

SP 7
1911

EAST AFR PROT

C.O.
2191

GE 23 AM II

2191

Treasury

Date.

1911

21 Jan.

Last previous Paper.

Govt.

34016
10

Copy comes for no 93 22 Feb.
to day. Up to now 53 22 Feb.
last night arrived one 18. D/Jan 12

Police Order

Sanctions provisions of Order re: Expenses
& gratuities with amounts now proposed.

M. Battle.

So far Sangoor.

The next question to be settled is that raised in Section 57 of the Drift Ordinance -
^(say) by that section Corporal punishment
is allowed in the case of aggravated
offences or when the accused
has been previously convicted of any
offence under the ordinance.

The punishment is not to exceed
such length as to be inflicted as ~~unusually~~
by Section 55 of the Ordinance; and
it is only to be inflicted on native
or a non-commissioned officers
or Constables. Any sentence of
corporal punishment imposed by an
inspector is to be confirmed by the

to magistrate in charge of the District
Courtship or place where the sentence
is imposed.

The following paragraphs, which have
been redacted, should be read -

Remarks of Comm Advocate on
Section 51 of the Opt. ordinance.

In this section, in view of the criticism
in the Ord. No. 11 of the 2nd of Dec.
in 1921, it is proposed

to amend it by a Head
of Order in his Ord. No 232
on the 23rd April in 1921.

H
The amends in 15342/10, so far
as woods logging, suggest that
subsection 2(b) of section 51 be allowed
stand, in its clear and simple
form, that is to say 12 months from the date
that the new criminal law report was
presented to the Govt. that the powers conferred
by Hogg [unclear] that the powers conferred
in this respect by the Ord. shall be
exercised sparingly, that for the S.O.P.
and it will be amended next
year so as to draw away the power of
inflicting corporal punishment.
It should be mentioned that the Uganda
Police Ordinance 1908 / copy
hereof does not allow the
infliction of corporal punishment
(as such) as in the Opt. Ord. 1921.

on Section 62 (a) - This is
an argument in favour of allowing
the provision in the Opt. Ord. to
stand. It argues that it is
desirable if it is decided to
disallow 51 (a) in the Opt., that
course will necessitate an amending
Ord. in Uganda, disallowing
henceforth 62 (a) in the Uganda Ord.

Adv 25/1 34A

W. ~~Re~~ Fiddes.

We now know what the Opt. says
in 15342/10, I think that the Ord.
may be allowed, in reliance on the
safeguards as to flogging contained
in the proviso to Sec. 51 (regarding
sentences of corporal punishment
by an Inspector to be confirmed by a
Magistrate) of Sec. 55 (imposing restrictions
on medical grounds); subject to a
full report being forwarded on the
matter being reconsidered, at the end
of twelve months.

Part B

Apr. 26. H.J.B.
26/1

P.T.O.

Closely
W Harcourt

This is a difficult question. The arguments pro & con are given in the ~~wanted~~ pages of the pro papers.

There is force in the arguments pro. On the other hand I fear that the passing of this section would chief protest in the country, & that if we then repealed it in deference to protest, the discipline of the force with regard to protests, the discipline of the force will be super worse than if the section had never been enacted!

As to what I am inclined to recommend at my get-together with flogging powers, see my letters. Promise consideration of ~~any~~ ^{experience} others brought in to provision is necessary.

P.S. 8/12

I think Mr. Fiddes is right.

J.S.
2/2.

They must do without flogging.

Let me see the draft Draft

But are we to consider an amendment in Uganda to ~~abolish~~ the flogging there? I think we must or we shall be in a fix.

8/8/11

In the reply to this Letter the following
Number should be quoted.

2191

35

23 JA
TREASURY CHAMBERS.

11.

January 1911

Sir,

In reply to Mr. Cox's letter of the 31st ultimo
(34015/1910), I am directed by the Lords Commissioners of
His Majesty's Treasury to state, for the information of Mr.
Secretary Harcourt, that My Lords sanction the provisions
of the East Africa Protectorate Police Ordinance regarding
pensions and gratuities with the amendments now proposed.

I am,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

D. Heath

The Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office.

Treasury 2151 Feb

17

DRAFT. S. o. N° 93

044

22 Dec 1908.

MINUTE.

Mr. Parkinson 1/2
Mr. [unclear]
Mr. [unclear] 1/2
~~Mr. Read~~ 1/2
~~Mr. Fiddes.~~ 1/2

Mr. Just. (G.O. 36015/10) An urgent meeting to discuss certain of the E.A.P. Police ~~Bill~~ which deal with pensions & gratuities for the European Police Constables. I transmit to you for your info' guidance, a copy of correspondence with the Treasury from which you will see that the E.C. have sanctioned the actions in question, subject to the amendments indicated in the letter from them dated the 31st of Dec. last.

2191

copy

2. I will now deal
with the other sections of the rule
which were discussed
in the Ryamrud's Report.

(1) N° 232 of the 23rd P
April last.

sections 2 and 35

In view of the explanation
not furnished. I have
no objection to raise
to either of these sections
as drafted.

Section 5th

More briefly considered
the arguments brought forward
in support of subsection 2 (1),
which permits the infliction
of corporal punishment
not exceeding twenty-four
lashes on Asiatic African
non-commissioned officers or
constables. Some not however,
yet satisfied that a provision
of this character is necessary,

but, as at present
advised, it appears to
me that it is better,
for any point of
view, to leave this
a constable to ~~constable~~
constable ^{without} ~~and~~ ^{with} being
left in order to keep

I regret that I am unable
to dispose of this subsection
of a rule however, it is
absolutely necessary to
proceed in as to corporal 37
punishment to be restored. Shall
be prepared to reconsider the
question at a later date.
I may add that I am awaiting
the acts of Uganda to pass
an ordinance repealing
the corresponding section in
the Uganda Police Ordinance
of 1907.

Section 11

See no reason to reconsider
the decision of my predecessor
communicated in his
op. N° 71 of the 8th of Feb.

1907
25/08/07

Section 71(2) of this
Bill is not, as stated in his
op. N° 71 of the 8th of Feb.
1907, identical with the
corresponding section in the
Uganda Police Ordinance;
what it should be amended.

so as to read as follows:-
"all moneys paid or received
under sections 67, 68 and 69
shall be audited to the General
Revenue Account of the 23rd"

Section 83

This section should follow
section 97 of the Uganda
Police Ordinance, that is to say
the words "All sums paid
for the service of persons by
police officers and" should
be deleted.

As the Committee has not yet
been appointed to it should be
left out until the day of
meeting of the committee.
and also leave, so that it
can be left out if
agreed to do so.

March 20th

DRAFT Clause No 53

100

✓

MINUTE

Mr. Baker
Mr. P. M. T.
Mr. Bishop
Mr. Teller
Mr. Just

Mr. Cox
Sir C. Lucas

Col. Seely
Mr. Harcourt

There is no room to leave for that
item in the minute book so the committee
will meet and in the meantime
the draft Police ordinance
is to be modelled on the
of the 1st November 1908.
I regard this ordinance (which)
as providing for the
infliction of corporal punishment
not exceeding twenty-four lashes
on a native African non-
commissioned officer and
constable in the case of
apprehended offence or where the
accused has been previously
convicted of any offence
under the ordinance and

diffs

other been brought to my
notice that there is a
similar provision in
Section 62 of the Uganda
Police Ordinance 1908.

2. I am not, however,
satisfied that the infliction
of corporal punishment
in the case of the Police or
is necessary ~~or~~ ^{in the case of the Police or}
police, and, in view of
the strong objection which
exists^{to} the practice of
flagging police
constables, & have
inf^d the Ord. of the Col
but I regret that I am
unable to approve of
this provision referred to,
but that, if experience
~~were kept~~
shows that it is ~~absolutely~~
necessary for the organisation should
be restored, I shall be
prepared to consider
the question at a
later date.

3. It is clearly undesirable
that the Police Ordinance of the
Uganda & S. A. P. Ord.
differ in so important
a matter, & I have
therefore to request that
you will pass an
~~order~~ order to repeal
section 62(d) of the
Uganda Police Ord. 1908
or such other sections or
parts of sections as
may be affected by
this decision, e.g.
section 53^{and} 56, & the
proviso at the end of
Section 62.

P.M.