

DOMESTIC.

E. AFRICA
W. AFRICA

Q. O.

6697

1 09

6697

of all the clerks are necessary. The absence of the measure in this case of the other 7 has not been understood from Mr. Simson, are which appear to be necessitated by the terms of their engagements but to consider their the salaries of the other clerks should in the absence of special reasons to the contrary, be allowed to remain at the present figure.

Jan 20

3. This will apparently necessitate a reduction of the Es. salaries by Rs 35 a month in salaries and Rs 22 1/4 a month in allowances, approximately £30 a year.

Office of Indian Clerk

Rank

1909

22 Feb

Previous Paper

Second Class Off.:

Travel expenses: Fees for med. Exam.

Inquire whether ruling in 2nd class app. which provides payment of these expenses from C. fund, can be applied to 2nd class app.

Mr. Rice

I do not know what the proposal for it was, but it is usual practice in Dept. to pay 3rd class rail fares (including expenses) to port embarkation & fees for medical exam on first appointment ^{in respect of} Second class office. The fee for medical examination is also when an Officer on leave of absence class is directed to proceed himself to the medical adviser but I do not remember any such case in which travelling expenses have been claimed. Such a claim could only be entertained in the case of a second class officer & then only if the total of 3rd class rail fares.

Very sincerely,

END 24 Feb

HAR B
24 Feb 09

Class of rank

This form will be prepared in accordance with the instructions on the reverse of this paper.

Subsequent Paper

22 February 1909

C. O.

6697

RLC

FEB 24 1909

Dear Mr. Ellis

A question has arisen in regard to the authority for the payment of travelling expenses to port of embarkation and fees for medical examination of Officers to whom second class passages are granted to East Africa.

These payments were approved by the C. O. in the case of such appointments to the West African Colonies in C. O. letter to the Crown Agents dated 24 August 1892 but, I cannot trace any definite sanction for the application of the same ruling to appointments to the East African Colonies. In reply to an enquiry the

Crown Agents refer me to Foreign Office
letter dated 16 March 1899, copy of which I
enclose, which they have interpreted as
covering such cases.

Will you kindly tell me whether it
is the wish of the C. O. that the ruling
contained in the above mentioned C. O.
letter of 24 August 1892 should be applied
to similar appointments to the East Africa
Colonies.

I remain
Yours truly

W. Dundas Bathurst

FOREIGN OFFICE TO CROWN AGENTS.

March 16th 1899. 24 09

Gentlemen,

The Marquess of Salisbury has had under his consideration, and has referred to the Lords Commissioners of Her Majesty's Treasury, your letters of the 21st of September and of the 7th of October last, respecting the question whether certain charges in respect of services which you perform for the African Protectorates should be paid for in addition to, or be regarded as included in, the fixed payment of One thousand pounds a year, supplemented by the One per cent commission on stores now payable to you.

Their Lordships understand from your letter of the 14th of January 1897, that you originally hoped that the commission of one per cent would furnish you with the means of meeting the additional expenses which your office might be called upon to incur in dealing with the new African business. They infer that the regular payments made to you should cover the cost of all services to the Protectorates which can be performed within your office, and by your regular staff, and that only out-of-pocket expenses necessarily paid to outside persons should be allowed as a separate charge over and above the regular payments made by the Protectorates.

Their Lordships think that it should not in general be difficult to decide under which category any particular charge should come. Thus, of the charges dealt with in your above-mentioned letters, refunds of expenses and payment of the fees of outside persons necessarily employed in connection with the engagement of officers; payments on account of the travelling expenses of candidates (restricted to the minimum); and fees for their medical examination, where the candidates themselves cannot fairly be asked to pay, may be treated as outside the regular payments

CHIEF OFFICE TO CHIEF AGENT

March 18th 1890

The Lordships of the Treasury have had under their consideration the proposal of the Lord Commissioners of the Treasury, that your letter of the 14th of October last, regarding the question whether certain officers in respect of salaries and allowances should be treated as Protectorates should be paid for in addition to or be included in the fixed payment of the several pounds a year, authorized by the one per cent commission of salaries now payable to you.

Their Lordships understand from your letter of the 14th of January 1890, that you originally hoped that the commission of one per cent would furnish you with the means of meeting the additional expenses which you would be called upon to incur in dealing with the new African business. They infer that the actual payments made to you should cover the cost of all services to the Protectorates which can be rendered within your office, and as your regular staff and other out-of-pocket expenses necessarily paid to various persons should be allowed as a separate charge over and above the salary payments made by the Protectorates.

Their Lordships think that it should not be considered difficult to decide under which category and principle of charges of the charges shall fall, and to your approval, and to the benefit of the Protectorates, the amount of expenses and payment of the same should be necessary in connection with the business of the Protectorates, payments on account of the salaries and allowances (referred to in your letter) and for other purposes, where the establishment is maintained, should be included in the fixed payment of the several pounds a year.

of commission etc., and Lord Salisbury sanctions such payments being charged to the several Protectorates.

On the other hand, the expense of preparing agreements with such officers should be regarded, in the opinion of the Lords of the Treasury, in which opinion Lord Salisbury concurs, as covered by the commission and the fixed payment of One thousand pounds a year.

I am, etc.,

(Sd) FRANCIS BERTIE.

Public Record Office London

a.o.
6697

Africa
Africa

5
8

~~Set~~

May

Feb 09
Shreeog

DRAFT

The Comptroller and
Auditor General

Sir,

I am to inform you that
be understood that a question has
arisen in regard to the authority
for the payment of travelling
expenses ^{in this country} and fees for medical
examination of officers to whom
second class passages are granted
to East Africa.

MINUTE.

- Mr. Darnley 25 Feb
- Mr. Butler 2 March 4
- Mr. Jubb
- Mr. Antrobus.
- Mr. Coe
- Sir C. Lucas.
- Sir F. Hopwood.
- Col. Seely.
- The Earl of Cromer.

f.3

- Such officers may receive on
foot appointment only, their
3rd class railway fares and any
incidental expenses ^{which} they may
reasonably incur when travelling
to the port of embarkation,
and their 3rd class railway
fares, without any addition for
incidental expenses, when visiting
the medical adviser at the
time of their appointment.
The medical adviser's fee is
also paid by the Govt.

Mr. Butler

Please see Mr. Martin's note
annexed. If Mr. Dept. Genl.
we can make the draft apply
to tropical African (W. & E. Africa).
but I would not go beyond this
as I think acceptance might
arise elsewhere

Cmdr A. M. W.

This is not necessary w. B. B. H. H.
says in his note of the 22nd of Feb. that
they already have the authority
for the W. African Colonies
of Feb
March 4