

PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE

CO 537780

ORDER NO. 525

CAMERA NO. 19

OPERATOR. EM

REDUCTION. 12

EMULSION NO. 341081

DATE. 10/10/72

CROWN COPYRIGHT.

THESE COPIES ARE SUPPLIED FOR INFORMATION
AND RESEARCH ONLY - NO REPRODUCTION MAY BE
MADE FOR PUBLICATION WITHOUT THE ASSENT OF

THE PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE

CLOSED
17 NHC

0537 / 780

EAST AFR. PROT

1966

195-8

Page 19 1472

1960s Secret

Colonial Office

1950

19 April

Last previous paper.

15132

Italian Aspirations

- Corrs with Italian Foreign Min'st (Saccard), mostly by Sartori's air force's position of negotiations, also memorandum on Ethiopia, Babru Island, frontier between Germany & Italy, League of Nations' Commission in Africa, to agree to a Conference while they're in Anglo

Mr. B. L. Steg

Registered for record.

? Party

rect

17.4.20

Wednesday April 20 after

Partially

North Carolina Dept. of Health

MINISTERO DELLE COLONIE

London

1^{er} avril de 1920

Excellence

je me suis engagé à communiquer à J. L. Sidogi la lettre que vous m'avez fait l'honneur de m'adresser hier soir, sur la question de la frontière du Gabon.

J. L. Sidogi se révèle de tous écrits personnellement. Je présente à Votre Excellence, avec mes respects et hommages, les sentiments de ma profonde reconnaissance pour son bienveillant accueil, et pour toutes les facilitations et les marques de sympathie et de considération exprimées par l'ordre ici dans l'accomplissement de ma mission.

Je reste tout à fait obligé
à vos bonnes

obliges Bresson

For Hatch
This airpost fee
shall now be placed
in record. Net
6/4/20.

R

C

S

sent

March 5/
1920

Dear Senator

Lord Milner wrote the
enclosed answer to Baccane
& as I'd no time to send it off
at once - which has been
done - I let you have
a copy.

I am sorry for the typists
error in line 5

Yours sincerely

R. Sperling

Sir W. Head

Lord Rivers has not
seen this

Could you be available
at 3 p.m. today to
talk it over with
him?

Very
Yours
30/7/20

Major Thornton

Certainly

A. Smith
30/7/20

~~Copy 1920~~
~~Smith~~

~~URGENT~~

Foreign Office

March 29th,

Dear Thornton,

Lord Curzon sent for me a few minutes ago to say that Signor Scialoja had expressed to him the hope that H.M.G. would cede to Italy the extra piece of Jubaland for which they were asking.

I explained the situation with regard to the African negotiations, about which, as it happened, I had just written the memorandum enclosed, because I had to refer for instructions on that identical point.

Lord Curzon said that he felt inclined to reply to Signor Scialoja that as we had already offered Italy Jarabub and had increased the area in Jubaland which we had previously offered, he thought the Italian Government had ample material on which to base a defence in Italy of the cession to Egypt of the strip of coast near Sollum.

At

10

interpretation of which has been a bone of contention between the two Governments since it was signed.

We maintain that the agreement gives His Majesty's Government territorial as well as "hydraulic" rights in that part of the Nile basin which lies in Abyssinia.

The Italian Government claim that our rights are only "hydraulic", i.e. the control of the Nile waters. The Egyptian authorities say that they must exercise administrative rights in the Nile basin if they are to control the waters and that no compromise is possible on this point.

We cannot admit that British interests should be excluded from any part of Abyssinia especially that part in which they are already operating, and to which British capital is being increasingly attracted.

I have therefore told Signor Baccari that we cannot comply with the Italian request. He asks that the door may at least be left open for future discussions and I should propose to say that as Abyssinia is not a British colony or dependency the question does not arise out of the London Agreement of 1915, on the strength of which alone the Italian Government are entitled to any compensation from His Majesty's Government in Africa. The question is therefore left in status quo.

(2) Arabia. This is an attempt by the Italian Government to secure a political footing in Arabia under the guise of a request for trade facilities. After consulting Sir Edward Grey I have told Signor Baccari that we cannot accept the treatise quoted

support the Italian ~~territory~~^{frontier} that trade between the shores of the Red Sea, temporarily interrupted by the difficulties during the war, is now perfectly free and that there is nothing more for His Majesty's Government to decide.

(3) and (4) Jabaland and Egyptian frontier.

We have offered a rearrangement of the Egyptian frontier giving Italy Jarabub, which they are most anxious to get, and a good deal more territory, which looks imposing on the map, but has little intrinsic value. In return we have asked the Italian Government to give Egypt a strip of territory about ten miles wide on the Mediterranean and round Soilum. This territory is of no value to Italy except as a means of embarrassing the possible defence of Soilum. The net result is that so far as the Egyptian frontier is concerned, the concessions on either side about balance one another.

In Jabaland we have offered Italy nearly, but not quite all, the province of that name. This concession carries with it two useful ports, Kisimayu and Port Durnford, and a good deal of valuable territory. This is an increase on the offer made by Lord Milner to Signor Tittoni last year, but the Colonial Office consider that the boundary now proposed would be the best both for His Majesty's Government and Italy.

In the spring news, Signor Baccari was informed that there were strong objections to this scheme of giving the 10 mile strip of land without investing in the Egyptian government. He further observed that it could not be done.

acceptance of the two arrangements about Egypt and Jubaland respectively, if His Majesty's Government could see their way regarding the remainder of the province of Jubaland.

The Colonial Office do not consider that they can make any further concession in Jubaland, and that the line of demarcation, which they have already offered, is the best possible boundary both from the military and political point of view. Consequently there is some danger that the whole negotiation may break down over this one point.

The result would be that Italy would not have accepted the compensation offered by His Majesty's Government under the treaty of 1915, though our offer - at any rate as regards Jubaland - can only be regarded as generous seeing that the treaty only refers to a rectification of frontiers.

Secondly, Italy would not get Jarabub, nor any increase of territory in Cyrenaica; on the other hand the frontier of Cyrenaica on the Mediterranean would run quite close to Sollum, where the presence of the Italians is regarded as very embarrassing by the Egyptian authorities. Italy might also defer recognition of the British Protectorate in Egypt which was to be a condition precedent to the readjustment of the Egyptian frontier.

Such are the pros and cons of the situation which might arise if we were to present Italy with an ultimatum that our present offer must be accepted or will be withdrawn altogether.

(b) Matters of communication. This is so vague that I have asked Sir Alexander Balfour for a draft agreement, explaining what is meant. He has referred to Mysore but has not yet drawn up anything like a draft. It is not an important article.

13

important point.

(6) ~~Angela~~ Signer Baccari seemed to
have agreed to the establishment
of a joint Consulate at Rome and Legas. I gather that
this is all that the Italian Government want.

(7) ~~Angela~~. It was ascertained that the Italian
Government desired the conclusion of an agreement similar
to the secret agreement formerly existing between His
Majesty's Government and Germany. In accordance with
instructions I told Signer Baccari and the Italian Ambas-
sador, who was present on that occasion, that any such
arrangement was quite out of the question and added that
they would be well advised to drop the proposal. I do
not think we shall hear of it again.

(Signed) R. SPEWING.

29th March, 1920.

APPENDIX.

1 November 1878

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SECTION I

31590870

No. 1

Colonies & Regions Affairs are to form the subject of an Agreement between the Government of His Britannic Majesty and the Government of the King—Communicated by the British Delegation in London.—(Received November 18, 1919.)

(Continued from p. 2)

1. ETHIOPIA (with one map*).
 2. Arabia.
 3. Libaland.
 4. Frontiers between Cyrenaica and Egypt (with one map).
 5. Joining up of ways of communication in Africa.
 6. Commerce—agriculture.
 7. Economic activities of Italy in Abyssinia.

In regard of some of these questions a official memorandum is annexed.

Sent on November 17, 1913.

MARCH 1964

No. 1.—Franno-Ang. 10 p.m. 2nd Aug. 1901.

Italy invites Great Britain to send a delegation to Ethiopia in what country there exist centres of civilisation, and which is very far from attaining the same, and extent, its wealth, and its fertility.

However, the Estimated Budget, although in condition of interdictory by the means it is disposal is all of these of help on the part of the three branches of industrial and financial activity would be the following:

... dominated by this fear Ethiopia has little time to consider her own interests before demands for concessions which have been made separately, and in private, while the conflicting views of the three Powers have been ignored.

There are 3 reasons why the U.S. would object. 1. The item is on the agenda of every country. Why should we miss it? 2. The U.S. and Great Britain, France and Italy should without delay add a resolution to the deliberation for

in a future just it passes upon every one of them. They are that Great to whom I speak and I am glad that his integrity and independence may be respected and guaranteed. You must see it is taken a pleasure to approve the proposed amendment notwithstanding giving them such a wide and positive assurance that it is the intention of the three Powers to guarantee the integrity and independence of Ethiopia each of the three Powers guaranteeing the fulfillment of the intention of

The form of this assurance, which is in agreement with our loyal intentions, must be explicit and simple as to frustrate the most minute conjecture of the Ethiopians.

In view of a pronounced increase in the number of slaves in the Sudan, and the fact that the slave trade is still in full swing, it is considered that the best way to meet the situation is to have a commission of inquiry in the Sudan, with a view to finding out what is being done to combat the slave trade, and to ascertain whether or not the present system of control is sufficient to meet the emergency.

Italy regards the right of a great railway in order that she may obtain from the Ethiopian Emperor the concession to construct and to run the railway from the frontier of Erythrea to the frontier of Italian Somaliland; which railway, according to the Tripartite Agreement must pass to the west of Adis Ababa. It is understood that this railway, together with all the necessary works for its construction and for its future uses will have an entirely free passage through the above-mentioned corridor.

Italy demands from Great Britain—as she also reserves to herself the right to demand from France—exclusive economic influence in the west of Ethiopia, in the whole of the territory to be crossed by the above-mentioned railway, and the promise to support with the Ethiopian Government all the demands for economic concessions concerning the last-named.

The three (3) (contracting) parties will have to agree to present collectively and by common (cooperative) immediately after having given collective and by common account the above mentioned loyal assurance, either these requests, or all the demands of concession of an economic character already known to us. Otherwise this arrangement, since it is only thus possible, by eliminating suspicion, to remove all risks as future possibilities.

The Italian Government hopes that this statement based on a very considerable of their common interests and of those of Abyssinia herself may satisfactorily serve by the other two Governments.

In this trust the Italian Government after having examined separately for greater facility the predominant Anglo-Italian and Franco-Ethiopian interests reserves to itself the right of demanding a common agreement and to proceed at giving in detail by an interchange of notes, the arrangement of the negotiations which will have to contain in Anglo-Franco-Italian understanding, in respect of (the) the absence of economic order in Ethiopia.

Such a maintenance of the same order will be able to be realised by the assumption on the part of an Anglo-Franco-Italian company of monopolies of public works and services in respect of the whole of the territories of the *Imperial Empire*.

In the zones of respective influence the said monasteries, works, and public services will have to be sub-conceded to subjects or corporations belonging to the State to which the zone of influence devolves.

The capital of the Ethiopian Empire will remain outside of all (spiritual or) influences and the respective monopolies, works, and public services will interest on an equal footing the three high contracting parties.

No. 2 - 1931

Kelvingrove Art Galleries, Glasgow, 1910, regarding the Aegean Peninsula and
Islands of the Greek Archipelago, and the Islands of the Ionian Sea.
They exhibited by the author.

THE BOSTONIAN is published weekly by the Boston Publishing Company, 10 Cornhill, Boston, Mass., U.S.A.

No. 4.—Frontier between Cyrenaica and Egypt.

The Italian Government proposes to the British Government that the frontier between Cireneia and Egypt be redefined, in conformity with the following lines:

Djebel Sollia (Jebel Polis) and turns to the west, and then turns in a direct line as far as the Greenwich parallel 30° north, passing between Am Ar R' Sollia, and west to C. Africa. The line then follows longitude 26° eastwards, leaving the coast of the Gambia of Marabout, turning to the south upon its meeting the 30° parallel. It follows this parallel towards the west until it meets the line of demarcation between the French and English spheres of influence as defined in the additional proviso of the Treaty of 1893.

No. 5.—Joining of Waves of Conviviality in Africa

Great Britain and Italy recognise the reciprocal right of joining up railway communications between routes, and all other means of communication which may be established in future in the Colonies.

Great Britain and Italy likewise agree that on the said ways of communication cumulative services of transit may be established, and that equality of treatment may be applied both to non-members.

No. 6 - Commercial Advances.

They demands from Great Britain that the concession may be granted to her to establish commercial agencies in Nigeria, in Togo, in Darfur, in Congo, and in the Sudan with a view to facilitating commerce between these regions and the Italian possessions.

No. 7.—Economic Services of Banks in Australia.

Italy has turned her attention to the Portuguese possessions in West Africa with a view to placing there a portion of some of her manufactures, and to procure for his own industries the raw materials which he needs. Preliminary understandings of a friendly nature have been arranged with the Portuguese Government, and Italian companies have already started their work in view.

His Excellency has directed that the Government of Portugal may be informed of the views of the Government of His Britannic Majesty in regard to the claims of the Chinese companies and the representations made by the Chinese Government.

PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE

END

TOTAL EXPOSURES →