

The balance of the white iron
is being brought in from
the West. The effort is to
bring the new material to
the front, but that the
of it is small, but the quantity
is made into the iron
being with the rest of

1771 304

Off. to com
rejoin.

K. J. R.

304

3248

Commissioner's Office
29 JAN 10

13

January 24th 1906

WEST AFRICA PROTECTORATE

No. 5.

(Incl. 1.)

My Lord,

B
42693
05

Memorandum
copy to Secy.

In obedience to the instructions contained in Mr. Lyttelton's despatch No. 568 of December 11th forwarding correspondence on the subject of the property of the late Mr. S. E. Bagnall, I have the honour to transmit to Your Lordship herewith a copy of a memorandum by the Administrator General dealing with the matter. From this it would appear that Mr. R. J. Bagnall's letter of February 8th was never received.

I have the honour to be,

With the highest respect,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient,

humble servant,

H. M. Principal Secretary of State
for the Colonies,
Downing Street,
London.

C.O.
3248
RECEIVED
20 JAN 06

MEMORANDUM.

14

I received the report of Mr. Bagnall's death on 2nd September 1904.

On 16th September 1904 instructions were sent to the District Delegate Nairobi to wind up the estate, and another letter sent to Mr. Ainsworth informing him accordingly.

The Quarterly Return from the District Delegate Nairobi shows the net value of the estate to amount Rs. 10.5.0.

There is no trace of any letter received in this office dated on or about February 9th 1906 from Mr. R. J. Bagnall, and I was under the impression the whole matter had been wound up. I will, however, direct the District Delegate to send (if he has not already done so) the balance of the estate to Mr. R. J. Bagnall.

*H. M. ...
of the ...
M. ...
(sic)*

(Sd) R.W. Hamilton.

3/1/06.

THE
IN COL. J. H. SELLER'S SERVICE
NO. 1 OF JANUARY, 1901, 1802

THE
S. M. BENTLEY

2 February 1906

STAFF

2004

1. Lt. Hon. Secy

MINUTE

- Mr. Lusk 22
- Mr. Tans 31
- Mr. Antrobus.
- Mr. Cox.
- Mr. Lucas.
- Mr. Graham.
- Sir M. Ommanney.
- The Duke of Marlborough.
- Mr. Lytton.

for context

The delay which has occurred in dealing with this matter, which is not sufficiently explained by the non receipt of Mr. J. Bagnall's letter, appears to be due to a mistake

in the honour of acknowledging the receipt of your despatch of the 3rd of January on the subject of the late Mr S. J. Bagnall.

2. With regard to the Administrator General's reply that a copy of a letter from Mr. J. Bagnall having been received in his office, I have to point out that the copy of the letter can be

30 It would appear

the same report

the same report

the same report

General was never

received, ^{but} has

Elgin ^{multiple} farming

father inquiry to

made into the

delay in dealing with

the matter

late

11 p.m.