

EAST AFR. PROT.

1911

6353

23 Sept 8

Treasury

Date.

1911

7 Oct.

Last previous Paper

2 years  
2701

Police and Prisons

Estimated 1911-12.

Sanction proposals as submitted. Some of the proposed increases however appear somewhat high & may have to be reconsidered.

Mr Butler.

I sent up to me Rep. 19 of 27 Oct. on G.O. 39570, saying that it was taken the opportunity of Dr Linstead's presence in England to discuss with him the State Prison, ~~and~~ the organisation - that the estd. for 1911-12 have been dealt with separately & app'd. by the Treasury - send copy of comp. with these for info. guidance explaining <sup>giving</sup> that the organisation is approved (subject to the modifications which appear in the estd. ~~and~~ are dealt with in the correspondence with the Treasury.)

ext subsequent Paper

No. 39570 (Progress)

to 1st Oct. (Progress)

G.O. 39570 (Progress)

to 1st Oct. (Progress)

and refer especially to the  
points raised in the Resolutions.  
Memos on May 10 in recommendation

No. 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78,  
79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84,  
regards Police & Prison buildings,  
etc agree generally with the  
programme set forth in the report,  
but that no provision has been  
made for them in the Dept. of  
Finance! no buildings will be  
erected in absentia. A receipt of  
sanction of the Dept. of Finance  
is required.

W. Read. 2nd

The financial rem official letter on  
Treasary has had an effect.

2701

2nd

4/2  
11/II

Fol. 66  
Mr Harcourt

Very satisfactory. Proceed as proposed?

Whole side of writing, on this I must  
present to you when the unopposed correspondence  
with Treas. regd on 2701. I have seen the first  
page of the Bull. Particulars agrees with

delight. - The trap has its dangerous side,  
but there is another side which is by no means  
dangerous to the victim, here. The Treasury  
officials are constantly engaged in "pulling &  
the screw"; if they give the sun-dries too much  
they may stop the steamer. Before Lord Curzon's  
departure however, fast coming to the conclusion  
that he might have to make a stand against  
their pretensions. Naturally you don't care much  
of it, but it is desirable that an occasional  
specimen of the species brought before you.

2701

Seen and noted.

15/2

As it is far better to let it off after  
knowing much necessary  
objection

4/18 2/11

In the reply to this Letter the following  
Number should be quoted:

3958

SECRET

February 1911.

Sir,

The Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury have had before Them Mr. Fiddes's letter of the 19th ultmo (39170/1910) with regard to the proposed reorganisation of the Police and Prisons Services in the East Africa Protectorate, and to the provision to be made for them in the Protectorate's Estimates for 1911-12.

Their Lordships direct me to state for the information of Mr. Secretary Harcourt that in view of the considerable net savings to be effected They feel justified in sanctioning the proposals as they stand.

As the Secretary of State will no doubt realise, My Lords have not found it possible on the information put before Them to form any definite opinions as to the numerous changes involved, the details of which They must accept on His recommendation. But They desire me to say that some of the increases of salaries proposed strike Them, *prima facie*, as being somewhat high, and They may find it necessary to revert to the question of scales in the event of further changes being proposed which would trench on the savings immediately affected.

I am,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

*J. M. M.*

The Under Secretary of State,

Colonial Office.

Tranney 3958 Est.

DRAFT. Est. N° 102

T.G. Gov  
Mr. Blighard

26 Febr. 1900.

MINUTE.

Mr. Parkinson 20/2

Mr. Smith 21/  
Mr. Read 21/  
Mr. Fiddes.

Mr. Just.

Mr. Cox.

Sir C. Lucas.

Col. Seely.

Mr. Harcourt.

Li.

With ref. to my memorandum  
d/s/p. No. 629 of the 27<sup>th</sup> of October,  
I have the honour to inform you  
that I took the opportunity  
of Sir Blighard's presence  
in this Country to discuss  
with him the re-organisation  
of the Police & Prisons service  
of the P.C. I have the honour  
to transmit to you for your  
info: a copy of correspondence  
with Mr. [unclear] concerning relating to the  
amount of expences made. There  
two head ~~are~~ which were

Tranney - 18 Jan  
1900

from Tranney 1 Feb  
3958

which were dealt with separately from the general  
A copy of which with  
the L.C. of the Treasury  
is enclosed for your info.  
opinion, from which  
you will see that they  
have approved the  
~~financial results of the~~  
re-organisation proposals,  
as shown in the Estimates  
for 1911-12, subject to  
the modifications  
which are referred  
to in the letter from  
this Deptt. of the 19<sup>th</sup>  
of January.

3. The re-organisation  
of these services appears  
to effect a great  
improvement. I hope  
presently of the various  
recommendations which  
will

were summarised  
in the Inspector General's  
Supplementary Report  
on the Police & Prisons  
of the 12<sup>th</sup> Decr. 1910,  
~~except where they have~~  
been modified in connection  
with the Estimates.

4. There are, however,  
certain points to which  
I wish to call special  
reference.

Recommendation No. 7.  
As you are aware,  
we have decided not to proceed  
with the appointment of the  
new Assistant Superintendents  
until Sir ~~Blomfield~~ has  
fully examined the R.Y.  
Adviser in the matter & has  
gone carefully into the  
questions of -

(a) the claims of ~~un~~ those  
who are now serving ~~as~~

as Inspectors or  
Assistant Inspectors,  
for promotion to the  
commissioned ranks.

(a) the standards to be  
of men to be selected  
in future for the  
non-commissioned ranks.

of the Police &  
recommendations<sup>17.</sup> 18.

As it appears that there  
is not for the moment  
any prospect of the  
present recruiting  
agency failing in its  
supply, there is no  
need to consider the  
~~present~~ course outlined  
in the two paragraphs.

Recommendation No 40 -

I understand that ~~that~~ you  
~~present~~ desire to take  
up

DRAFT.

MINUTE

Mr.  
Mr.  
Mr. Fiddes.  
Mr. Just.  
Mr. Cox.  
Sir C. Lucas.

Col. Seely.  
Mr. Harcourt.

up the question of travelling  
allowances with the  
I.G., so far as  
Oriental European  
non-commissioned officers  
are concerned. As at  
present advised, I am  
of opinion that the  
Police should not be  
differentiated from other  
administrative officers  
in the matter of their  
allowances. The  
whole question of these  
~~allowances~~ is now  
under consideration  
at the present time.

recommendation 41.

The regulation as to  
the grant of travelling  
allowances is shown  
on page 8 of the  
Reg.

Rules for the Employment  
of Persons in the  
E.A., Uganda &  
Small and P.M.

(May, 1910) - When an absent officer is in receipt of full salary, the substitute receives no remuneration.  
When any deduction is made from the full salary, the substitute may receive such part of the sum deducted as the S. of S. may determine. This regulation holds good in the case of Police officers appointed to E.A., of  
~~desire~~ purpose am to opinion that it should continue in force, ~~so~~ long at any rate ~~as~~ for the present ~~at least~~ in  
~~any~~ ~~case~~ ~~in~~ ~~any~~

In this view, I regret that I cannot approve of the recommendation that ~~the~~ <sup>100</sup> should be a fixed rate of acting allowance for officers and ~~other~~ of the  
Recommendation No. 64, 62

I do not propose to deal with the question of the States of the Police force & the precedence of the various ranks, until I have received the new table of precedence referred to in ~~the~~ ~~last~~ ~~recommendation~~ ~~No. 64, 65~~

30/2243/909  
Chief Ct.  
E.A.

from my predecessor's despatch dated the 31<sup>st</sup> of August 1909.

Recommendation No. 64, 65

Although the papers are "lost" - CO/487/1 - I have ascertained that no despatch has been sent in them & so far the paper is with me.

Believe  
yours

The recommendations that a good conduct medal should be granted to members of the force after continuous service of 12 years & that they should in certain cases be exempt from all direct taxation, are under consideration. A further communication will be made to you in due course.

Re: