

EAST AFR. PROT.

34858

No. 34858

No. 493

(Subject)

1905

Appeal of Max Weber

7 Sept

at previous Paper.

Calls attention to provisions of  
Comm. Ordinance Code S. 536 apparently  
overlooked.

109

(Attorney)

Mr. Risley  
M. J. P.

reference

The Comm. Ord. Code has called our attention to this sec 536  
in his letter of 26/7/05 in which he asks whether it  
helps us much - I think the section presupposes that trial  
by jury is as far as possible, whereas in S. Africa,  
orders having been made under secs 269 (1) and 274 (1) for  
trial by jury in the Court of Session is simply a non-existent  
institution.

In any case the interpretation as to regarding the jury  
the Commission remain

The whole history of this case illustrates the absurdity  
of the attempt to administer justice in a place where Africa  
which only needs a few simple African officials to  
preside over the courts, for the South African  
and provisions of the Act which require the trial by jury  
in the Court of Session.

J. P. P.

at previous Paper

36904

S. P.

at the office of the Secretary of State  
11/10/05

C. O.  
34858  
24  
Commissioner's Office  
Ry 30 Sep 35

Commissioner's Office

Mombasa,

September 7th. 1905.

AFRICA PROTECTORATE  
No. 498

Sir,

With reference to your despatch No. 538 of 7th ultimo transmitting correspondence regarding Max Wehner's appeal, I have the honour to report that the Crown Advocate has called my attention to paragraph three of Mr. Cox's letter of July 20th addressed to the Treasury Solicitor. It would appear that the provisions of the Criminal Procedure Code section 350 have not received consideration. That section enacts that if an offence triable with the aid of assessors is tried by a jury, the trial shall not on that ground only be invalid.

I have the honour to be,  
Sir,  
Your most obedient,  
Lumbie servant,

(In the absence of H. D. Commissioner)

Principal Secretary of State

for the Colonies,  
Downing Street,  
LONDON