



in the proportion as ascertained.  
The calculation should be carried  
manually.

WOL 2/19/4

Stone

A.S.R.

20/4

C. O.  
12392  
Recd  
15 APR 05

Commissioner's Office,

Montana,

161

March 21st 1905.

Sir,

I have the honor to request Your Lordship's  
decision on a point which has been raised by the Treasurer  
concerning the monies derived from the sale of stamps  
for use for other non-postal purposes.

As Mr. Lewis is aware we use the same stamp  
for both Inland Revenue and the whole income derived  
therefrom is credited to the postal Department. I agree,  
however, in thinking that a certain proportion of  
the proceeds should be transferred to Inland Revenue.

I should be glad to know whether Your Lordship  
has any objection to this view and, if so, what would be considered

I am, Sir, very respectfully,  
With the highest respect,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient,

humble servant,

*J. Selwyn*

Duplicate.

C. O.  
12392  
APR 15 1905

162

The Treasury,  
Mombasa. March 14th., 1905.

Commissioner No. 32.

Sir,

I have the honour to enclose herewith copies of correspondence passed between the Postmaster General and myself regarding:-

(1) Custody of Stamps

(2) Arrangement necessary for allocating a portion of the proceeds of the sale of stamps to stamp duty as distinct from Postal Revenue.

I have the honour to request (1) your sanction for my handing over the entire stock of stamps to Mr. Gosling from the 1st proximo and (2) that the Foreign Office be addressed on the second point referred to above.

Should you agree to the custody of the stamps being transferred to the Postmaster General I will take the necessary steps and issue the necessary Circular to indenting officers.

I will at the same time ask that the Local Auditor should assist at the transfer of the stock of Stamps.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient

Humble Servant,

(Signed) C. C. Bowring.

Treasurer.

SAFETY'S COMMISSIONER  
AND COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF,  
MOMBASA.

General Post Office,

Registered No. 228/05.

Mombasa, 1st March 1906.

163

Sir,

With reference to our recent conversations regarding the use of stamps for purpose of bringing to account of charges paid for telegrams, and also in connection with the collection of Customs Duty on parcels, it appears that the object desired would be facilitated if the distribution of stamps were made from this Office. In fact this seems to be an essential preliminary to any such alteration as I wish to bring about.

2. The distribution of stamps direct from the General Post Office would also seem to present other advantages in connection with renewals of stamp stock, and the ready and more convenient execution of requisitions for issue. At present I am responsible for the maintenance of a satisfactory supply of stamps, although the main stock is not actually in my custody. Theoretically I have every facility necessary for this purpose in the periodical accounts which are rendered from your Office to mine, showing the quantities on hand. But the higher value stamps are overprinted for Judicial and other purposes of which I have no adequate information. Unless, therefore, the issues for all purposes are arranged from this Office, I have not those facilities for gauging the prospective requirements which, in view of my responsibilities, I consider that I should possess.

3. For these reasons I should be glad if you could see your way to acquiesce in a proposal that the main stock of stamps to be kept at the General Post Office, and be transferred, together with the safe in which they are contained, at an early date.

I

2.

I may add that the procedure suggested was followed in British Central Africa where, owing to the greater time occupied in obtaining renewals from London, the value of the stamps held in the country was much greater than in the case of this Protectorate.

I have etc.

Sd/- J. Gosling.

Postmaster General.

Mombasa, 8th March 1905.

Registered No. 275/05.

165

Sir,

With reference to our recent conversation regarding <sup>the</sup> adjustments of account that are desirable in connection with the use of postage stamps as receipt stamps the matter does not appear to be one which can be satisfactorily disposed of on the basis of any local statistics.

In the case of the United Kingdom and other Countries where previously to the employment of postage stamps for the collection of stamp duty chargeable upon receipted bills, &c., receipt stamps had been in use, the statistics of the sale of such receipt stamps furnished a basis upon which the joint revenue subsequently collected by means of a combined postage and receipt stamp could be divided between the Postal and Inland Revenue Authorities. East Africa does not, however, furnish a parallel case.

When discussing this question recently with Sir Evan James, a late Director General of the Post Office of India, I gathered that when a combined postage and receipt stamp was introduced in India the adjustment was made on the basis of the old Postage and Inland Revenue returns. The relative proportions of the revenue accruing from postage and receipt stamps, which existed before the combination was made, were taken as a basis for the division of the revenue resulting from a combined stamp.

It would seem that some proportion should be fixed and it might perhaps be advisable to refer the matter to London in order to obtain particulars of the method of adjustment adopted when the use of a combined postage and receipt stamp was decided upon in the United Kingdom.

I have etc.

Ed/-

J. Gosling.

Postmaster General.

Treasurer,  
East Africa Protectorate,  
Mombasa.

Mombasa, 8th March 1906.

Registered No. 275/05.

165

Sir,

With reference to our recent conversation regarding <sup>the</sup> adjustments of account that are desirable in connection with the use of postage stamps as receipt stamps the matter does not appear to be one which can be satisfactorily disposed of on the basis of any local statistics.

In the case of the United Kingdom and other Countries where previously to the employment of postage stamps for the collection of stamp duty chargeable upon receipted bills, &c., receipt stamps had been in use, the statistics of the sale of such receipt stamps furnished a basis upon which the joint revenue subsequently collected by means of a combined postage and receipt stamp could be divided between the Postal and Inland Revenue Authorities. East Africa does not, however, furnish a parallel case.

When discussing this question recently with Sir Evan James, a late Director General of the Post Office of India, I gathered that when a combined postage and receipt stamp was introduced in India the adjustment was made on the basis of the old Postage and Inland Revenue returns. The relative proportions of the revenue accruing from postage and receipt stamps, which existed before the combination was made, were taken as a basis for the division of the revenue resulting from a combined stamp.

It would seem that some proportion should be fixed and it might perhaps be advisable to refer the matter to London in order to obtain particulars of the method of adjustment adopted when the use of a combined postage and receipt stamp was decided upon in the United Kingdom.

I have etc.

Sd/- J. Gosling,  
Postmaster General.The Treasurer,  
East Africa Protectorate,  
Mombasa.



C. O.  
12392  
RE<sup>d</sup>  
APR 25

The Treasury,

Mombasa, March 14th., 1905.

Post No. 81/14.

166

Sir,

With reference to your letters No. 228/05 of the 1st March and No. 275/05 of the 8th March, I have the honour to inform you that I concur with you that it would be more convenient for the stock of stamps to be kept by you instead of by me in view of certain alterations, which you propose to introduce in connection with charges for telegrams and collection of customs duty on parcels.

I accordingly propose to obtain His Majesty's Commissioner's sanction for me to hand over the complete stock of stamps to you on the 1st proximo.

I will at the same time ask him to refer the question of Revenue from stamp duties as apart from postal revenue to the Foreign Office in order that some arrangement may be made for transferring a fixed sum or proportion annually.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

(Signed.) C. C. Bowring.

Treasurer.

The Postmaster General,

Mombasa.

C. O.  
12392  
Re.  
APR 25

The Treasury,

Mombasa, March 14th., 1905.

Post No. 81/14.

166

Sir,

With reference to your letters No. 228/05 of the 1st March and No. 275/05 of the 8th March, I have the honour to inform you that I concur with you that it would be more convenient for the stock of stamps to be kept by you instead of by me in view of certain alterations, which you propose to introduce in connection with charges for telegrams and collection of customs duty on parcels.

I accordingly propose to obtain His Majesty's Commissioner's sanction for me to hand over the complete stock of stamps to you on the 1st proximo.

I will at the same time ask him to refer the question of Revenue from stamp duties as apart from postal revenue to the Foreign Office in order that some arrangement may be made for transferring a fixed sum or proportion annually.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

(Signed.) C. C. Bowring.

Treasurer.

The Postmaster General,

Mombasa.

Conor  
12392

C. W. Post

167

DRAFT

C. W. P. No. 191

Conor  
P. D. Stewart

MINUTE.

2/4/13

- Mr. Andrews
- Mr. C. W. Post
- Mr. L. J. ...
- Mr. Graham
- Mr. H. C. ...
- Mr. J. ...
- Mr. ...

2/4/13

Sir

Good

Here the lower track  
 the receipt of your draft  
 No 164 of the 21st inst  
 and to inform you that  
 I am in your view  
 that a portion of the  
 amount drawn from the  
 sale of stamps available  
 for both Postal & General  
 Revenue purposes should  
 be credited to the latter  
 department

2. Section for your  
 information a copy  
 of a letter from the  
 C. P. O. which indicates

C. P. O. 15/1/13

The manner in which  
the postage to be so  
credited is determined  
in the United Kingdom

3 But as the materials  
for a similar determina-  
tion do not exist  
in the Protectorate,  
I would suggest  
that a rough estimate  
of the amount of the  
strictly posted revenue  
should be obtained  
from the statistics  
of letters etc despatched  
& of sales to stamp  
vendors during the past year

4 The Ireland Revenue  
might well then be  
represented by the difference  
between the total receipts  
on the sale of stamps

and the sum so cred-  
ited, and the 188  
receipts from the sale  
of stamps should then  
be credited to the Post  
Office of Ireland  
Revenue respectively in  
the proportion there as-  
certained. The estimate  
should be reviewed  
annually in the light  
of the latest postal  
statistics.

1/2

1905

The land

Under the title of lands  
 have got the corner is not  
 built over than 1000 acres  
 without approval of the  
 there is no need require  
 with regard to lease  
 the subject than my  
 and to obtain the only  
 interest in amount of  
 Budget is best as employed  
 the instructions with  
 to day instructions  
 the day

already outside by Lord Lons-  
down on the original offer.

2 offers the grant of  
Richard asked for

7/28/4

H. J. R.

28/4

Yes. but I am not sure that the  
original permission should have been  
granted.

7/28/4 at once.

C. O.  
12593

Commissioner's Office,

170

March 23, 1908.

Dear Sir: In reply to your letter of the 12th inst.,

concerning the proposed dam on the Jordan River, I have the

pleasure to inform you that the same has been approved by the

Board of Commissioners of the State of New Jersey.

I am, Sir, very respectfully,  
Your obedient servant,

Lord,

Deputy Commissioner,

Public Service Commission,

Atlantic City, N. J.

C. O.  
12593

Commissioner's Office, T. O.

170

March 25, 1906.

Dear Sir:

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 14th inst.

relative to the proposed extension of the Jordan River, across the

lands of the State of California.

The proposed extension of the Jordan River, across the lands of the State of California, is hereby approved.

Very respectfully,  
Your obedient servant,

Lord,

Commissioner,

*[Handwritten signature]*



C. D. A.  
12593  
RECEIVED  
APR 25

Survey & Land Office,

Nairobi,

17th March 1906.

171

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith for favour of  
reference to the Foreign Office copy of a letter received  
from Mr. Wright in which he applies for an extension  
of 1,000 acres in addition to the 1,000 acres already  
reserved for him on Lake Naivasha.

I have &c., &c.,

W. B. Wright.

H. W. Commissioner.

Enclosure No. 1

In Sir O. Stewart's possession

No. 108 of March 1911.

Anglo-German Boundary Commission,  
East Africa.

March 17th 1905.

172

Sir,

I have the honour to ask, that my original application for land on the Marmanette River, may be altered from 1000 acres freehold to 1000 acres leasehold and to be a strip as before, along the same bank of the river down to Lake Naivasha, of  $\frac{1}{2}$  mile wide and about 6 miles long, with frontage of  $\frac{1}{2}$  mile on the Lake, as is shown in this rough sketch.



I should like the freehold portion of the land, to be that portion, which is on Lake Naivasha's shore, presuming it will be the same piece, as the land originally applied for. Will you be good enough to inform me, if you can agree to the alterations I have asked for in this letter?

I herewith enclose a cheque for Rs.220 (Rupees two hundred and twenty), as surveying fees.

I am &c. &c.,

3d/- R.O.T. Bright, Major

R. Barton-Wright, Esq.,

Land Officer,

Nairobi.

Common  
12393.

E. G. P. P.

173

DRAFT.

E. G. P. P. No 211  
Common  
Sir D Stewart

MINUTE.

- Mr. *W. H. 2/5*
- Mr. *Read 2*
- Mr. *A. A. 2*
- Mr. *Atrobus*
- Mr. *Co*
- Mr. *Lucas*
- Mr. *Orskell*
- Sir M. *Osmanney*
- The Duke of *Marlborough*
- Mr. *Lettelton*

for reason. the offer  
is very lowly indeed  
Yes but it is not the  
best one. I do not think  
that there is any doubt  
about it.

4 May 05  
Sir  
I have the honour  
to acknowledge the receipt of  
your despatch No 168 of  
the 21<sup>st</sup> of March  
in relation to the  
offer of Major  
Bright's application to  
lease a further area  
of 1000 acres on  
the Marston River  
in the E. G. P. P.  
the being granted on  
the usual conditions  
I understand from  
your despatch that the plan  
is what Major Bright

wishes to obtain, although  
from his letter of 13th  
March it would rather  
appear as if he wished  
to substitute barometer  
traverse for the puddle  
gauge already mentioned  
by Mr. Mequie of  
London

*[Signature]*

DESPATCH

EAST AFR. PROT.  
No. 12396

C O 174  
12395  
Recd  
15 APR 95

1905  
March

(Subject.)

Instruments of Anglo-German Boundary  
Commission

Mr Peacock will not acquire the chronometer,  
but Delme-Radcliffe wishes to dispose of but  
would be glad to obtain the chronometer.

(Minutes)

Mr. Read

The chronometer referred to was  
one of four sent by the British  
to the Anglo-German Boundary  
Commission you are asked to take  
note. I submit draft

702/19/4

C. O.  
12593  
Recd.

Commissioner's Office, APR 25

Mombasa,

175

March 22nd 1906.

My Lord,

With reference to Your Lordship's despatch No. 75  
I have the honor to state that Mr.  
[Name] [Rank], [Title] [Location] which Colonel  
[Name] [Rank] [Title] [Location] would be glad to  
[Name] [Rank] [Title] [Location] the end he is [Name] [Rank]  
[Name] [Rank] [Title] [Location].

I have the honor to be,

With the highest respect,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient,

Humble servant,

*J. S. [Name]*

Secretary of Land Revenue, K. C.,

Secy. Secy. Secy.

Comms  
12396

Eliza Pet.

176

DRAFT.

C.A.P. No 192

25 Sept '05

Comms

Sir D Stewart.

Sir When the Comms took  
the receipt of your despatch No 191  
of the 22nd ult on the  
subject of certain instru-  
ments taken used by  
the Anglo German Boundary  
Commission

MINUTE.

Mr 4/4 19/4

Mr Read 20

Mr Andrews

Mr Cox

Mr Lucas

Mr Graham

Sir M. O'Malley

The Duke of Marlborough

Mr Lytton

for comms  
20/9/05

As the chronometer which  
you refer was lost by the  
huller and has now  
been returned to that  
dept., it does not appear  
that there is any good  
reason for forwarding  
them with a view to  
preserving it, rather  
instead of

the way one in the open  
market in the usual  
way.

3 Accordingly if you  
find that a chemist  
is required by Mr  
P. Leach for the proper  
kindred of his duties  
as Post Office at  
Worcester I think it  
not wise to do not directly  
offer from your desk  
(with a view) you should  
submit a request  
in the usual form of  
in the other hand Mr  
P. Leach simply requires  
the instrument for his private  
use, he will of course  
make his own arrangements  
with the necessary  
I think

W. C. DeLami Radcliffe

W. J. R. 20 April

177

25 April 05  
Ind

Sir  
With reference to the  
letter from the F.O.  
of the 11<sup>th</sup> Feb. I am  
directed by Mr. Pe  
Lyttleton to inform  
you that Sir D.  
Pleasant has now  
reported that Mr  
P. Leach does not  
desire to purchase  
your thermometers

J

W. B. C.