

In view of the extra responsibility which will be placed on ~~the~~ the I.G. of Prisons, who will also act as I.G. of Prisons, & having regard to the necessity of keeping the Police force at its highest state of efficiency, I do not feel ~~at all~~ prepared to consider yet the proposal that the office of I.G. should be abolished. I hope that Captain Edwards will continue to carry out the duties of the app't.

Finally, the programme of Police & Prisons buildings sketched in the I.G.'s Report meets with my approval generally. but as provision for the same is made in the estimate for 1911-12, which is now being prepared, I am unable to do more than to advise you to be guided in respect of Police buildings by the estimate for 1911-12, which is now being prepared.

It will be a matter for consideration in connection with the P.O. Estimate Schedule for each year. Estimates for Police buildings can be made at any time.



1911

EAST AFRIC. PROT. (UGANDA) 4186

Security Date 1911 P. 100

Jinja-Kakanda Railway

Reports that owing to miscalculation the estimated cost will be exceeded by £5000. Submits this as to responsibility of Sir P. provided in the matter & asks for further explanation. Suggests provision of £5000 instead of £2000 in Feb 1911-12 for this purpose.

Mr. Butler

The Treasury 'let it in' as it is intended that they should be as I am bound to suppose they are submitted to you as to Sir P. provided's assurance 'You can rely on Sturgeon's estimate not being exceeded', and as to his assurance that there would be a certain amount of £40,000 left which to pay part of the cost of construction of the Jinja-Tororo. The primary responsibility for the error

Copy to Mr. Tolson on 20.10.11. Copy to Mr. Tolson on 30 May 1898. Copy to Mr. Tolson on 30 May 1898. Copy with copy sent to Mr. Tolson on 30 May 1898.

418. W.L.S. 50 1170. A.S.W. Subsequent Paper

must not let Mr. Stevenson (how he
once has made so large an error
in a preliminary estimate it is hard
for a layman to understand), but I do
not think that the proposed can be held
entirely free from blame as to far as
knowing how to have a preliminary
estimate and in particular to
confidentially of opinion that we had better
to wait for 2000000 though I think
that he was pretty well in a position
that there was no error of anything like
the magnitude of the. The only of it was
that we only had a preliminary estimate
and that we had no full report from
Capt Stevenson to work upon. He was ^{in the Treasury} ₁₈₅₀ minutes

The time of the Treasury letter will
not be pleasant to the P. firm, but
we must have been to make his own

Explanation

Send copy of copy letter to the Treasury
to the first of the last sheet to 750
the 28th of Dec (2011), and for the
Explanations issued by the Treasury,
and say that no incident involved in
2012 has been met as he (a for
Compliance

But this incident is a misunderstanding
W. P. ~~Stevenson~~ ¹⁸⁷⁵ 1/2

The Treasury are justified in
all they say. For that we should
hear of this mistake many times
Proceed as proposed?

W.P.B.
(Tell acct Dept) Feb 10
P.S. H. J. R.
For Study
W.P.B.

Anyhow, he gets the money.
? As proposed W.P. 11/2
The Treasury have got us this time, and they put it in
as they are entitled to do. 1872

Yes these blades are from the

4186



4186
103

In the reply to this letter the following number should be quoted.

TREASURY CHAMBERS,

February 1911.

Sir,

I have laid before the Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury Mr. Fiddes' letter of the 1st instant (1941/1911) from which they regret to learn that owing to a miscalculation of the length of the line the estimated cost of the construction of the Kampala-Takirdu Railway will be exceeded by £20,000.

Mr. Secretary Harcourt, while also regretting the underestimate, states that he cannot regard Sir Percy Girouard as responsible for the error, on the ground that the original survey of the line, on which the estimate of cost was based, was made by Captain Stevenson, Royal Engineers, in 1907-8 on behalf of the Uganda Government, and that it was on his figures that the estimates of expenditure were based.

I am to observe, however, that in assenting to the project in principle My Lords stated in Their letter of the 11th May 1909 that "the details of construction and calculations of cost would require further examination and to be checked by experts in this country" before the money could actually be found. To these suggestions the then Secretary of State agreed in the letter from your Department of the 11th June 1909 (15957/09) and (as appears from the enclosures to the Colonial Office letter of the 12th February 1910 3510/1900) instructions were sent to

15957/09

#

The Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office.

15957/09

7254-20

£40,000 with estimated savings on the cost of construction in the line) or building a tramway from Nairobi in the direction of Fort Hall.

While therefore My Lords acknowledge the saving which Sir P. Girouard's scheme, even as now amended, effects over the original estimate of cost (£200,000) submitted to Them They feel that They are justified in asking for a further explanation of the miscalculation, and They would be glad if the attention of the Governor could be called to the facts recounted above.

In the circumstances, however, They agree with Mr. Harcourt that there is no alternative to providing the additional money now required, and They accordingly sanction the inclusion of the sum of £60,000 instead of £40,000 in the Colonial Services Estimate for 1911-12 for the purpose of completing the construction of the line.

I am,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

J. Murray
B. H. Murray

56

Murray
4186 Gap

17 Feb 1900

Gentlemen

directed by Mr. J. C. ...

reference to you for
completion, the accompanying
indent (in triplicate),
~~for a further supply of~~
which has been advised
from the Dept. of the East
for a further supply of
permanent way material
for the Singapore - Malacca

Railway, estimated to
cost £ 5403 - ³/₁₅ - 10 exclusive
of freight and other charges
W. H. ...

4773 14/2
to Mr. ...

in ...

necessary
L. ...

of your committee
with the order

I am, Sir,

Yours,



Massey 4886

122

18 Feb 1911

Sir

I have the honor
to acknowledge the receipt of your
despatch no 753 of the 28th

DRAFT

(no 80)

MINUTE

- Mr. 1373 14 1/2
- Mr. 15
- Mr. 15
- Mr. Piddes.
- Mr. Juss.
- Mr. Cox.
- Sir C. Lucas.

Col. Seely.

Mr. Harcourt.

See Adm

1 Feb
1 Feb

255-20
13211

16598

is approximately 58 miles
including sidings, instead
of 50 miles as originally
estimated,
and reporting that the
original estimate of £1,000,000
for the construction of the
line may be increased to £1,200,000
and to be submitted to you

the receipt of your
 despatch of the 30th
~~of the 30th~~
~~in relation to a further~~
~~supply of permanent~~
~~material, and to inform~~
 you that the actual
 amount forwarded for a
 further supply of permanent
 way material has been
 forwarded to the Lt
 for the Colonies
 for compliance

J. H. Hare,
 J. Hare

(for 2112₁₀)

#10 2112

2. In reply I have to
 the Hon. Member, to reply
 to you a copy
 of copy like the drawing, + No. 1941, 4186

from which you will see
 that we had sanctioned the
 inclusion of £60,000
 instead of £40,000 in the
 Colonial Revenue Estimate
 for 1912 for the purpose
 of completing the construction
 of the line, but that
 they desire a further
 explanation of the
 cost the length of the line
 his calculations. I have accordingly
 to request that I may
 be furnished with such
 an explanation, which should deal
 especially with the points raised in the 3rd and 4th
 paragraphs of the Treasury letter of the 8th of
 3. I have also to