

DESPATCH

*same strengthened
it to
represent itself
regarding the roads**the service, its utility to
refugee & other would be
much of the vehicle
used at other ports.**Lane and Kinsay,
in Montana. This
agreement, however,
would be inconsistent
and could only be
used as alternative
an efficient and
wise*

(Signed) R. L. ANTHONY.

No.
or 562

1905

Offic

Subsequent Paper

EAST AFR. PROT.

N^o. 40337G.O.
40337

459

Rec
Rec 13 NOV 05

(Subject.)

Grant of Leases of Land Area

Calls attention to Sir D Stewart's despatch No 77 in which he recommended that instead of granting leases of land to private persons to enable them to collect a single product, a clause should be inserted in agreement stipulating that they have no right to the land. Paraphrase as to
Section 10 Despatch (Minutes)

Mr Read

Send copy of this to F.D. with
 ref to our letter of 16 Sept &
 say we prefer to copy that the
 despatch to leasing areas which
 mention was omitted it appears to
 me if the conditions laid down
 in F.D. despatch of 21 Sept 1903
 are copied - might the
 land be leased and no to
 incur actual occupation in the
 lease areas & the areas so
 granted strictly confined to
 workable limits as to prevent
 a man's nominal "occupation"
 of the land - & that we
 prefer to ask for details of the

Subsequent Paper

F 2 94

Time of his word already passed
Ind. at F.O. for an early supply

Mar 1861

at one:

4, 3, R

1574

10397

40357

469

Rec'd
Dec 13 NOV 05

Commissioner's Office,

Mombasa,

October 16th 1905.



No. 562

Sir,

I have the honour to invite your attention to Sir M. Stewart's despatch No. 77 of February 12th in which he recommended that instead of granting leases of large areas to syndicates and private persons in order to enable them to collect a single product such as fibre or rubber, a clause should be inserted in every agreement of this nature expressly stipulating that the lessee has no right to sell the land. In accordance with the instructions contained in the Circular Office despatch No. 770 of September 21st 1903, the areas of country have already been alienated from the Crown, and leases of land granted to persons who will make no use of it, nothing than that of collecting thereon the products of the soil.

Principal Secretary of State
for the Colonies,

Downing Street,

LONDON.

31.12.247/1 *To* P.O. 388 f 70. No. 111784 P. 1
169

some particular product. It is frequently the case

that a considerable portion of the land so leased does

not return a profit in itself, or reducing the product

with the greatest benefit to others, but is admirably

suited for the growth of some other product. Then

it becomes right under the present system of granting

leases to grant a "favour" or "mercy" which might be

profitably exercised by persons in the class of persons

who do not require the land to make any

profitable use of it. To the other kind persons

desiring to collect rents from the land which were granted

the bare right of entering upon a defined and limited

area and of collecting rents therefrom for the rest,

the Crown could grant leases of the same with limitations

similar to the rights of such persons of whom, 100 other

persons may have the same right.

But if the royalization of all the property around so land

which under the present system is dead, flat and unprofitable

would be open to settlement and would be productive of

revenue,

But the question of whether or not the Crown would not

merely be granting a "mercenary" or "favour" was dealt with

In Sir D. Stewart's despatch and I would only add thereto

my

V

my submission that the granting of the right to collect
a particular product over a restricted area, there being
other similar areas in respect of which the right to
collect the same product has been granted or would be
granted to other persons, could not be held to be
granting of a "monopoly" or "favour".

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient,

humble servant,

Acting Commissioner.

08

40397

Contra

F.A.P.

40397

463

DRAFT.

U.P.M.

F.O.

MINUTE

17/11

F.O.
388

Mr. Astrobis.

Mr. Goo.

Mr. Lucas.

Mr. Graham.

Sir H. Ommanney.

For Duke of Marlborough,

Mr. Lyttelton.



Recd
20th Nov 1872

Received

20th Nov 1872

Par
Will refer to the letter
from this Deptt. of
the 16th Sept^r anno
dated by Mr. Pre-
sident to transact
before to be laid before
the Marquis of Lans-
downe the accompany-
ing copy of a
judgment from the
Acting Comr^t of the
t. A. on the subject
of the grant of leases
of large areas for
the purpose of collecting
single products such
as palm or rubber.

17/11/1872
No 562

Mr. Jackson to

W. & C. 0000-12-01
0000-10-05

248 15 1

* F.O. No 388

311

40397

Mr. Lyttelton further
of Lord Lansdowne
concerns, to add to the
def. of which a draft
was sent to you in
the letter of the 16th
of Sept. an intimation

that the objection
which ~~the Marquess~~ ^{by the Marquess} has against
the present system, that
it tends to lock up
large tracts of land
the lands of persons
who now are unable
to make any profit by
use of it, would be obviated
if the conditions were
modified in the F.O.

def. of 21 Sept. 1903
are copied - vizt
that that the land
shall be so used

"as to ensure actual occu-
pation on its proper
soil, and --- any
use suggested
strictly limited to
marketable ~~use~~ so as
to prevent a merely
nominal occupation
of the land."

Mr. Lyttelton also
proposes to ask for a
list giving details
of the uses of the land
already granted, or
now pending.

I am to request that
an early affijo may, if
possible, be returned
to this letter, & to
the letter of the 18th

Sept. Yours etc.

R. G. Anstis