

EAST AFR. PROT.  
21888

C O  
21883  
18 JUN 10

no. 669  
and

SALARY OF MR NICHOLLS  
CHIEF CLERK AND ACCOUNTANT SURVEY DEPT.  
(EAST AFR.)

910

June

Report increment of £10 was inadvertently sanctioned in anticipation of approval of proposals respecting the conditions of service of European Clerks. In circumstances asks for approval.

previous Paper

2a  
5-35/09

Mr. Butler.

Both in the 1909-10 and the 1910-11 Estimates, £240 was provided for the total emoluments of Mr. Nicholls; although his agt is for £150 only. Of this £240, he appears to have drawn parts regularly £190 - i.e. £150 salary + £40 house allowance. Then an increment of £20 was approved without obtaining our authority.

What I cannot understand

subsequent Paper

2a  
25/07

is the ref. to a £24 duty  
allowance in the scheme  
proposed in Gov's desp. 345 of  
a June - i.e. on 9/1/29,  
where no mention of such  
an all<sup>d</sup> is made, nor  
is mention made of it in  
the previous desp. or Pp/8157.

I note however that X in  
encl. to desp. in Gov's 8157 that  
special X's are being made  
as regards this man: they  
have not been sent to us.

In the case of Mr. Carpenter,  
Chief Clerk Agric. Dept. - we  
knocked off an extra £3 increment  
which had been allowed by Aristotle.

I just ask to say that S.P.  
does not understand the ref<sup>ce</sup>  
in the final para. to a £24 duty  
all<sup>d</sup> as to which there  
appears to be nothing in the  
desp. quoted. all<sup>d</sup>

26/7

W. Read

I should prefer at me to lay

ST AFRICA PROTECTORATE

No. 369

C O  
21833  
REC'D 18 10  
GOVERNMENT HOUSE,

Nairobi,

June 15th, 1910.

My Lord,

I have the honour to report that an increment of £10 in the salary of Mr. Nicholls, Chief Clerk and Accountant, Cadastral Branch of the Survey Department, was inadvertently sanctioned in January last in anticipation of Your Lordship's approval of the proposals respecting the conditions of service of European Clerks forwarded in my despatch No. 100 of February 25th last.

2. Ample provision existed in the Estimates for this increment, as the salary allowed is £340 (including House Allowance) whereas with the increase of £10 Mr. Nicholls is actually only drawing £200, but his Agreement is only for £150 and house allowance or £190 in all and sanction

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

THE EARL OF CREWE, K. G.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNING STREET,

LONDON, S.W.

sanction should therefore not have been accorded without reference to Your Lordship.

3. In view of there being no excess on the Estimate and of the hardship of withdrawing the increment already granted to Mr. Nicholls I would ask Your Lordship to approve of its continuance more particularly as he is one of the clerks recommended for an extra duty allowance of £24 in the scheme proposed in my despatch No. 345 of June 4th 1910.

I have the honour to be,  
Your Lordship's humble,  
obedient servant,

  
GOVERNOR.

Port 21888 E.S.B.

*[Handwritten signature]*

DRAFT.

The Sec: to the Treasury.

29 July 1910

MINUTE.

- Mr. Parkhurst 20/7
- Mr. Beetham 28/7.3.
- Mr. Fiddes.
- Mr. Just.
- Mr. Cox.
- Sir C. Lucas.
- Sir F. Hopwood
- Col. Seely.
- Lord Crewe.

Sir

I am directed by the Earl  
 of Devon to transmit to you,  
 to be laid before the L.C. of  
 the Treasury, the accompanying  
 copy of a despatch from the  
 Gov. of the East in the subject  
 of an increment of £20,  
 which was inadvertently  
 sanctioned in January last  
 for Mr. W. E. Nicholls,  
 Chief

for 15 June 21888

copy for use of July

20/8

Chief Clerk & Accountant  
in the Survey Dept.  
(Cadastral Branch)

2. Lord Howe

concerns with the  
Gov. in thinking  
that the circ. of  
the case, ~~warrant~~  
~~desirable~~ ~~has~~  
~~the~~ ~~circumstances~~

of the increment  
should now be withdrawn, and he would  
be glad if V.L. ~~would~~ ~~be~~

give their sanction

to approve of its continuance.

3. The attention  
in the final para.  
of the Dep. to an  
extra duty allowance  
of £244 is not  
understood.

no such proposal appears  
to have been included  
in the Dep. named,<sup>10</sup>  
a copy of which was  
enclosed for V.L.  
concern in the letter  
from this Dept. of the  
18<sup>th</sup> of July. Enquiry  
is being made of the  
Gov. on this point.

(Signed) G. V. FIDDES.

July 21 1880

DRAFT

Vol No 459

Handwritten mark

For Sir R. G. ...

29 July 1880

MINUTE

Li.

- Mr. Pakenham 20/7
- Mr. ...
- Mr. ...
- Mr. Just
- Mr. Cox
- Sir C. Lucas
- Sir F. Hopwood
- Col. Seely
- Lord Crewe

... the ... to ... the receipt of your ... No 389 ... the 15th of June, relating to the ... of £20 which was inadvertently ... in January last for Mr. W. E. ... to ... for your ... copy of a letter which I have ...

for ...

29 July 1880

Handwritten initials

be addressed to

the Treasury on  
the <sup>subject</sup> ~~matter~~.

2. I have to

request that you  
will ~~provide me~~ explain the reference  
made in the last  
par of the de part  
~~inferred~~ as to the  
performed extra duty all of  
\$ 24, ~~entire~~

~~duty allowance~~

~~which you refer~~


in your de part  
~~with~~ as I am

unable to trace

any ~~reference~~ <sup>mention</sup> to this  
in your de part.

No. 345 of the

4<sup>th</sup> of June

  
(Signed) CREWE