

EAST AFR. PROT

329

73587

73587

Barbour
A.P.

Gratuity

1919

29 Dec

Last previous Paper.

73565

Requests payment of — for service in the
E.A.P.C

he brother,
73568

I don't see why this has come through G.H.G. Nairobi, or why they should have sent it to W.O. through us, as it is purely a local matter.

The fact is that Capt Barbour, late Capt. Pymester, E.A.P.C., began his military service (in the ranks) 13.9.18 in the Calcutta B Coy, in India. He went to E.A. in that unit. He was transferred to F.A.P.C. as he had special qualifications. His regular term of service terminated & he applied for release in October 1918. Major Patterson was forced to promote Capt P. in the ranks & recommend his commission without consulting him, owing to urgency in connection with E.A. Pymester was permitted to relinquish his commission 13.6.18. He was eventually released in April 1918. The trouble throughout seems to have been that Capt P.'s firm were making him an allowance of Rs 450 during period of regular term of service.

more
in efficiency
in two parts
of the work
and efficiency
to Reg. 106. 25
Copy 7 above to you 385. 16 Mar 20 1919

Last subsequent Paper.

7305/20

Mr. Malahy

I agree with Mr. Crow that
strictly on the letter of the law
Capt. Barkson is not eligible for
a gratuity as he voluntarily 321
relinquished his Commission. It
is rather a hard case, however, as
had Capt. Barkson only been retained
in the service another 16 days he
would have qualified - See para 4
Sub. Para. 4 of W.O. 11, 14 Dec 1911
on W.O. 6066/11 K/W/6222

Mr. Barkson

If we referred to W.O. we may
be certain they will say "No" but
are absolutely rigid in these matters
& we not waste time by doing so.
I appreciate Col. Deane's point
but, taking everything into con-
sideration I agree with Mr. Christie that
Capt. Barkson should not get a
gratuity, & that he is lucky enough
to have got an allowance from
his firm all the while he was
on military service. After all, it
was purely in his own interests that
he resigned.

Sen 16/1/12

I agree. The fact that the last report
was not a voluntary relinquishment was

M

modified later does not affect the fact
that he made his choice between civil
disobey and a gratuity. He has no
strong claim there if his firm had
insisted on his return when the point
first arose.

If the W.O. are right in these
matters, he should be right too, as
they are our only guide.

W.S. 17.1.20

at once

modified later does not affect the fact
that he made his choice between civil
disobedience & gratuity. He has no
stronger claim than if his pain had
insisted on his return when the point
first arose.

If the W.O. are right in these
matters, he should be right too, as
they are our only guide.

C.S. 17.1.20

atonic

W. F. Kelly
27 Broad Street
London E.C. 2

29 Dec 1919

73
29 DEC 19

RECEIVED
29 DEC 1919
COL OFFICE

Sir I have the honor to say
that I have not yet received
my Exultity in respect of my
service in the East Africa Regt.
Application has been made to
the Chief Registrar, Nairobi.

(not signed by LEE has no
date May 1914)

no reply nor acknowledgment
has been received and you
know of it any assistance
in the matter I shall be most
obliged

I have the honor to be

Yours

J. M. Robertson

11, Waterloo St

London

Dear Sir

(not signed by the ...
11 of August 1919)

No reply received, and I have
been long since, and I have
never again any assistance
in the matter I shall be most
grateful

I have the impression that

I have received from

of the ...
with ...
...

B. 73587/19 E.A.P.

323

DRAFT.

29 January 1920

I have to inform you that I have received

E.A.P. [73587/19]

No. 106

000

a despatch No. 106 of 16/5/1920 of the 19th of November
received by the War Office
from G.H.Q. Newcastle

MINUTE.

addressed to the Sec. W.O. through the S.P.S. for the

Mr. Cosmo 20.120

Mr. Machy 20/1

Mr.

Mr. Grimaldi

Sir H. Lambert

Sir H. Reid

Sir G. Bridges

Col. Amery

Lord Milner

Left without

regarding the eligibility of Capt A.R. Barber

O.B.E. late T.O. P. Capt Barber's gratuity
is not.

As the matter in question relates to a matter
relating to

detail on the T.O. Local Ince, and should
if I consider have passed to
the T.O. of a Capt
therefore have come to me through you. In

any case the W.O. are not concerned, and they

should not be addressed by an official

who is administrative of the S.P.S.

2. After careful of all the evidence

produced I am of opinion that Capt Barber

is eligible for an O.B.E. under

O.O. 406 of 1915 in respect of his services to
the T.O. Local Ince.

(Sgd.) L. C. AMERY.

B. 43587/19 E.A.P.

Amount
5623
20

22 January 1920.

A. A. Darbon, Esq.

Sir,

I am directed to ack. the receipt of your [75587]

letter of the 29th of Decem. for and to inform you

P.P.C. 20.1.20
has been by
draft received

that you apply for a war gratuity in respect

of your services in the E.A. Local Forces has been

referred to the Dept. of the local authorities in E.A.

After careful exam. of all the

evidence produced it has been decided

that you are not eligible, under A.O. 455

of 1915, for a war gratuity in respect of your

services in the E.A. Local Forces.

Copy for 385 to Mar 26 B/12196/2