



apartments agrees them up while  
on leave - therefore is not  
compelled to pay rent for a  
house while away. If the

letter were the usual procedure,  
I think that there wd. be  
a good deal to be said for  
allowing the proper proportion  
of the house allowance <sup>to him</sup> on leave.

As, however, the Ch. Sec. does  
not indicate that this is the  
case, & indeed clearly shows  
his own view to be that house  
allowance should cease, while  
Officers are on leave -

? etc. I say that  
Mr. Neitan's case is not to be  
considered as a precedent,  
& that an Officer who is  
entitled to house allowance  
in lieu of free quarters  
will cease to draw the  
allowance for the period  
during which he is on leave.

W. Reed.

Accl.

I agree  
W. Reed. July 28

2077

157  
71303  
18 10  
GOVERNMENT HOUSE,

Nairobi.

June 22nd 1910.

EST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.

No. 590.

My Lord,

N  
10741  
From the 2nd paragraph of Your Lordship's despatch No. 277 of the 27th ultimo I learn that the Crown Agents for the Colonies have been authorized to pay salary to Mr. Neilan at the rate of £240 per annum (i.e. £200 plus £40/- house allowance).

2. I have the honour to enquire if I am to understand from this that an officer who ~~under~~ the terms of his agreement draws house allowance, is entitled to do so whilst on leave, or is it only a concession in Mr. Neilan's case in view of the special duty on which he was employed.

3.

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE,

THE EARL OF CREWE, K.G.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNING STREET, LONDON, S.W.

3. I presume that the latter is the correct  
interpretation.

I have the honour to be,

Your Lordship's humble,

obedient servant,

*J. J. J.*  
In the absence of the  
GOVERNOR.

29  
Adm. 21902 Sal.

DRAFT.

Sal. N<sup>o</sup>. 465

~~Handwritten mark~~

Mr. R. P. Girard

29 July 1860

MINUTE.

- Mr. Pakenham 29/7
- Mr. Bantlin 29/7
- Mr. Fiddes 29/7
- Mr. Just.
- Mr. Cox.
- Sir G. Lucas.
- Sir F. Hopwood.
- Col. Seely.
- Lord Crewe.

Sir,

Have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Mansson's despatch N<sup>o</sup>. 390 of the 22<sup>nd</sup> of June on the subject of the rate of pay drawn by Mr. Neitau while in this country, & to inform you that Mr.

Neitau's case is not to be regarded as