

DOMESTIC.

EAST AFR PROT

28 L 11 2

C O  
REC'D 21 AUG 09

1909

24 Aug.

Previous Paper

26435

Misses Grogan &amp; Lingham's business?

Thinks Mr Goldmann's appl<sup>n</sup> for  
the consid<sup>n</sup> of the case sh<sup>t</sup> be rejected

W. Fiddes writes Ind - further letter to  
W. Goldmann, telegraphing that until he has  
carefully considered his statement of the  
ca. he rejects that he can be found  
for interfering with the action which  
the part of the S.A.P. papers to the  
further of the omission - &  
the comp<sup>n</sup> of the correcc<sup>n</sup> to the o.A.P.  
for 1st

29/ VIII

Sig. D. H. P. to proceed

Officer  
27/ 8

July  
3/ 1

C 31. VIII

M  
30. 8.



199

To the Under Secretary of State  
Colonial Office.

REC'D  
H.Q.R. 14 AUG 09

Dated. Artilleryman in Hotel  
Victoria Street.  
London, S.W.  
24<sup>th</sup> August 1909.

Sir

I have the honour to acknowledge your letter No. 66435/1909 asking for my observation on Mr C. S. Johnson's letter in the subject of the Grose-Lingham case in the East Africa Protectorate.

2. There has been much correspondence on this question with Captain Grose, who has been finally informed that the Secretary of State sees no reason for giving further time for carrying out the conditions of the grant.

A notice has been received in the Consular service pertaining to the intimation of a grant for the protection of the grant.

I believe that action has not actually been taken yet because, owing to an unfortunate mistake, return receipts from the grantee's agent in East Africa up to the 1<sup>st</sup> November 1909, and the case closed off, it appears that such receipts were never taken up before the

you are at.

What is the position but may when  
I came away from the country.

3. In the rest of Mr. Goldmann's letter  
contains no reason that have not  
already been urged by Captain Grose  
and rejected. Mr. Goldmann appears  
to have forgotten part of the plan;  
the principal so far as the  
negotiations concerned are  
as follows & I think

It is evident that the first con-  
cern is at the present time of  
no value. Mr. Goldmann's letter,  
as well as Captain Grose's con-  
cerns, show that all  
attempts to place it in the market  
have failed. Many hours of care  
have been spent in prospecting and nego-  
tiations, and the concession naturally  
do not wish to lose it.

But there is another reason for their  
anxiety to keep a hold on the plot.  
When the deed for a concession was given  
for a grant of land was promised as  
the island of Mombasa for timber  
gods. I think says land grant were  
not very carefully scrutinized, and  
Captain Grose advised the then  
acting Commissioner of the Native State  
to give a block of some 20 acres of  
valuable building land for the purpose  
seeing that, as I am informed, the  
timber gods of Lake Fort do not care

more than 20 acres, the value of the  
grant may be reduced. This grant  
may only be retained if the price  
increases so much.

5. In conclusion I would think  
that Mr. Goldmann's application for  
a plot in Mombasa if he can  
should be rejected.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant,

J. A. Montgomery,  
Commissioner of Lands (in leave),  
East Africa Protectorate.



~~M / 28/642 Ex. S.~~

~~DRAFT~~

C. S. Goldman, Esq.

3 Sept 1909.

MINUTE

Mr. Parkinson 2<sup>nd</sup>  
Mr. Readman 2<sup>nd</sup>  
Mr. Palmer  
Mr. Jones  
Mr. Cox  
Sir G. Lumsden  
Sir F. Hancock  
Col. Seelye  
Lord Crewe

An

with ref. to the letter

from this seqt. of the

X 16<sup>th</sup> Aug., from

directed by the Secy. of

State to inform you,

that he has carefully

considered your statement

of the case as

regards the proce-

dures to be taken

in the Ex. S. b/c

~~27/2/1909  
27/2/1909  
27/2/1909~~

~~22/2/1909~~

~~24/2/1909~~

~~that he~~ regrets that  
he has no ground  
for interfering with  
the action, which  
as Govt of the East  
reserves to take  
for the forfeiture  
of the concession -

I remain etc

W.H. H. M.