

EAST AFRICA  
No. 385

385  
2 FEB 06

Individual  
Colonial  
1906  
Paper

(Subject)

Estimates 1906 - 07

minutes on —

(Minutes)

Mr. Root Ant. 6/10  
It seemed convenient to register  
this minute separately as it is intended  
to cover the ground in a general manner  
without entering into details.

H. J. R.  
5/2

See minutes within

Ant. 26. 10

7040  
16/2

Cop. after trans. by 12/11/06  
77.7

7737

38  
[REV 2 FEB 06]

The East Africa Protectorate receives an annual grant from Parliament to provide for such portion of its annual expenditure as cannot be provided from local sources.

The annual estimates consequently have to be submitted to the Treasury in order that they may approve them, and agree to approach Parliament for the grant in aid required.

The Estimates are divided into two parts, the Estimates of the Uganda Railway (which, in spite of its name, lies wholly within the East Africa Protectorate) and the General Estimates of the Protectorate, which include the Revenue and Expenditure of the Railway, but merely as lump sums without details.

*submitted finally*

The points of difference between the Treasury and the Colonial Office on the Railway Estimates are discussed in a minute on 2928; they are not of ~~any~~ great importance, and the Railway need not be further alluded to here, except as affecting the general position.

The Estimates of the East Africa Protectorate for 1906-7 are submitted by the Commissioner in his despatch No. 660 (1:43/05-6), and will be found in the bound volume so labelled.

Advance copies had, however, previously been procured and sent to the Treasury, and their remarks will be found in 2770/06. These copies differ in form but scarcely in substance from the final Estimates. The Treasury desire a large reduction of the Estimates

and the question is the decision of the Secretary of State is whether we shall accept their decision, or whether we shall fight the matter further.

The leading figures in the Estimates for 1906-7, as submitted by the Commissioner, compared with the approved Estimates for the current year, are as follows:-

	1905-6	1906-7
Revenue	163,000	202,392
Expenditure	403,360	441,732
Deficit	240,360	239,340
Grant-in-Aid	114,000	176,847

The Treasury say, however, that they can only allow the expenditure to be increased by one half of the increase of the revenue over that of the previous year.

They also hold that in making their calculation they must leave out of account the figures for the Railway.

Now last year's expenditure included £10,000 for the Railway; this year, on the other hand, there is an estimated balance of Revenue from the Railway of £2,646. If these sums are added and deducted respectively, our increase of expenditure becomes £46,372 and our increase of revenue £29,746 only.

Applying their principle, therefore, that the additional expenditure must not exceed 1/2 of the additional revenue, our estimates of expenditure are £33,499 too high, and we must retrench by that amount.

It is, therefore, our duty to retrench by that amount (i.e. £33,499) that we may be in accordance with the

*There is 2770 which is the total*

1,372  
4,673  
60,499

The Estimates of 1906-7 as represent services sanctioned but not carried out in 1905-6.

We have ascertained that the amount of these sums is £4,855. The amount of the retrenchment required is therefore £28644.

The Treasury principle of the revenue seems to me to be an intelligible one, but I cannot understand why the Railway figures should be left out of account, especially as they are constantly urging us to treat the Railway simply as a dept of the Protectorate's

If the sum implied, the retrenchment required as directed by £10,000, the amount of last year's expenditure on the railway, and by one-half of the corrected estimate of the earnings for 1906-7, £6,951. This would reduce the retrenchment required to £15,000.

There are, however, certain additions to the Estimates which it is desired to insert, viz:-

Salary of Land Commissioner	£ 1,500
" Conservator of Forests	1,000
" Veterinary Expert	500
+ Lunatic Asylum	1,200
Total	£4,200.

*In free*

11,000  
11,425  
11,495

11,000  
11,425  
11,495

Commission-  
despatch

7 £ 800. Uganda - to  
Contribute  $\frac{1}{3}$  rd. Jan 1872.

If we press these, the retrenchment to be made in other ways is raised to £32,744 or £19,369 according as the Railway figures are, or are not, included.

It is now necessary to turn to the Estimates to discover in what direction these retrenchments may best be made. (Reference may be made also to my typed minute on 44420).

The only schedules on which any considerable economy appears prima facie possible are Police, Agriculture, and Public Works. Police shows an increase of £5,500: this is defended by the Commissioner par. 10 of 1943 on the ground of the increased number of stations, need of more white officers to control the settlers &c. Possibly the increase is not absolutely necessary.

The Agricultural vote could only be diminished materially by abandoning the experimental farms. The value of these institutions, as at present conducted, is not certain, but we want a little more time to consider the matter and I could not recommend their immediate abolition.

The bulk of the saving must, in any case be made in the Public Works vote, as indeed is indicated by the Treasury. The Treasury lump the Survey department with the Public Works, but nothing is gained by this, as the expenditure on that department has been settled and approved by them and cannot be altered.

Excluding

*Handwritten notes:*  
I have discussed the matter with the B. (Agriculture) and I think they are right to be maintained & that the only question is whether they are really necessary or not.

*Handwritten notes:*  
I have discussed the matter with the B. (Agriculture) and I think they are right to be maintained & that the only question is whether they are really necessary or not.

Excluding this the total expenditure on Public Works is £109,483

The details of the expenditure will be found on pp 173 to 201 of the bound volume, and a defence of the items by the Director of Public Works will be found in the "Notes on the Draft Estimates" attached to 44420 - (green slip) and by the Commissioner par. 15 of 1943/05-6

The main divisions are

	1905-6	1906-7
Staff	£8,472	£13,625
Public Works Recurrent	12,932	20,259
Public Works Extraordinary	63,909	75,599
	£85,313	£109,483.

The comparison is however vitiated by the fact that the 1906-7 figures include a sum of £21,291 for Transport Charges which last year were included in the general vote for transport and cannot apparently be distinguished. If the Transport charge be ignored the increase on Public Works is not so very great after all.

It is pretty obvious that the large increase in the staff is not absolutely necessary, especially if some of the works are omitted.

The Public Works Recurrent cannot be diminished much, probably; existing ~~works~~ <sup>roads</sup> and roads must be kept in repair.

The greater part of the Public Works vote is to be spent in new houses & offices for ~~officers~~ any large diminution of the Public Works extraordinary vote means that officers must continue to live and work in tents or other unsuitable buildings, or alternately, that high rents must be paid for hired houses.

*in fact*  
The Secretariat are scandalously badly provided for, both as regards offices and houses, and I think it is very bad economy to compel high officers to live under such conditions in a tropical climate.

There is however one reason why we should not be reluctant to decrease the Public Works Vote. The head of the Department Mr Ross is a man of thirty who had no practical experience of any sort when he was appointed, on the strength of considerable academical attainments, Junior Assistant Engineer on the Railway in 1900. He was appointed Director of Public Works on probation for one year from 5th April 1905.

It would be rather remarkable if he were competent to administer an expenditure of some £100,000 on roads bridges houses &c.

On the whole I think we should tell the Treasury we can only agree to a reduction of £15,069 which with the additions we propose to make to the expenditure would make the total reduction on the Commissioner's estimates £19,269. And when we have agreed with them we must telegraph to the Commissioner asking him to

submit.

Sept 1899

of Commr

Sept 43434

They can take

it back at the end

74

1/2 p. 12

H 12

1/2 p. 12

H 12

submit reductions on the estimates to the extent indicated.

W.D.E.

1 Feb.

I spoke with Mr Ellis, & I think that the Treas<sup>r</sup> ought to accept the compromise, which will mean a reduction of last year's grant-in-aid of 14,000 by about £56,000, or more than 25%.

The weak point in our case is the Director of Public Works. It seems to me to be a very risky proceeding to entrust the administration of an expenditure of £100,000 to an official of his small experience & standing, & I think that we should tell the Comm<sup>r</sup> so, without delay, & ask him to consider whether it would not be advisable to appoint an officer with wider experience & of higher standing - say that - & the meantime, Mr Ross should not be confirmed in his app<sup>t</sup>. Mr Ross's salary is £600 a year & it would be well worth our while to give a salary of double that amount & get a thoroughly efficient man. For £1200 a year we could probably get a highly-qualified Indian official who had just retired, or we might get a good man from the Naval Works Dept, where I understand that reductions are being made & that good men with varied experience can be had probably, moderately salaries. Mr Ross was Director. He is very well as an Assistant Director.



The truth is - that the Postoffice is  
 outgrowing its staff, + I think that the  
 most pressing matter for us is our chief  
 concern at the present time should be to  
 get thoroughly competent men placed at  
 the head of such important Departments  
 as those of Public Works, <sup>(Land Settlements)</sup> Forestry,  
 Agriculture, Survey, + the Veterinary Dept.

When can we  
 the proposed for  
 T.H.H.

With regard to Land Settlements, it is proposed  
 to appoint a retired Indian official  
 - the late Insp. Genl of Immigration + Indian  
 - as Commissioner for Land with a salary  
 of £1500. The papers were circulated  
 to the S. A. P. a short time ago for his  
 approval of the app't. <sup>was it to be</sup> ~~was it to be~~  
~~was it to be~~ ~~was it to be~~ ~~was it to be~~

S  
 4282/06

When can we  
 T.H.H.

With regard to Forestry, see the last part  
 of the accompanying letter from Mr.  
 Smith, the Director of Agriculture  
 + the Forestry. I think that we should  
 act on his suggestion + get Mr. Hutchins  
 to report on the S. A. P. forest reserves, &  
 if possible, recommend an Officer to  
 act as Conservator. I understand that  
 Mr. Hutchins is one of the best forest  
 officers anywhere + that he would  
 probably be of great assistance to us.

With regard to Agriculture, I think that  
 the view of what is needed is in the  
 letter referred to above, there is no  
 doubt that the <sup>Department</sup> ~~Department~~ ~~Department~~ ~~Department~~ ~~Department~~  
 be kept in foot. The only question is whether

whether it is being run on sound lines  
I have seen to be some difference of  
opinion as to the capabilities of the  
present Director, but his engagement  
comes to an end in the autumn, &  
before we renew it or appoint another  
Officer. I think that we should get  
Mr Smith to go up from the Cape  
& report generally on the question.

Has our Dept. in the Transvaal  
been a great success & I do not think  
that we could get a better man  
to help us. It is desirable we should ask the  
Secretary to let matters remain as they are.  
With regard to Surveys this has been  
put on a satisfactory basis for the  
present. I have noted in my report to the  
Director the opinion mainly approved by the Trans?  
With regard to its Veterinary Dept.

I have had various discussions with  
Mr Stockman, the Chief Veterinary  
Officer of the B. of Agriculture, on  
this subject. A highly efficient  
Veterinary Dept. in a country like the  
S. A. P. is absolutely essential.

The present staff -

1 Chief Veterinary Officer	550
1 Ass <sup>t</sup>	500
1 Veterinary Assistant	400
1 Veterinary Assistant (temporary & unqualified)	400
1 Veterinary Assistant - Veterinary	400

The Chief Veterinary Officer was originally  
selected by Mr Stockman for transport work  
in Uganda. He is energetic but does not  
possess the scientific qualifications which

10/10  
10/10

are required in a country subjected to  
animal diseases like the S. A. P. 148  
The Ass<sup>t</sup> & Veterinary Officer is also  
not wanting in proper scientific qualities.  
The first of the Veterinary Assistant, Mr  
Flood, was appointed about the middle  
of last year on probation for 1 year.  
Owing to the scarcity of Veterinary  
Officers we had the greatest difficulty  
in securing fitting candidates & had  
to take what we could get. I  
understand from Mr Stockman, who  
is in touch with the Chief Veterinary  
Officer of the S. A. P., that the latter  
considers Mr Flood useless. We had  
better consult the Comm<sup>r</sup> on this point,  
if he conforms to of the same opinion, to  
terminate Mr Flood's appointment on  
the expiration of his year's probation.  
The second of the Ass<sup>t</sup> Veterinary  
Officers is an ex-Officer of the R. A.,  
who was employed on remount work  
in S. Africa. As he is not a qualified  
Veterinary Surgeon he cannot sign  
Certificates under section 104 of the  
Provt. & it is proposed to convert him  
into a live stock inspector. The  
vacancy for the 3rd Veterinary Ass<sup>t</sup>,  
we have not been able to fill on  
the terms at present offered.  
The present Chief Veterinary Officer cannot  
discharge his duties, do the administrative  
work all right & he suggests the app't.

49/11

transit line

Stockman considers the present Chief Veterinary Officer cannot  
discharge his duties, do the administrative  
work all right & he suggests the app't.



3770) But, if the local  
revenue increases, the  
increase should not all  
be devoted to reducing  
the grant in aid, but  
one half should be used  
to meet increased expendi-  
ture. But in present cir-  
cumstances this is not  
savoury, and I think that  
we should be justified  
in helping them to agree  
to the proposals put forward  
in Mr. Ellis's typed mem-  
o. If these proposals  
are adopted, it will mean  
that the grant in aid  
required will be £164,500  
as compared with £214,000  
this year

I think that the Treasury ought  
to be extremely well pleased with

7/11  
WTO

this large reduction of the grant in aid 145

Aid. These ~~proposals~~ have been very  
carefully examined, and I agree  
in the suggestions made, especially  
as regards the head of the P.W.D.  
It seems incredible that an utterly  
unqualified and untrained man  
should have been appointed on  
the point of a academic distinction.  
There is a good chance of getting a  
decided & man from the heavy works  
Dept. if they can be trusted to  
select us fairly. This department  
has secured, during the last few  
years, some of the most rising  
young men of the profession.  
I proceed as proposed  
WTO  
16/2

17/2

I hope that it is bad economy not to  
have as staff properly

Proved as proposed

19/2

~~Treas~~ M. O. E. U. P.  
1890 3854

2 copies

DRAFT.

The Sec to the  
Treasury

28 February '06

MINUTE.

- Mr. W. 24/2
- Mr. Read 24
- Mr. Antrobus 26 f.o.
- Mr. Cox
- Mr. Lucas
- Mr. Graham
- Sir H. Osmanney
- The Duke of Marlborough
- Mr. Lyttelton.

2770

Am. 27958

Sir I am directed by  
the Lord of Elgin  
to ask the receipt of your  
letter of the 25<sup>th</sup> of  
Jan<sup>y</sup> (No 240/06)  
and to transmit to  
you, to be laid before  
the L.C. of the Treasury,  
the accompanying copy  
of a further draft from  
the Comrs of the E. U. P.  
transmitting the  
required alterations of  
the Revenue & Expenditure  
of the Protectorate for  
the year 1906-7.

1/05/06)  
Padder to Sec of P. No 66023 Du

(Treasurer's names  
not on file as a  
quantity)

(I have advised - into  
what must be copied Mr.  
Read's alterations on  
ff. 115, 167, 169)

Call March 7/07

2. These estimates represent  
 mainly a reclassification,  
 of the ~~same~~ in accordance  
 with the Colonial Finan-  
 cial Instructions, of  
 the draft Estimates  
 already forwarded to  
 the Treasury, the  
 only alteration  
 of substance being  
 the addition of £200  
 for the purchase of a  
 launch for the Post  
 Office which was in-  
 correctly omitted from  
 the draft. To an  
 inspection of the  
 differences caused  
 by the reclassification

tion is given in the 147  
 Statements marked B.  
 B. attached to  
 the Memorandum by  
 the Treasurer enclosed  
 in Col. Sadler's  
 despatch.

3. The gross figures  
 of the Estimate are  
 swelled, as compared  
 with the draft, by  
 the inclusion of  
 the gross receipts &  
 expenditure of the  
 Railway, and the  
 heading totals are  
 now as follows

Estimated Exp <sup>re</sup> 1906-7		£
Estimated Receipts of the same period	62593	£
Estimated Receipts 1905-7	395,928	
Deficit for which grant is aid is required	176847	
	635,268	635,268

4 As there are no material  
alterations in the  
revised Estimates, the  
observations  
done of the L.C. of  
the Treasury ~~contains~~  
on the draft Estimates  
already submitted  
to them, remain  
relevant, and I am  
to reply to their criticisms  
as follows.

5 Lord Elgin accepts  
in principle the rule  
proposed by T.L. that  
the expenditure of  
the Postoffice should  
not exceed that of  
the previous year.

DRAFT.

MINUTE.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr. Ansbros.

Mr. Cox

Mr. Lucas.

Mr. Graham.

Sir H. Osmanney.

The Duke of Marlborough.

Mr. Lyttelton.

more than one half  
of the additional  
total estimated 148  
additional total  
revenue, he will  
submit that it will  
be ~~But he is unable~~  
to follow the argument  
by which it is sought  
to include <sup>or reasonable</sup> in  
this calculation the  
net deficit of surplus  
on the working of the  
Railway for the two  
years under compar-  
ison, especially as  
the Railway is now  
by T.L.'s direction  
to be regarded as  
a debt of the Postoffice  
-retail, and not  
as an independent  
agency entirely

x6  
It is understood that  
T.L. are willing to  
modify their opinion  
in this matter, and  
also to allow ~~additional~~  
an addition to the  
authorised expenditure,  
arising out of the  
application of  
this principle, in respect  
of the cost of ~~works~~  
~~works~~ included in  
the estimates of 1905-6  
but not performed  
during that year, and  
therefore appearing in  
the estimates of 1906-7.  
The total of these expenditure  
on such works is reported  
by the Comr. to amount  
to £4855.

1943

(The Hon. Secy  
Colonial)

27  
Taking accordingly  
for the purposes of com-  
parison with last  
year 1905-6, the figures  
of revenue & expenditure  
under the old system  
of classification, and  
~~deducting £1054~~  
as given in the 2nd  
part of the Comr's rep  
No 660, and deducting  
£1054 from the  
anti-imp'd Revenue of  
1906-7 on account  
of the additions  
to the gross expenditure  
of the Railway as per  
the letter from  
this Dept. of the 24<sup>th</sup> inst,  
the following are the  
figures:-



Revenue 1906-7  $\text{£} 403,360$   
 Revenue 1905-6  $\text{£} 364,790$   
 Increase of Revenue  $38,335$   
 Half of which is  $19,168$

Authorised to be 1905-6  $403,360$   
 Add  $\frac{1}{2}$  net increase of Revenue  $19,168$   
 ~~$422,528$~~   
 Total  $422,528$

Add cost of post-  
 office  $4,855$   
 Total  $427,383$   
 for which provision is  
 made.

Deduct Balance on 31/3/06  $62,599$   
 $364,790$   
 Deduct Revenue  $201,335$   
 Grant in Aid Required  $163,455$

Thus the grant is  
 which Lord the  
 Lord T. L. ...

$\text{£} 214,000$   
 $\text{£} 163,455$   
 $\text{£} 50,545$

~~$214,000$~~   
 ~~$163,455$~~   
 DRAFT  $48,545$   
 $\text{£} 176,847$   
 $\text{£} 163,455$   
 $\text{£} 13,392$

MINUTE.

- Mr.
- Mr.
- Mr. Antrobus.
- Mr. Cor.
- Mr. Lucas.
- Mr. Graham.
- Sir M. Ommamney.
- The Duke of Marlborough.
- Mr. Lyttelton.

shows a decrease of  $\text{£} 50,545$  compared with last year, and of  $\text{£} 13,392$  as compared with the first revised estimate of the Post-Office for 1906. It will of course be necessary for the Post-Office to effect economies in order to provide for the proposed reduction in the grant-in-aid, and also in order to provide without exceeding a total expenditure of  $\text{£} 427,383$  for various items of expenditure not included in the

referred to in the letter which  
Lord Uxbridge desires  
to submit for T.L.'s  
approval. It will be  
convenient to refer to  
them at once.

The T.L. are already  
aware from the letter  
from this Dept. of the  
20th Feb that it  
is proposed to appoint  
a Comr for Land  
with a salary of £2000  
p.a. and it does not  
appear necessary to  
enlarge further on  
the reasons for the appt  
which are fully ex-  
plained in the letter  
referred to.

33231

22 11

Provision was made  
in the Estimates for  
1905-6 for a Com-  
missioner of Forests with  
a salary of £2000 p.a.  
but no appt. was  
made to the office,  
which <sup>was</sup> vacant owing  
to the resignation of  
Mr. Elliott. The  
duties <sup>of the post</sup> ~~of the post~~ have been  
~~carried on~~ discharged  
temporarily by Mr.  
Linton, the Director  
of Agriculture, and  
no provision has been  
made in the Estimates  
for 1906-7 for the  
separate salary for  
this office.

Lord Uxbridge is not,  
however, satisfied  
that Mr. Linton

Let either the expenses  
or the time necessary  
for the proper discharge  
of their duties in addition  
to his own: and in  
view of the many im-  
portant pending questions  
with which the Protector's  
is reported, including  
the regulation & control  
of the <sup>ports</sup> fisheries ~~for~~ and  
the safeguarding of the  
supply of fuel for the  
Navy, he has no  
hesitation in recom-  
mending that provision  
should again be made  
for a Conservator at a  
salary of £800 p.a.

DRAFT.

MINUTE.

Mr.  
Mr.  
Mr. Anstoups.  
Mr. Cist.  
Mr. Lucas.  
Mr. Graham.  
Sir M. Ommalley.  
The Duke of Marlborough.  
Mr. Lyttelton.

<sup>13</sup>  
The Veterinary Dept.  
is another branch  
of the Post-Office which  
had long existed,  
stands in greater  
need of scientific  
management  
<sup>14</sup>  
X<sup>5</sup> As far as can  
at present be ascertained,  
the rearing of cattle  
goats, and dairy  
farming, are the  
industries which  
afford most hope  
of a permanent future  
in the temperate parts  
of the U. A. P. Some  
of the settlers are  
already embarking  
considerable capital  
in the various branches of  
stock raising the

L. A. Pagnon directors have  
recently introduced  
5000 sheep from Queens-  
land, and Dr  
Doring Doring  
has accepted a lease  
under which he is bound  
to import a like number.  
The Unfortunately the  
country is threatened with  
various diseases of animals  
worse than which  
have devastated the  
Treasurer & other facts  
of Africa and experience  
shows that the only way  
in which the raising of these  
diseases can be kept  
within limits, is by  
administrative restrictions  
sanitary & restrictions  
based on scientific

has been a consultation  
with the Board of  
Agriculture on the  
general question of the  
organization of  
the Dept, &

15  
identification of the  
causes of disease.

16  
The present Chief  
Veterinary Officer  
is believed to be a capable  
administrator, but is  
wanting in the special  
scientific qualifications  
which are required, & so  
if administrative  
measures are to be  
wisely conceived.

16  
Lord Elgin believes  
that the necessary  
scientific direction  
would be secured by  
the appointment of a bacterio-  
logist at £500 p.a.  
and he would accor-  
dingly make this  
sanction of the  
case.

17. A great difficulty

has been encountered in  
obtaining suitable candidates  
for the posts of Assistant  
Suttry Officers the  
two officers actually serving  
do not appear to have the  
necessary qualifications,  
and the 3rd offr. remains  
vacant in the absence  
of any qualified candidate  
to be obtained at the  
salary offered. It may  
therefore become necessary  
in the future to invite  
T.L. to appear for a view  
of the instruments attached  
to their posts, but Lord  
Hagen proposes to consult  
the Comr. as to the reorga-  
nization of the staff  
and will submit a detailed  
report at a later date

DRAFT

MINUTE.

Mr.  
Mr.  
Mr. Anstabus.  
Mr. Cox.  
Mr. Lucas.  
Mr. Graham.  
Sir M. Osmannay.  
The Duke of Marlborough.  
Mr. Lyttelton.

18 Since the revised estimate  
has been received a letter  
has been received from  
the Comr. pointing out  
that the present system  
by which the only  
means of dealing with  
business is by conference  
is good in most respects  
and urging the  
reduction of £1200 in the  
estimate, of which  
one third, for building  
& furniture (exclusive of  
H.M. stores on the  
Comr's view) &  
recommends the work,  
which involves a net  
charge of £800 on the  
C.A.P., for the T.L.'s  
approval.

19. Recurring now to  
the revised estimates

It is submitted by  
the Protector's Dept, which  
has been carefully examined  
in this Dept, that they  
do not find it necessary  
to add any more to  
the full explanation  
given in the Commr's  
Dept, the Treasurer's  
memoranda, & the notes  
on the Estimates.

20

The Estimates of  
Revenue appear to have  
been carefully framed,  
and the anticipated  
increase over the Estimates  
of last year of £38,335,  
including an surplus  
of £19,844 in the receipts  
from Hat Tax and of  
£4,000 in Customs, appears  
to Lord Uxer in every  
way satisfactory.

The only means falling  
off is one of £4,992  
in the estimated receipts  
from the sale of lands  
and it may perhaps  
be hoped that the recovery  
of the land &  
Revenue Dept may  
lead to better results in  
this Dept than could  
be anticipated when the  
Estimates were framed.

21.

In the expenditure  
Schedule an increase  
of ~~£15,000~~ 14,780 is  
shown under the head  
Deputy Commr's Dept  
& Secretariat, due  
mainly to an increase in  
the salary of the Secretary  
& the Assistant Secretary  
and the addition of  
a 3rd Assistant Secretary  
and to the gift of  
an Assistant Secretary  
additions to the substitutes

stuff of the Printing Office  
The increase in the Protestant  
is explained in the  
history of the depts of which  
expansions are ordered, &  
Lud. Elgin, having regard  
to the great proportion  
of the offices concerned  
has no hesitation in  
suggesting Mr Jackson's  
recommendation.

(88652)  
Mr. Jackson to P/P No 50  
do do No 600  
(41904)

The increase in the Printing  
Dept. is sufficiently ex-  
plained by the fact  
that, in accordance with the  
usual practice of this  
dept, the Whitaker's & Co's  
series of the Protestant  
will be printed on the spot  
instead of being sent home  
for the purpose, and partly  
by the actual increase  
of part printing due to  
the growth of the  
country. This expands

ture will in future be  
shown as a separate  
Head.

DRAFT.

MINUTE.

- Mr
- Mr
- Mr. Antrobus.
- Mr. Cox.
- Mr. Lucas.
- Mr. Graham.
- Sir M. Osmannay.
- The Duke of Marlborough.
- Mr. Lyttelton.

In the legal Dept.  
provision is made for  
the salary of an  
Assistant Crown Advo-  
cate at £450 per  
annum. The need for such an  
office is explained in  
the 9th part of Ch. Padley's  
dpt No 600. his work  
will be mainly the  
drafting of leases &  
conveyances of Crown  
lands, a work which is  
fully sufficient to occupy  
the whole time of one  
officer, and his com-  
plicity, in view of the  
multiplication of duties of  
the principal Crown Advo-  
cate, fallen much into  
disrepute. Various





purpose, but, as no detailed  
estimate has yet been sub-  
mitted, Mr. Sedler con-  
siders that £500 will  
meet any extra likely  
to be incurred during the  
coming year.

285. The head "Transport"  
shows a decrease of £500,  
which, however, is due  
to the transfer of the  
Transport charges of  
the Military, Survey, &  
Public Works, Dept. to  
the heads of the Estimates.  
In future years it is in-  
tended to abolish the  
Transport head altogether,  
transferring charges against  
each Dept. the Transport  
responsibility for which it  
is responsible. Would the  
Comms. with the Treasurer  
be thinking that this  
change will render it  
difficult to check extravagance  
in such expre.

DRAFT.

MINUTE

Mr. ...  
Mr. ...  
Mr. ...  
Mr. ...  
Mr. ...  
Mr. ...  
The Duke of Marlborough.  
Mr. Lyttelton.

An Assistant Director  
of Transport is asked  
for with a salary of 15  
£300 p.a. to in adde  
to enable the Director  
to take direct from head  
quarters & direct the  
work of Transport, especially  
of Public Works materials  
to military stations.

286. Under the head  
"Military", which  
shows a decrease of  
more £5,500, it is  
proposed to disallow  
the sum of £200 for  
"great allowance to  
Comms.", in the absence  
of any explanation of  
the charge.

287. It is regretted that  
the request of Mr. T.L.  
conveyed in their letter  
of the 15th Feb 1905,  
that the question of

The original  
cultural experiments  
ought to be  
of the nature  
which when the  
the Department  
is referred to this

matter has not  
sufficiently  
attention should

through the  
the Board of  
the on the subject  
the various  
to be carried  
to commercial  
have been

working like the  
the Department  
to select the  
to guide  
as the various  
as a general

has been  
Mr. Smith of the  
the  
the  
Department  
of the  
Transvaal  
has been in the  
country

sporadic & unscientific,  
& if unsuccessful, to  
be useless to those  
who undertake them

for which the soil & climate  
are suited, or the best  
methods of cultivation  
& stock raising, then  
successful experiments  
in these directions by  
individuals are apt to be  
communicated to others, &  
useful to others, to whom  
the results of such  
experiments may be  
made known. In these  
circumstances it becomes  
the duty of the Govt. to  
initiate new crops &  
experiments, and to promote  
by means of experimental  
farms to evolve a system  
of agriculture which  
can be recommended to  
settlers: as well as to  
encourage cooperation  
experiments among the  
farmers with the view  
that they will report advice  
at their farms.

29.  
30  
Lord Uxbridge has not been  
able to get his bill to  
relieve himself from  
for the duties functions  
are especially discharged  
under the present system,  
you may see of the fact  
that the term of engagement  
of the present Director of  
Revenue is confined in  
the present bill, he proposes  
to continue the Dept. on  
the present basis until  
the autumn of the next  
year to obtain a report  
from an agricultural expert  
on the working of requirements  
with a view to the improvement  
of the system.

30  
The value of the  
total sum of £18,746  
on the Land Office &  
Survey Dept has already  
& received T.L.'s approval  
& the same have been  
inserted in the Estimates  
by the Dept.

DRAFT.

MINUTE.

- Mr.
- Mr.
- Mr. Anstobus.
- Mr. Cox.
- Mr. Lucas.
- Mr. Graham.
- Sir M. Ommanney.
- The Duke of Marlborough.
- Mr. Lyttelton.

Amount of Excess provided	448,732
Less on Revised Estimates	
The Commissioners of the	1500
Comptroller of Exchequer	800
Barrister at Law	500
Inspector General	800
<hr/> Total	445,332
Less: Miscellaneous	200
<hr/> Total authorized Excess	445,132
Less: Excess actually effected	427,383
<hr/> Total Excess not effected	17,749

57.  
32  
The Public Works  
Committee have already  
been indicated by the  
so the question on which  
a resolution should be  
effected, and as  
Lord Uxbridge has accepted  
the sum of £427,383  
as the amount of the  
authorized Excess  
of the Public Works  
Committee, he is prepared  
to effect the necessary  
economies, & this  
head.

32.  
32.  
The Excess not  
to be effected, on this  
principle is, as  
shown in the margin,  
£17,749, and, as  
Lord Uxbridge is unable  
to indicate what

are the works which can  
be postponed with least  
disadvantage to the  
Particulars, he proposes  
if T.L. were to telegraph  
to the Comrs. desiring  
them to effect the  
necessary economies  
at his discretion.

27-33. Referring to the  
items of T.L. as conveyed  
in your letter of the 25<sup>th</sup>  
Jan<sup>r</sup>, with reference  
to the expenditure proposed  
under this head, with  
that afforded in the recent  
year's estimates. I am  
to show that the total  
expenditure provided for  
in the revised estimates  
inclusion of Paving &  
Transport Charges amounts  
to £88,192, as compared

£
109,483
21,291
<hr/>
88,192

£
85,313
10,218
<hr/>
95,095

with an authorized total  
of for 1905-6, also including  
Paving & Transport charges  
of £95,095. The  
proposed reduction of  
£17,449 will therefore  
more than comply with  
T.L.'s wish that the  
expenditure of 1905-6  
under this head should  
not be exceeded in the  
coming year. 161

25-34. As the report will  
be subjected to reduction  
to in the extent proposed,  
it is hardly necessary  
to add much to what  
is urged in the Comrs.'s  
last report, as to  
the necessity of the  
present works.

28-35. Apart from the new  
York House of the Hospital  
at Marsdie, this is a large  
part of the proposed

was intended for  
the provision of housing  
accommodation for officials.  
Lord Ugin requests that  
T.C. should have been led  
to believe that the amount  
provided on the Public  
Works Estimates for the  
current year would enable  
the Probationary Authorities  
to complete the adequate  
housing of their staff. This  
is far from the being the  
case - many officials  
are still required to live  
in tents or other unsuitable  
structures, while others are  
housed in premises rented  
from private individuals  
at high rates.

38. Lord Ugin considers  
as strongly of opinion  
that it is the duty of  
the Probationary  
Authorities to provide  
adequate housing for  
their staff in premises  
rented from private  
individuals at high rates.

number of the officials  
the houses required  
for their accommodation  
must increase with  
the development  
of the Probationary  
Authorities.

### MINUTE.

Mr.  
Mr.  
Mr. Astor.  
Mr. Cox.  
Mr. Lucas.  
Mr. Graham.  
Sir M. Osmanney.  
The Duke of Marlborough.  
Mr. Lytton.

requests that he

and he cannot hold out  
the hope that the necessary  
accommodation under the  
head is has reached its  
limit, especially in the  
38.

The attention of the  
Probationary Authorities will be  
drawn to the  
facture to adjust  
the figures in of  
the previous year  
in respect of the transfer  
of the Linton Farm  
from the Probationary  
to the Agricultural Dept.

Jh

387

H.L. is, however, to  
the more willing to agree to a  
transfer of any part of the  
of any of the proposed works  
is he is not quite satisfied  
that the present Director of  
Public Works, Mr. Ross, is  
qualified for the management