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290
291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300
301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310
311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320
321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330
331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340
341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350
351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360
361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370
371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380
381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390
391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400
401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410
411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420
421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430
431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440
441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450
451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460
461	462	463	464	465	466	467	468	469	470
471	472	473	474	475	476	477	478	479	480
481	482	483	484	485	486	487	488	489	490
491	492	493	494	495	496	497	498	499	500
501	502	503	504	505	506	507	508	509	510
511	512	513	514	515	516	517	518	519	520
521	522	523	524	525	526	527	528	529	530
531	532	533	534	535	536	537	538	539	540
541	542	543	544	545	546	547	548	549	550
551	552	553	554	555	556	557	558	559	560
561	562	563	564	565	566	567	568	569	570
571	572	573	574	575	576	577	578	579	580
581	582	583	584	585	586	587	588	589	590
591	592	593	594	595	596	597	598	599	600
601	602	603	604	605	606	607	608	609	610
611	612	613	614	615	616	617	618	619	620
621	622	623	624	625	626	627	628	629	630
631	632	633	634	635	636	637	638	639	640
641	642	643	644	645	646	647	648	649	650
651	652	653	654	655	656	657	658	659	660
661	662	663	664	665	666	667	668	669	670
671	672	673	674	675	676	677	678	679	680
681	682	683	684	685	686	687	688	689	690
691	692	693	694	695	696	697	698	699	700
701	702	703	704	705	706	707	708	709	710
711	712	713	714	715	716	717	718	719	720
721	722	723	724	725	726	727	728	729	730
731	732	733	734	735	736	737	738	739	740
741	742	743	744	745	746	747	748	749	750
751	752	753	754	755	756	757	758	759	760
761	762	763	764	765	766	767	768	769	770
771	772	773	774	775	776	777	778	779	780
781	782	783	784	785	786	787	788	789	790
791	792	793	794	795	796	797	798	799	800
801	802	803	804	805	806	807	808	809	810
811	812	813	814	815	816	817	818	819	820
821	822	823	824	825	826	827	828	829	830
831	832	833	834	835	836	837	838	839	840
841	842	843	844	845	846	847	848	849	850
851	852	853	854	855	856	857	858	859	860
861	862	863	864	865	866	867	868	869	870
871	872	873	874	875	876	877	878	879	880
881	882	883	884	885	886	887	888	889	890
891	892	893	894	895	896	897	898	899	900
901	902	903	904	905	906	907	908	909	910
911	912	913	914	915	916	917	918	919	920
921	922	923	924	925	926	927	928	929	930
931	932	933	934	935	936	937	938	939	940
941	942	943	944	945	946	947	948	949	950
951	952	953	954	955	956	957	958	959	960
961	962	963	964	965	966	967	968	969	970
971	972	973	974	975	976	977	978	979	980
981	982	983	984	985	986	987	988	989	990
991	992	993	994	995	996	997	998	999	1000

\*2

Castle Company, provided the rates by such Steamers  
are not above the current rates ruling at the time.  
That the Union Castle Company shall not be compelled  
<sup>in the course of the voyage</sup>  
to take extreme rates such as may rule owing to a  
rate war.

HIS Majesty's Government will use their best offices  
to arrange for the carriage of mails by the Steamers  
of the Union Castle Company, who are to receive a proper  
subsidy for work done in connection with carrying mails.  
THIS Agreement shall endure for a period of  
years from the first of August.

THE first sailing shall take place on — day  
of September from the United Kingdom, and continue  
thereafter at regularly four-weekly intervals.

Confidential

953

Mr. Mortens M.P. left the ~~conference~~ <sup>arrived</sup> paper with Colost Seely. The time tables indicate the business meeting  
beginning the third day, Mortens  
and Sonder. The agreement  
in Mortens idea of what he will  
like the Government to provide. He  
also indicated that his intention is  
to obtain the subsidy of £12,000.  
I am not  
in the  
current  
stage  
of the  
contract. He  
at present paid to the Bank  
loan for the Glen Morgan  
contract. He thought the British  
India & would be glad to let you  
know. The Bank allow the terms  
of the contract with the S. Govt  
Government. He wished the plan  
to be treated as strictly confidential  
at present, but desired to know  
the attitude of the C.O.

T.M.W. July 19

N. Fiddes

This is the sort of thing  
we really want + I think that  
we should do every thing we can  
to support the lot. As the  
steamer only sails at intervals of 11  
months, we may have to go a long time  
of time in some case, but I do not  
think that the shallower water is far  
enough off our fort. We will by the  
steamer with regard to cargo, some can  
be had. With regard to whisky, it is absolutely  
any more we wish, it is absolutely  
necessary to send some stores by the  
steamer first available steamer whether there  
will be a steamer or not, but this can  
not be sure + I think that we can  
wait a week, at least  $\frac{2}{3}$  of a  
week by the 67<sup>th</sup> steamer.  
I have always held that we ought  
to give all our effort to see that we  
do this as a portion to make  
the place it is a portion to make  
effectively with fresh water. If we  
do this then as my belief will help the  
sailors to live better because we pay more  
+ we shall receive more money for us  
to live while these men will be here for us.

B. J. H.  
20/10/00

P. D. Hopwood

I agree generally with Mr  
Reed. It must not be forgotten that  
Mr P. Granger wished to make up his  
losses by raising his rates above the  
prevailing rates for passage of the  
Cape and also that he found it  
difficult to compete against the New Castle  
Beech Tree but the major mistake  
was in trying to have coast coal  
as a right to be supplied to New Castle  
for a time past 1/4 of I think 100000  
by name or date 6-7/8 or even 7  
(B. S. 18916) J. H. (207)

I am afraid there is many abuse  
in having the coal sent  
overland. The New Castle should  
allow the freights on the  
main line.

Coal Credit

Feb 22. 7

If we could only be sure that the Company would  
continue their service it would be well worth  
our while to help them in every way.  
For here are they to form a company only  
at all the very thing with F. D. Jones & Son, Jones  
and a other firm <sup>must</sup> told us two  
years ago told not of <sup>any</sup> subject of

from £56,000 to £75,000 a year.

I fear it is too good to be true, in other words, that it will not last long. But I believe it would, no doubt, be of immense advantage to the Protectorate to have a regular and practical system of communications. I have spoken to the P.M.G. on the subject, and as fully believe that the Post Office will help in every way possible. But all this depends on the prospect of permanence.

Yours  
J. C. G.  
1027

PROPOSED SERVICE

Southampton	Marseilles	Suez	Mombasa	Zanzibar
Sept 14	Sept 28	Sept 29	Oct 11	Oct 12
Oct 12	Oct 20	Oct 27	Nov 8	Nov 9

RETURN

Zanzibar	Mombasa	Suez	Marseilles	Southampton
Nov 11	Nov 12	Nov 24	Dec 1	Dec 9
Dec 9	Dec 10	Dec 22	Dec 29	Jan 6

(Draft)

Whereas it is desirable in the public interest that a British Service for mails and passengers should be established to connect the United Kingdom with the ports of British East Africa and Zanzibar and vice versa, and whereas the heavy dues on steamers passing through the Suez Canal - especially on passenger steamers - make it very onerous to undertake the establishment of such a service, and whereas His Majesty's Government are unable to give a subsidy for such a service. Now it is agreed that H.M. Government will do their best to support the service of steamers to be established by the Union-Castle Company.

The Union-Castle Company will establish a service once every four weeks from the U.K. to East African ports and from East African ports to U.K. The steamers will be passenger steamers of a high class sailing on

fixed dates to commence

H.M. Government agree to give all  
their passengers at current rates to  
the Union-Castle Company's steamers.

H.M. Government agree to give at  
least two-thirds of their cargo to the  
Union-Castle Company's steamers at a  
(all round rate) or at tariff rates

A.M. Good  
will be done  
to each other