

now, to think that the
statement that the writer
with £300, being able to
live simply, will certainly
do better here than in
any other colony or at
home -- " goes
to furnish the writer
of the position, & it is
therefore undesirable to
give it -- under circumstances
the writer has already
declined

(Signed) R. L. ANTHONY.

DOMESTIC

128

EAST AFRICA	PESTE
No. 3389	

3389

REC 30 JAN 06

(Subject)

Coffee Leaf Disease Dec 1905

It appears doubtful whether in view of
fact that disease has been introduced into
S.A. it may now be maintaining the old
reports from 1903 onwards.

Mr. Riley. (Minutes) 100d 8/10/06
of the old case in order, I
think that we had written to him in
1903 and a copy of the S.A. in 1903
attaching the old without sending the
the former for info.

Mr. J. E.

307

Mr. Anthony.

Salve 20pence

311

for (A.B. It was from the Govt
rather than the Law Office that a
report was wanted - see Mr. Day
at 99 p)

Mr. Feb. 2
at once

No. 3389

59

3399

Rec'd

50 JAN 06

Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew

January 29, 1906.

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of January 25 (1905/05-6) transmitting papers relating to the prevention of Coffee Leaf disease in the East African Protectorate.

2. This disease, as is well known, originated in Ceylon and now affects every Coffee-growing area in the Old World from Natal to Fiji.

3. It appears from the enclosure to Sir Thomas Sanderson's letter of July 24, 1896, on the Report of the German East Africa Company that the disease has obtained a firm hold of its Coffee plantations.

4. It further appears from Leaflet No. 10 of the British East Africa Department of Agriculture that the disease has made its appearance at Bura where Coffee cultivation was first started in the Protectorate in 1895.

5. As far as is known it has not yet made its appearance in either British Central Africa or in the Uganda Protectorate.

6. A good deal of correspondence from 1903 onwards has taken place between the Foreign Office and Kew on the subject of these Ordinances and I now enclose for your information a copy of a demi-official letter which I addressed on May 25, 1905, to the Director of Agriculture, Nairobi.

L. Antrobus, Esq., C. B.,
Colonial Office,
Downing Street,

S. W.

1350/656

7. It appears to me doubtful whether, in view of the fact that Coffee Leaf disease appears to have been introduced into the Protectorate, any useful object can be attained by maintaining the Ordinances.

I am,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

W. Thornton Dyer

Enclosed in No

62
3399

REC'D
REG'D 30 JAN 06

132

Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew.

May 25, 1905.

Dear Sir,

Your letter of February 15 has been before me for some time but press of business has prevented my giving it consideration.

As a rule regulations have had very little effect in checking the spread of plant diseases. The elaborate Phylloxera regulations which were at one time in force on the continent have largely fallen into abeyance.

The difficulty is to hold the balance between what is reasonably practicable and what would injuriously affect desirable enterprise.

It is a pity that the cotton growers mix the sorts they grow. They will realise their foolishness when they try to market their produce. Cotton spinners require a uniform staple and any admixture will pull down the price very seriously. In America the most scrupulous pains are taken to maintain a high standard by selecting the seeds.

I do not know that I have any remarks to make on the draft regulations. Experience will be your best guide as to whether they are beneficial and workable. The most elaborate precautions will give no absolute security against the introduction of diseases. If disease makes its appearance, its progress can often be checked by destroying the affected plants in situ. This is as much in the interest of the individual grower as of the community.

Yours faithfully,

(Signed) W. T. Thiselton-Dyer.

W. T. Thiselton-Dyer,
Esq.,
Director of Agriculture,
Nairobi.

3

399

P. K. H. T. H.
399

No. 65

133

Dunning Street,

6 February 1906

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that the power of disallowance will not be exercised with respect to the Order in Council ~~entitled the Official Dissemination of Information in the Colony of South Africa~~ No. 9 of 1906, of the said African Protectorate, a transcript of which accompanied my despatch No. 567 of the 21st of October 1905, and to furnish to you, for your information, the accompanying copy of correspondence with the Director of the Royal Botanical Gardens, Kew, relating to the same.

In addition
a transcript of what accompanied your despatch No. 567 of the 21st of October 1905.

I have the honour to be, Sir,

Your most obedient, humble Servant,

Signature

The Government of
the African Protectorate

141-15