

DESPATCH.

EAST AFR. PROT.

N^o. 13505

13505

16 APR 08

No.

128

(Subject.)

1908

1st

previous Paper.

2220

Cotton Concession on Tana R

Sends to you Commr of Lands with memo by Mr. Churchill resp. land applied for by the E Africa Cotton - Assumes that principles laid down in Co. dep. 570 21 Oct 07 to be followed

(Minutes.)

Mr. Read

The facts in this case are as follows

Mr. Rawal's claim rights the B.E.A. Co. have succeeded, was granted - in our letter of 5 Mar 06 (36,601) - a right to lease on certain conditions an area of 10,000 acres for cotton growing on the Tana. No particular area was specified. But says with Mr. Fawcett & his friends filed application for 312 sq. miles in the Tana valley

Subsequent Paper.

1-86

including the whole of the area from
which the B. t. A. Co. proposed to
select their 10,000 acres.
The B. t. A. Co. protested against
the (3764 1/2) of they were
told (36262) that, as their
option to select did not specify
a particular area it could only
be exercised over land for which
no applications had been received
at the time when the option was
exercised.

Since this letter was written, however,
Mr. Fawcett's scheme has become
more & more dubious: & agrees
with Mr. Marshall's recent com-
munication in this respect, that it is
not desirable to allow such applica-
tions for so enormous areas to
block the way to bona fide applica-
tions from other people.
I add therefore in favor the Govr
that if the B. t. A. Co. are prepared
to start operations at once, the
Fawcett applications did not
be allowed to stand in the way.

Wm. D. Wright

including the whole of the area from
which the B. T. A. Co. proposed to
select their 10,000 acre

The B. T. A. Co. protested against
the (3764) as they were
told (36262) that as their
option to select did not apply
to a particular area it could only
be exercised over land for which
no application had been received
at the time when the option was
exercised.

Since this letter was written, however,
Mr. Fawcett's scheme has become
more & more seductive & agrees
well with Mr. Russell's views, in
view of this, that it is
not desirable to allow such applica-
tions for one man's areas to
block the way to bona fide applica-
tions from other people.
In this connection the Govt
stat if the B. T. A. Co. are prepared
to start operations at once, the
Fawcett applications did not
be allowed to stand in the way.

WB 29/4

Mr. Antrobus.

I agree.

H. D. R.

24/4

242

E. H. H.

Mr. Fawcett's scheme is no
sooner becoming worse and
more shadowy. But what has
been said to him? Hasn't
he got him to believe that
no land w^t he granted either
to him or any one else pending
the investigation of the
irrigation question by an ex-
pert?

WB. M. 25.

Mr. Antrobus

our letter of 2nd Augt
on 25231 in bundle below is
the one in point. The argument
of that letter was that as
the Fawcett proposal involved
extreme damage where it
must wait for the opinion of an
expert.

WB 29/4

We must take care that no
conditions are granted in this
area which would prevent the
Govt. from carrying out a large

scheme of irrigation, if it should hereafter prove practicable and desirable to do so. We do not propose now to send out an expert from England, but to employ Mr. Wells, the Comptroller of Works, who has had experience of irrigation in India, to visit the country and report. It seems clear, however, that any scheme to be effective will have to be on a much larger scale than anything which Mr. Dawcas could undertake, and that it will probably be some years before my scheme will be undertaken.

In these circumstances I agree that the Dawcas applications should not be allowed to stand in the way of granting concessions such as that by which the B.S.C. Corporation applies provided that nothing is done to prejudice the carrying out of a large scheme of irrigation works, and I do hope to

wire to the Govt. in this regard, and will then to inform Mr. Dawcas, who has just sailed for S. Africa.

1st Aug 8

Mr. Kelly -

I trust to be proposed when he shall be to discuss this case with you in connection with the question of the application to interested parties.

W.M.S. T.Y.
9.5

Dear Sir
I shall be glad to talk this over with Mr. Kelly
C. 23. D.

244
110505

Governor's Office,

Nairobi, KENYA

March 1908.

~~EAST AFRICA COLONIAL GOVERNMENT~~

No. 128

(In reply to)

My Lord,

I have the honour to transmit herewith a letter which I have received from the Commissioner of Lands together with a Memorandum by Mr. Winston Churchill regarding the land applied for by the British East Africa Corporation for cotton cultivation on the Tana.

2. Mr. Churchill's memorandum was written before the receipt of Your Lordship's despatch No. 570 of the 21st October. Under the circumstances I presume I am right in following the principles laid down in the despatch until I hear to the contrary.

I have the honour to be,
With the highest respect,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient,
affectionate servant.

H.M. PRINCIPAL SECRETARY OF STATE

FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNING STREET,

LONDON, S.W.

103

FEB 26 1908

• 194200Z APR 1945

ABOVE

• 194200Z APR 1945

Aug. 2005.

In Despatch No. 10505 | 10505

243

Aug. 2005

Office of the Commissioner of Lands,
Sir G. H. V. Williams,
Government of the Gold Coast.

Your Excellency,

I have the honour to call your attention to the contradictory rulings contained respectively in the papers forwarded with Circular Notice No. 70 - dated 21st October; and the eight Honourable Mr. Churchill's note of the 15th, over which, according to the land held from the British as the right to prohibit cotton cultivation on the lands.

In ordinary course it would naturally be held to be beside the mark, but as Mr. Churchill could not have been aware of the contradiction in Circular Notice No. 70, and his note mentions, it is best to put the case before you.

My dear Sir, I beg to draw your attention to the following points:-
1. That the Circular Notice No. 70, dated 21st October, 1905, is clearly in conflict with the note of the 15th, dated 1905, of Mr. Churchill, Secretary of State for the British Colonies, regarding cotton.

This is to be taken up with the British East Africa Commission. It is surely a most undesirable thing to prohibit cotton growing and to do so in a colony.

Yours very truly,
GOVERNOR,
Protectorate.

G. H. V. WILLIAMS.

3.

Company. It is true that the Corporation is carrying on in East Africa the work also of the British Cotton Growing Association. But the grant in question has nothing to do with that Association.

3. On the general question I would state that our rule is to ear mark land applied for until a decision is come to on the application. This doubtless sometimes results in keeping out a more desirable applicant; but it is the safest plan and prevents complaints of unfair treatment. For instance in the present case Mr. Fawcett might well urge that if he is not to have all the land he has applied for, he is at least entitled to the first choice out of that area.

4. The British East Africa Corporation's case can be dealt with in one of two ways. It can either be given its right to select straight away without any regard to earmarked areas, as suggested by Mr. Churchill; or it may be informed that very early endea will be given on the Fawcett application, and that immediately after that it will be given the first right to select such area as may not be allotted to the Fawcett Syndicate.

To my mind the latter will be fairer.

I have the honour to be,

Your Excellency's
most obedient servant;

Montague

Commissioner of Lands.

In Despatch No. 1000.

Copy.

Nakuru,

November 15, 1907.

No. 654.

the Honorable
Commissioner of Lands.

It may be taken for granted that the application of the Marcus Syndicate for a total of 312 square miles on the lower region of the Tana River will not be agreed to by the Secretary of State. Mr. Fawcett has already expressed a willingness to undertake so many operations of an unlimited magnitude and inexhaustible variety that the confidence of the Secretary of State in his serious purpose has been somewhat impaired.

In any case, the ~~same~~ application by single individual, acting for himself or through others, for immense areas of land cannot be allowed to delay smaller allotments to bona fide people. No rights whatever are conferred upon an individual through the fact that he has applied for land, and still less can any penalty be imposed on another person by virtue of his application.

The proposal to allot Major Leggett 10,000 acres for the purposes of the British Cotton Growing Association, which it should be remembered is not a profit seeking company, should be considered at once on its merits, and it is hoped that an early settlement may be reached, in order that the planting of the cotton crop may not be delayed.

Sd/ W.S.CHURCHILL.

16 : 11 : 07.



E.A.P.

27

DRAFT.

E.A.P. (No 255)

Gov. Sadler

MINUTE.

Mr. Denby 26 May

Mr. Ellis

Mr. Judd

Mr. Astrobust.

Mr. Colv.

Mr. Lucas.

Sir F. Hopwood.

Mr. Churchill.

The Earl of Elgin.

15th

10505

28 May 08

Sir

I have the honor to ask
the receipt of your despatch
of No. 128 of the 19th of March
regarding the applications of
the Br. East Africa Corporation
and of Mr. W. P. J. Fawcett for
land grants on the Tana river.
2. In the circumstances I
consider that the time has
come when the application of
Mr. Fawcett can no longer
be allowed to stand in the
way of the consideration of the
applications such as that of
the Br. East Africa Corporation
provided that the application
shall be granted which would
not prejudice the carrying out
of a large scheme of
^{of flood prevention} irrigation & should prove
desirable at a later date.
3. I request that Mr. Fawcett,
who has lately sailed for
East Africa, may be informed
accordingly.

Yours etc