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This thesis entitled "An Examination of Islamic Influences on Certain Aspects of The Decorative Arts in Selected Areas of The Offshore Islands and Coasts of East Africa" aims at displaying the external influences which came about during the twelfth and subsequent centuries, the time of the arrival of Islamic settlers on the East African Coast. Much of it is concerned with the history of Islamic Architecture based on the observation made from ancient monuments.

This "History of the Coastal Islamic Architecture on the Comparative Analysis" elicits the characteristic features of mosques of each City-State, by comparing one style with another, and by giving distinction to the influences — religious and historical, which have contributed to the formation of each style. This is made possible by distinguishing the products of separate cultures, discerning stages of historical devel-
ments, and detecting the interaction of different traditions.

The thesis is divided into two chapters arranged into a chronological order. The first chapter is on the historical background of Zanzibar and Pemba, with reference to the East African Coast as a whole; starting as early as the tenth century, when the Islamic settlers were already established, to the early nineteenth century rule of the Ḥumāni Arabs. In this study, a number of documents of the principal writings on the East African Coast have been discussed so as to provide sufficient historical background to indicate the influence of Islam in forming the culture, as an introduction to the study of religious buildings. The documents are those left to us by Arab geographers and historians, and they are as various as those of al-Ḥusayn b. al-Ḥusayn (10th. century), Ibn-Battūta (14th. century), and Ibn-Battūta (14th. century). As an introductory chapter, other important sou-
rces of local histories and chronicles, as well as information recorded by the Portuguese who visited East African Coast, add to give a connected account of the medieval periods. For the much earlier date, reference is given to the first century Periplus of the Erythrean Sea — now maintained by some authorities to date from the third century A.D. — and the fourth century Geography of Ptolemy to show that trading voyages were taking place at that time to the Zanj Coast. In this chapter, a short paper on "Features and Organization of Islam -- Beliefs and Sectarianism" is included in an attempt to show as to what 'madhhab' (sect) of Islam the early settlers belonged prior to Ibn-Battutah's account of Kilwa of 1331.

The second chapter is on the Islamic architecture of Zanzibar and Pemba, and it is divided into three sub-sections. These are:

A. The Early Period.  B. The Middle Period.  C. The Final Phase.
On each period a brief outline of the historical background to the architectural developments is given. In this chapter each group of buildings falling approximately on the same period is compared with the other group to find out the main reasons of changes in style, and that every architectural detail is discussed and traced back to the origin as far as possible. An analysis of the typology of the architecture is therefore as essential as a study of the decorative and ornamental elements, as well as the composition of mihrabs.

A. The Early Period.

The early period characterised by a delightful style evident in the rather sophisticated mihrāb of the Kizimkazi-Dimbani Mosque — referred to by Sir John Gray as "a little architectural gem", and by Professor Flury as to "exhibit a degree of technical skill and feeling for style", reveals great artistry. This little
gem is doubtless unique, being the only one of its kind in Zanzibar and Pemba, and indeed on the entire coast, and would provide a contrasting feeling in style to the middle period.

Similarly, the Kizimkazi-Dimbani coral bosses are unique, both in type and purpose, because they were specifically designed to take a Kufic inscription; thus it is the only one of its kind on the whole of the East African Coast. The fact that the bosses at Kizimkazi mihrāb hold Kufic inscription appears for the first time in this thesis: no author has yet, until the present work, identified the inscription and therefore the information is not contained in any previous publication. This led to further research and it has been established for the first time that the kufic inscription dated A.H.500/A.D.1107 on the mihrab of Kizimkazi is contemporary to the actual building of the mosque.
B. The Middle Period.

The second period covers a span of approximately three hundred and fifty years, starting from the fifteenth century to probably mid eighteenth century, which could be classified as one of the three styles, with few fundamental changes. This is evident in some parts of Pemba, along the West Coast from the North to half way down the coast, namely from Verani down to Ras-Mkumbuu, where the mosques have features in common. The difference appears in the mihrab's decoration — when concentrating upon refining its details. These are probably due to either lack of informed and tasteful patronage or to the individual feeling of their founders, rather than to any difference due to date. Though not unproductive as far as the number of buildings is concerned, this middle period shows some variations, primarily in the use and type of decoration. In the main, all seem to follow the same lines, for each of them was an off-shoot of the style developed by the earlier builders.
C. The Final Phase.

The final phase is a period of revival, often imitating the past — the early and the middle periods. Although this period started soon after the establishment of the Arab rule from Oman, it was not until the middle of the nineteenth century that an active period of building began. The delay was perhaps due to some political events on the coast, as the main preoccupations were directed to empire development. However, there existed some fundamental differences between builders and patrons, and on both sides their aesthetic taste was comparatively poor. The artistic sophistication and creative design of the past is always absent, and this could be realised if the recent work was compared with an older neighbour, perhaps one produced a hundred years ago, leave aside those of much earlier date.
CHAPTER I

THE HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF ZANZIBAR & PEMBA

A. Early History And External Influences

1. Islamic Traders And Settlers

From an early date the East African Coast was brought into contact with the people of the Persian Gulf, Southern Arabia, India and even China — how far back it is not easy to state with any degree of certainty. But what is gathered from the "Periplus of the Erythrean Sea" written by an unknown Greek in about A.D. 110, and from many other documents, is that trade relations between Asia and East Africa were already in existence in the first century of the Christian era. Admittedly many of the early writers seem to have a second-hand knowledge of the coas-
1. The *Periplus of the Erythrean Sea* is now maintained by some authorities to date from the third century A.D.
tal area, as no indication of their visits to the East African Coast is shown in their documents, apart from Ibn-Battūţah's account of Kilwa. It is worthy of note that in some parts of these documents, descriptions of the area names, and accounts of the people and their traditional life, have been found to have connection with the main course of history.

It is said that Egypt established commercial relationships with Punt — modern Somalia — at a very early date. In Somalia the main attraction for the Egyptians was frankincense, a product in which this country was particularly rich. Frankincense was a most valuable commodity, for it was indispensable in religious ceremonies, apart from other secular uses such as medicines and the making of perfumes. Al-Yaman, in South-Western Arabia also produced frankincense, and from this the inferences to be made would perhaps be that since Al-Yaman was nearer to Egypt, the latter would have preference in obtaining the pr-
oduct from the neighbouring port rather than from Somalia.

From an early date, the Egyptians had commercial interests in South Arabia. They had long before turned to the sea, and searching for more sources would be a normal practice in the quest for trade expansion even taking them as far as East Africa. Yet it would not be proper to draw the conclusion that they had at that time contacts with regions farther south of Somalia. No archaeological evidence has been advanced to show their trade relations with East Africa. Granting that Egypt had such relationships with Somalia and East Africa about 2500 years ago, ivory would probably be one of the main commodities for trade. "Much ivory is taken away from these places, but it is inferior in quality to that of Adulis ". Here it may be that the author of the Periplus is comparing the quality of the ivory obtained from Somalia with that of East Africa. Some Egyptian coins have however been found in
East African soils, but six of these are Mameluk pieces and seven of the Ottoman period of many centuries later¹.

"The Mapharitic chief governs it under some ancient right, that subjects it to the sovereignty of the state that has become the first in Arabia. The people of Musa now hold it under his authority, and send thither many large ships, using Arab captains and agents, who are familiar with the natives and intermarry with them, and who know the whole coast and understand the language². The author of the Periplus further gives descriptions in his guide on the voyage down the Red Sea and the African Coast and mentions an island called Menouthias. Much controversy has been raised in regard to the identification of Menouthias, but whether the writer was actually speaking of Zanzibar, Pemba or Mafia nobody seems to know for certain. To identify Menouthias is still a game of guess-work. The possibility of second-hand information cannot be ruled

2. Sir John Gray, History of Zanzibar From The Middle Ages to 1856, pp. 11.
out as he might have relied on the statements of other merchants with whom he was in contact. But from the few useful descriptions given, it would be safe to say that Menouthias lies on East African water.

With regard to geographical location, south Arabia consists of Al-Yaman, Hadhramawt, and other countries along the neighbouring coast. Ma-Cafir is a tribe in Al-Yaman to which the author of the Periplus refers, — "Marharite Chief" — who can now be identified as one of the people of "Mouza" — present day Mukha/Mocha — who traded with the East African Coast. In earlier centuries the whole coastland — the Periplus seems to suggest — was in the possession of the Arab State of Ausan, hence the name "Ausanitic" for the coastal area.

If the author of the Periplus is correct, then the East African Coastal peoples were certainly known to the Sabaeans Arabs between 950 and
250 B.C. If they had married with the natives, then they would have had an infusion of Arabian blood of far earlier origin than the Muslim invasion.

Along the Arabian Coast, navigation was difficult in every respect. The Periplus describes this coastal area to be dangerous because of breakers and rocks, which caused the Sabaeans to take land routes between Al-Yaman and Syria, leading through Makkah and farther up to Petra and then to diverse at the northern point into Egypt. To sail from Al-Yaman down through Bab al-Mandab and the Gulf of Aden, and then across the Indian Ocean to the East African Coast is undoubtedly a long voyage. If the Sabaeans were actually sailing to East Africa in the eighth century before our era, it would appear that they had learned the art of navigation and had good knowledge of the existence of and the seasons of the monsoons at a fairly early date. If this statement is granted, the possible explanation would be that the
1. Cf., Hitti, pp. 50.

2. Sir John Gray, History Of Zanzibar From The Middle Ages To 1856, pp. 11.
discovery of the monsoons and the use of the variable winds was an art familiar to them from times before Hippalus, about 90 B.C., or even the earlier Greeks since Nearchus's return from the Indus (326-325). However, there is no substantial evidence to show that South Arabians were engaged in sailing activities as early as the eighth century before Christ, — not to mention the possibility of their owning a portion of the East African Coast at that early period.

From information given by early historians and geographers about Africa, and from reports obtained from local chronicles, supplemented by archaeological finds of coins and pottery in the soil, it is reasonable to assume that there was a steady intercourse between East Africa and Persia. The early merchants were Christians, Jews and Zoroastrians, before they were superseded by Muslim Arabs. During the older Arabian period — that is before the establishment of Muslim — Persia was already the most powerful empire in the
1. Hourani, Arab Seafaring, pp. 25.

2. Sir John Gray writes that the Arab State of Ausan, which came to an end about 700 B.C., traded with, and possibly held a portion of the coast.
East, enduring for almost twelve centuries, from 600 B.C. until A.D. 600. Long before this Zoroastrian Persia held supremacy over Syria, Egypt and North India, and was transmitting her cultural ideas into Arabia. Bernhard Krumm, quoting the Bible (in the book of Esther, chapter 1), in his book, "Words of Oriental Origin in Swahili", tells us of the Persian King Ahasverus, who reigns over one hundred and twenty seven provinces.

Long before the Arabs, the Persians constituted a world power. They held the supremacy of the sea and became the masters of the whole trade in the orient. They were vigorous, self-assertive and wealthy, and were to be found everywhere in the East. 'Uman, Bahrain and Hadhramawt were under the direct Imperial rule of Persia, being earlier in a state of general disorganization and disorder due to constant internal conflict. This was changed under Persian domination. The Persian bourgeoisie gave them powers of organisation,
administration and co-operation, faculties which were rare among the Arabs. The emigration of the experienced Persian merchants made these places flourish. Through these channels some knowledge of the more advanced techniques of sailing and navigation became known to the Arabs. It is an accepted fact that Persians were skilled shipbuilders and fearless navigators. If we assume that Arabs sailed regularly to East Africa in the old days it would even be safer to assume that Persians had acquired a considerable hold in East Africa before them. It is more than likely that the Persians made East Africa one of their many provinces. If Egypt was under her domination, the next probable step would be East Africa. Here rare products such as ivory, spices, leopard skins, timber, tortoise-shell, gold and slaves were obtained, some of which found their way to Western markets. These products together with those obtained from the Persian Gulf, India and China were highly prized.
With the Sassanian period, a much stronger and more centralized government was established. The Iranian national spirit became even firmer. This was the time of the first emperor Ardashir I (A.D. 225-241), whose hands grasped the reigns in the drive to glorify the Empire. He founded many sea ports and encouraged the native Persians to turn to the sea for their livelihood and to exploit and develop more trade routes. This seems to be a period of much maritime activity. Ammianus Marcellinus reports that there is much navigation on the Persian Gulf. Trade relations between the western boundary of Parthia (now Persia) and other countries such as China, India, Syria, and probably East Africa were established. They also had relationships with Eastern Somalia. A few coins of this period have been found in Zanzibar or Pemba, which are now in the Beit al Amani Museum in Zanzibar. Freeman Grenville as an eye witness reports that these coins have sandy soil on them typical of Zanzibar,
1. Hourani, Arab Seafering, pp. 38.

Hourani states that later in the century the Latin historian Ammianus Mercellinus, in describing the Sassanid realm, reports that there is much navigation on the Persian Gulf and that the terminus for seagoing ships is "Teredon" at the Euphrates mouth — the recurrence of this ancient name is surprising.

2. Hourani, pp. 38. Narseh (293-302) had relations with the Zand Afrik Shah, i.e. the King of the Zang nation of Eastern Somaliland.
There are five pieces in all, and among these, three are Parthian issues. Of the first three, one is of the first century, one of the second and one uncertain. The remaining two are Sasanian, one of Ardashir I and one uncertain of the third century.

The importance of the monsoon seasons for navigation as exploited by the Persian, Indian, and Arab traders was mentioned before the first century of our Christian era by the Greek sailor Hippalus. For these traders to go to East Africa where gold, ivory and slaves could be obtained, oral understanding between them and the local inhabitants was a necessity. The Periplus tells us of the captains and crews who knew the language of the indigenous East Coast Africans. The language spoken by the people on the coast, presumably the Bantus was Swahili. This language is spoken very widely and includes many words of oriental origin, amongst many of them Persian. Claudius Ptolemy in his Geographia, A.D. 150 spe-
aks of Zingis\(^1\), and Cosmas Indicopleustes (A.D. 525) mentions the "Ocean of Zingion"\(^2\). Later the word Zanj appears to be used from time to time by Arab geographers, probably to mean black or savage. The frequent application of this word was because the wider meaning was gradually lost and finally was applicable only to the Island which is now named Zanzibar. Hitti speaks of the rebellion (about A.D. 870) of the Zanj slaves, who were employed in the Saltpetre mines on the lower Euphrates led by 'Ali ibn-Muhammad (Sahib al-Zanj). They were negroes imported from East Africa. Other Arabian writers gave no explanation as to its uses, and no one seems to know for certain the origin of this word. Scholars hold different views on the meaning and origin of the term. It appears more likely that Zang\(^\text{1}\) was used first and later changed to Zanj. Ferrand is of this opinion and believes that the word Zang\(^\text{1}\) is more correct. He argues that Zang\(^\text{1}\) changed to Zanj in a time, when Arabic no longer knew sonore
1. Claudius Ptolemy, in describing Zingis reports that after the market town of Opone is another bay, the first of Azania, at whose entrance is the promontory of Zingis and the mountain of Phalangis, which has three peaks.

2. Cosmas Indicopleustes. The Homerites are not far distant from Barbaria, as the sea which lies between them can be crossed in a couple of days, and then beyond Barbaria is the ocean, which is there called Zingion.
gutturales, and that Zanj is the Arabianized form of the Persian Zang which is the name for the negroes living on the East Coast of Africa. Certainly Ptolomaicos and Cosmas both speak of Cape Zingia, long before the Arabian authors. Professor A. Werne’s opinion is that Zangiel is difficult to identify as an Arabic word. He further explains that the more usual derivation is from Zang, the Persian name for Ethiopia, which became in Arabic Zeng, Zing or Zinj. However, Krumm is not competent to decide. Though he does not form a definite opinion on this question, he appears to have a sound argument on discussing the origin of the word. He says "the word Zang (Zeng) penetrated into Arabic, but as Arabic has no g, the g was replaced by v. This Arabian form Zeng was in return adapted by the Iranians, and we have now in Persian the co-existence of Zeng, and Zeng. Had there been first the Arabian Zeng, the Iranians would not have needed to change the word, because 'g' is a frequent sound in Persian."

Apart from Arabic and Persian, Swahili borrowed words from other languages such as Hindustani, Gujarati, Portuguese, Turkish, Malay, German and English, but thirty per cent of the vocabulary is Arabic. Glaser was of the opinion that the language spoken in South Arabia was a form of Arabic probably made rich by Iranian and Indian words, as Iranian is an offspring of Sanskrit. Krumm points out that the Arab traders who came to East Africa had a large number of Iranian words, which might have enriched the Swahili language. His argument is that in the Pre-Islamic Persian scarcely any Arabic word can be found whereas in the Arabic language of the same period there are a considerable number of Persian words. Although he did not wish to rule out the possibility of Iranian immigrants in East Africa, Krumm attempted to show that if the language of the Iranians had been purely Persian, then that language would have left deeper traces than it has done. Freeman Grenville holds a view that if
these settlements had been purely Persian speaking, it would rather be expected that the number of Iranian words would be greater than those of Arabian origin.

Both Krumm's and Grenville's arguments could be used to prove the contrary. It could as well be argued that the Iranian trading settlements in East Africa were of earlier date than that of the Arabs. The Iranian language, has, undoubtedly, left deep traces in Swahili, with a considerable number of Persian words, which have helped to develop a coastal language. The number of Iranian words might have comparatively been greater than those of Arabic origin at an early date. In the later periods the Arabs had a firm grip over the whole coastal region, and their relationship with the local inhabitants was a permanent one. From the beginning of the seventeenth century — that is after the overthrow of the Portuguese to the present day — the Arab influence was great in many respects. As a conse-
quence of this intercourse, not mentioning their contact, along that of the Persians, from the early times, the Swahili language has evolved a rich vocabulary which includes a great number (about thirty per cent) of words of Arabic origin. A number of Persian words might have been forgotten and gradually superseded by Arabic, which for the last two or more centuries has extended its influence into Swahili. As might also be expected, the introduction into the Swahili language of some English words is noticeable, but only for the last seventy years, of British rule, in Zanzibar. Some of the words of Arabic origin have, in this short spell of time, been replaced by English words. A number of example to this effect can be given.

Early traders and sailors from the Persian Gulf had common communication, and the language they spoke was, to some extent mixed. It is obviously an exaggeration to say that this language is to this day extremely mixed\(^1\). In support of
this statement it is not valid to assume that the original settlements in East Africa were made by persons of mixed Persian and Arab stock from the Gulf region. The argument does not follow, nor does it show who came first. Persian and Arab settlers in East Africa certainly had their own national identity.

The influence of the Persian language in Swahili is not the only Iranian survival. The close association with the East Africans brought certain cultural influences. Nau-ruž, 'New Day' of the Iranian solar year of 365 days is to this day kept in Zanzibar and Pemba. Every year Nau-ruž is celebrated on the same day as in Persia. Though it is celebrated throughout the islands of Zanzibar and Pemba, this day has become so rooted in tradition in those areas where the people claim to be decendants of Shīrāz in Persia. The ceremony begins with bathing in the sea before daybreak. The practice of rough horse-play which actually took place in the main streets of Iran and in various other places where the infl-
1. The author refers to localities such as Makunduchi, Mtende, Jambiani, Paje, Bwejuu, Michamvi, Kizimkazi, Uroa, Nungwi, Kiwengwa, Pongwe, and Tumbatu, where the inhabitants claim to be descendants of Shiraz in Persia.
fluence was deep is also common in Zanzibar. Professor R. Levy reports that no respectably dressed person could venture into the streets without risking his dignity. So is the case in Zanzibar when fighting on that day breaks out among the participants, which normally results in police intervention. Naturally enough in view of this surviving inheritance the Washirazi regard Nau-rūz with greater respect than ʿĪd al-ʿAḍha (the festival of sacrifice). Most interesting is the fact that these people who observe the day embrace Islam, but regard Nau-rūz as their cultural heritage.

The lack of a continuous chain of evidence left the history of the East African Coast without a definite and clear picture. Sometimes the connection between Persia and East Africa comes into the open, while in the course of years it fades considerably. But from some useful information recorded by Arab authors it is possible to trace the path.
According to one version of the Pate chronicle the earliest recorded attempt at organised colonization originated from Persia, and not Arabia. This is related to the story told by the chronicler about the fifth Umayyad Caliph 6Abdal-Malik ibn Marwan (A.D. 695-705) who heared of East Africa and longed in his soul to found a new kingdom. The story goes on to say that 6Abdal-Malik sent Syrians to a number of places along the coast to build cities, of which Zanzibar was one. Then the chronicler reports that because of lack of interest in founding towns 6Abdal-Malik's sons, after their father's death dropped the project completely until the time of Harun al-Rashid (A.D. 786-809). This renowned Caliph is said by the chronicler to have tried to bring to life his predecessor's project and to have sent Persians to East Africa instead of Syrians. It is true that the first impression a reader gets from these stories is that the 6Abbasid caliphs owned portions of land on the East
African Coast where cities had been built for them, in which case one would be tempted to question their validity.

Examination of these stories may reveal some truth however. A number of Ummayad caliphs, with the exception of Mu'awiya (A.D. 661–680), 'Abd-al-Malik and Hishām (A.D. 724–743), who were highly esteemed by the Arab authorities for their brilliant statemanships, proved to be incapable in state affairs. Their overindulgence in wine, music, and poetry, of whom Yazid II (A.D. 720–724) was the best example, caused the government to degenerate. As a result some of the Ummayad period appeared to be dominated by party matters rather than the sovereignty of a united empire. 'Abd-al-Malik as a statesman was anxious to follow the steps of his predecessors, and returning to the story however, the great 'Abd-al-Malik's interest was in founding cities on the coast of Africa. This desire could not materialize because of the shortage of time. The chron-
clearly stated that it was on the seventy-seventh year of the Hijra (A.D. 696) when 'Abd-al-Malik heared of Africa. So, the caliph had exactly nine years to build cities before he was succeeded by Al-Walīd (A.D. 705-715). The shortage of time would certainly not allow such extension of the Caliph's dominations in Africa. In all probabilities, it is, of course, possible that merchants from Damascus might have been sent over for the purpose of trade.

Continuing with the story, the chronicler further tells us that after 'Abd-al-Malik death "his sons did not care for the work of founding towns, and so they left them". And, with this, the same chronicler passes to the Abbasid dynasty and mentions Harūn-al-Rashīd (A.D. 786-899) as having attempted to revive 'Abd-al-Malik's projects. This would mean that the work of building cities on the Coast of Africa had stopped for eighty one years. But it does not mean that trade relations had stopped. Such ports as Baghdad,

2. Ibid., pp. 12.
al-Basrah, Siraf, Cairo and Alexandria developed into centres of active maritime commerce. Beside the wide extent of the empire, the civilisation having now attained a high level, involved extensive international trade. It is extremely important to remember that the Ummayad empire was Arab, while the Abbāsid was more international. The Abbāsid Government called itself dawlah, that is new era, and so indeed it was. It is very likely that the chronicler of Pate was right in suggesting that Harūn-al-Rashīd had sent Persians to the East Coast of Africa. Not in the sense of building cities but of extending trade. It is worthy of note that this was the time when Persians occupied important or rather chief posts in Al-Rashīd's Government.

Most likely trading settlements along the East African Coast were already in existence by the early ninth century, which presumably, in the course of years grew up in size and prosperity. At the end of the ninth century we find that the

Arab writers have much to say of the activities of trade. Ibn-Hawqal (A.D. 978/ A.H. 367), an Arab traveller, who is believed to have either actually visited East Africa or to have had second-hand information reports that the inhabitants of this country are not much inclined to the cultivation of arts and sciences. He speaks about a race of white people in Zanzibar who bring from other places articles of food and clothing for trade. He does not mention who these whites were, but one might guess that they were settlers from Persia. In exchange the people from the Gulf of Persia took back with them products from the Zanj country. Arthur Upham Pope quotes Ibn-Hawqal as having said that the houses of Siraf, were built of teak wood brought from the Zanj country, which were several stories high, built to overlook the sea, and that one merchant might even spend thirty thousand dinars on his residence.

Al-Mas'udi, a native of Baghdad of the late ninth century, travelled like many other scholars


Although the author admits the errors of the traditions he says that before 622 we should not assert that Ali's father was anything more than 'the son of the house of Banu Hashim,' the accounts of the family of Abu Talib tell us a different story. We find that the very name of Ali son of Abu Talib was changed to Ali son of Abu Talib, the son of the Prophet, and that the name of his father was changed to Abu Talib, the son of the Prophet. The change of Ali's name is said to have been made by the Prophet himself, who gave him the name of Ali in honor of the fact that he was the son of the Prophet.
into Persia, India, and China. In quest of learning he ventured as far as the land of Zanj. Professor Hitti described him as having visited Zanzibar. Freeman Grenville seems to have formed an opinion that Al-Mas'udi had obtained most of his knowledge on East Africa from sailors, on the assumption that unlike Ibn-Battutah, he never speaks of direct contact between himself and the inhabitants. Grenville further adds that while one cannot assert that Al-Mas'udi did not visit Zanj, he could probably have told us as much if he had not made the voyage.

Although one cannot refute the truth of his extensive travels into other countries of Asia, it would be equally wrong to doubt his visit to the country of Zanj. This is made quite clear by Al-Mas'udi himself in his thirty-volume work, surviving in an epitome, Muruj al-Dhahab wa Ma'adin al-Jawhar (meadows of gold and mines of gems). He gives a clear description of his voyage on the various seas, -- those of China, Rum, the Khasan,


3. Ibid., pp. 40.

To one of the seamen, he said to have been on the voyage of Tunis, the ship had rounded the Cape, and other seamen named from parrots, the sailors of Cark to fort Aframox.

In one of the seamen, he said to have been on the voyage of Tunis, the ship had rounded the Cape, and other seamen named from parrots, the sailors of Cark to fort Aframox.
Qulsum, and Yemen. In comparison he does not know of one more dangerous than that of the Zanj which seems to indicate that he knew East Africa. In the ports of East Africa that he saw, Al-Masōūdi mentions the Island of Kanbalū, which is at the furthest point of their voyage on the Zanj sea. Kanbalū is thought to be Ras-Mkumbuu in Pemba. However, Al-Masūdi and other travellers sailed from Ṣanjar, the capital of ʿUman to East Africa.

In one of his voyages, he said to have been in the company of Muhammad ibn-al-Zaidbud and Jawhar ibn Āḥmad, surnamed ibn Sirāh. Al-Masūdi pointed out that his companions were from ʿUman, and this is fully supported by virtue of their names, being typical of the ʿUmāni Arabs. According to the further information he gives us, it is clear that apart from the ʿUmānis, Persians had been travelling to Kanbalū at his time. He tells us of the people of Ṣīrāf who also made voyages to the East African Coast. In one occasion
— this being his last journey from Kanbalū to ʿUman in A.H. 304 (A.D. 916), he travelled in the ship belonging to ʿAbd- al-Ṣamād, who were the brothers of ʿAbd- al-Rahīm ibn Jaʿfar al-Sīrāfī, a native of Mīkan, which is a quarter of Sīrāf. Again their names show significance of Iranian origin, apart from the fact that they are from Sīrāf.

Unlike Ibn-Baṭṭūṭah, Al-Masʿūdī writes in a completely different style. His account of the physical environment of East Africa, and the lives of the people is based entirely upon his personal impressions, while Ibn-Baṭṭūṭah speaks of his experiences and actual contacts with the inhabitants; this being related to us in an absolutely descriptive manner. Al-Masʿūdī spent the last few years of his life in Syria and Egypt, compiling what is now left to us as a summary of his philosophy of history. Both Al-Masʿūdī and Ibn-Baṭṭūṭah could be said to have visited the Coast of Africa and to have left behind useful information.
1. Al-Mas'udi's account of East Africa is spread throughout parts of Muruj al-Dhahab wa Ma'adin al-Jawhar. This account appears in the select documents — the East African Coast — edited by Grenville. The translation has been made by the editor from the text in Les Prairies d'Or, C.B. de Meynard and P. de Courteille, Paris.

According to Al-Mas'udi, the land of land was covered from Malindi to probably Mombasa. This large area had a chief settlement at Malindi and small settlements among the Xilim. Many are considered want to please, as in a plant, as ens-
Al-Mas'ūdi explains the importance of ivory from Zanj and its chief trade route from there to China and India through ʿUman. According to his account on this product, it seems that ivory was an indispensable commodity in China as no officer or notable dared to come into the royal presence without an ivory palanquin. In India ivory was also used for the making of dagger hadles called "harari" and for the curved sword-scabbards called "kartal". He describes at length the method of hunting elephants and that one tusk could weigh up to fifty pounds or more. The Zanj people make no use of elephants for domestic purposes as Indians do. The land of Zanj produced wild leopard skins which they exported to Muslim countries for making saddles.

According to Al-Mas'ūdi the land of Zanj stretched from Ethiopia to probably Mafia. This large area had a mixed population of Muslims and Zanj idolaters. Among the idolaters every one worshipped what he pleased, be it a plant, an ani-
mal or a mineral. They are described to have had no religious law. Their God was called ' m a l i k n a j l u ' — meaning Great Lord, and their King as ' F l i m i ', son of the Great Lord. It could be presumed that there was relationship between the ' F l i m i ' and the God ' Maliknajlu '. Flimi appears to be a corruption of the Swahili word ' M f a l m e ' a King, but Maliknajlu cannot be yet identified.

To the primitive mind and tradition Flimi was an Earthly and Heavenly representative of Maliknajlu. If the Flimi, the Great Lord becomes tyrannical or strays from the truth, he is killed and his seed is excluded from the throne; for they consider that in acting wrongfully he forfeits his position as the son of the Lord, the King of Heaven and Earth. Al-Mas'udi adds that the Kings of Zanj rule by custom and by political expediency. This is true and what is more is that their custom is still indicative of the present day system of elective chieftainship which was,

2. Ibid., Grenville, The East African Coast, pp. 15.
at that time reinforced by religious concepts.

It is not explained by Al-Masʿūdī what proportion of the inhabitants are idolaters. What he tells us is that Zanj had a mixed population of Muslims and idolaters, of which the latter were thought to form the great majority. But he clearly mentions the Island of Kanbalū as having a Muslim population and a royal family. If he is correct — and we have no reason to disbelieve his statement — the Muslim settlements existed in Kanbalū Island before A.D. 926. Ibn-Ḥawqal tells us of the inhabitants of Zanj being at war with Mussalmans.

A few years later, Al-Idrīsī (A.D. 1100-66) who compiled his work of geography, the Kitāb Rujar, tells us about the Zanj of the East African Coast. He spent most of his life at the Court of Count Robert of Sicily, where he did his writing. The other title of his work, Nushat al-Mushtaq fī Ikhtirāq al-ʿArafq — meaning the book of the travels of one who cannot travel himself is indicat-
ive of the fact that he obtained his information from other writers and travellers. He himself had never been to the country of Zanj. From this second-hand source of information one learns of the trade activities between the Zanj coast and other countries. Pearl fisheries and various types of aromatic plants and perfumes attracted merchants from outside, who brought goods with them for exchange. In corroboration with Al-Mas'ūdi and Ibn-Ḥawqal, Al-Idrīsī confirms the presence of Muslims at the coast.

Al-Idrīsī includes Malindi to be a town of the Zanj, which takes three days and three nights to reach from Medouna (on the Somali Coast). According to him, Malindi appeared to be a prosperous town because of the existence of an iron industry which was the main source of revenue to the country at that time. There is no trace of this at present. He also mentions Mombasa, a small town further down the coast, where iron was also produced. The King of Zanzibar was said to
have lived there. If Al-Idrisi is correct, then Zanzibar was prosperous and Mombasa was her colony.

Ibn-Battutah’s visit to the country of Zanj should also be mentioned. According to him, the land of Zanj does not include Mogadishu. He draws a clear line between present day Somalia and the Swahili Coast. The latter includes Mombasa and Kilwa. Regarding Mogadishu he discourses at length on the habits of the people and their monarchy.

His visits to these places, and Kilwa in particular, has now been established and widely accepted. He spent only a night at Mombasa, an Island which was separate from the mainland, and where bananas, lemons and oranges grew. It seems that Ibn-Battutah had no time to note that the island of Mombasa had the iron industry to which Al-Idrisi referred to before. The people of Mombasa followed Shafi'i rites. Their mosques were stron-
gly constructed of wood, and besides the door of each mosque there was a well, one or two cubits deep. To every Muslim, the performing of ritual ablution before entering a mosque is an imperative. Ibn-Battutah noticed this being done, using the well as a place of ablution. From it water was drawn with a wooden vessel which was fixed on to the end of a thin stick, a cubit long. The vessel was held between thighs, thus pouring water on hand to make the necessary ceremonial washing.

The wells Ibn-Battutah was describing to us were a sort of reservoir, three or four feet deep whose supply depends upon the wells nearby. This is still a common practice at the coast. Such reservoirs for water are found at almost all ancient mosques. In places like Pete, Muyuni and Kichakachoni in Zanzibar miniature wells to-day are used to serve the same purpose. The method of holding the wooden vessel, which was described by Ibn-Battutah known as 'kata' in Swahili
is also common to the coastal people.

Nothing more of great importance was said about Mombasa. The next day Ibn-Battutah set sail for Kilwa which, in his opinion, was one of the most beautiful and well constructed towns of the World. Yet it seems strange that he gave us no clear description of the construction of buildings, apart from the statement that the roofs were built from mangrove poles. From this original Arabic manuscript a little more can be gathered about the magnificent town of Kilwa, as having either built of wood, or elegantly built.

Freeman Grenville suggests that the correct reading of the passage is to substitute for — منالخش — min-al-khashab — of wood — the reading — منالحصب — min-al-hasb — with elegance; a reading in accordance with the sense and logic of Ibn-Battutah's description. H.N. Chittick is of the same opinion and prefers to amend — منالحصب — to 'with elegance' as seems logical in the context of the passage. The suggestions given by both
1. Freeman Grenville, Medieval History of the Coast of Tanganyika, pp. 107.

Grenville and Chittick are not acceptable to the writer. Their amendment is grammatically and idiomatically incorrect, and that their attempt to substitute the word which is unnecessary, is not in conformity with the regulation and sense of the Arabic language. Clearly there is nothing wrong with the original word, , recorded by Ibn-Battutah. The chart on the next page shows clearly that this is so, and is therefore, the correct reading. Other words in the chart -- formed by appropriately placing the dots in all their respective positions -- are either meaningless or do not relate, conform or read in accordance with the sense of Ibn-Battutah's description, in the context of the passage.

Undoubtedly Ibn-Battutah, who is referred by Hitti as the Muslim globe-trotter of the middle ages visited not only countries of the Middle East, but also Eastwards as far as Ceylon, Bengal, the Maldives, and China. In 1327 he visited
NOTE.

The word لجيش means the rinds of pomegranates. لجيش has not been found, though لجيش (verb) means 'to collect'. Consult Arabic-English Lexicon, Book I, Part II, by E. William Lane.
1. The description of buildings at Kilwa as being constructed entirely of wood, given by Ibn-Battutah, may be at complete variance with the archaeological record. However, an attempt to resolve the contradiction by both Grenville and Chittick and to reconcile the two views has not been acceptable to a number of scholars, especially on the Arabic language. Here I am grateful to Mr Hamdun, Lecturer in Islamics, Department of Religious Studies, Makerere University College, for his views on this. Also consult R. Mauny, Textes Et Documents, Relatifs à L'Histoire de L'Afrique, Extraits tirés des Voyages d'Ibn Battuta, pp. 29, f.n. 2.

Baghdād and gave us a detailed description of the buildings. He saw bath-houses in Baghdad which he described as elaborately built. In Bukhāra, Samarqand, Balkh, and other cities, he found ruins. This he also reports. On his arrival at Kilwa, he was immediately struck by the appearance of what he describes as one of the most beautiful towns in the world, and we would have expected to hear of the magnificent palace or palaces, and also of the elaborately constructed mosques. No such remarks are available from his manuscript — is this not strange? Certainly stone buildings were already there before he visited Kilwa, but it would be reasonable to suggest that Ibn-Baṭṭūṭah for unknown reasons, had produced an exaggerated statement concerning Kilwa.

Concentrating on the inhabitants of Kilwa, the great majority were of the Shāfiʿi rite, and were constantly engaged in a holy war as their country was near that of the pagan Zanj. In all probability, they were local Muslim converts.
Nothing has been said about the remaining portion, but here one would presume that they were either Arab or Persians, whose religious and political power was quite considerable at that time.

Their Sultan was Abu-al-Muzaffar Hassan surnamed Abu-al-Mawahib (The Father of Gifts). Ibn-Battuṭah described him as having been extremely generous to beggars and entirely devoted to the service of God. He frequently makes raids into the Zanj country, attacks them and carries off booty, of which he reserves a fifth, using it in the manner prescribed by the Koran. This portion of the money was reserved for Sharifs, the kinsfolk of the prophet, who came to visit him from Iraq, the Hijaz, and other countries.

The Arabic version of the Kilwa chronicle tells us that during the reign of Al-Hassan ibn Sulaiman, the Friday mosque collapsed. This Jam'i mosque in Kilwa remained in ruins, and the people prayed under shelters and tents until the
1. The name Abu-al-Mawahib — The Father of Gifts — is indicative of his generous nature. Ibn-Battuta said that a faqir from Yemen stopped the Sultan and said: O Abu-al-Mawahib. He replied: 'Here I am, O beggar! What do you want?' Give me the clothes you are wearing!' And he said: 'Certainly you can have them'. 'At once?' he asked. 'Yes, immediately!.

time of Sultān Sulaymān ibn al-Malik al-ʿAdil. Ibn-Baṭṭūtah said nothing about this mosque having collapsed during his visit there. However, if this were true, the mosque remained in ruins for nearly one hundred years as Kilwa had no masons to undertake the work of re-building. This gives an indication that the mosque and presumably other buildings were built by masons from other countries.

A few years before Ibn-Baṭṭūtah's visit to Kilwa Marco Polo, though he never visited East Africa himself, seems to show some knowledge of the coast. He derived his information from other sources. But what little he has to tell us, may be of some interest. He describes "Zanzibar as a large and splendid Island some 2,000 miles in circumference. The people are all idolaters. Certainly the information he obtained from wherever he was, was completely untrue because at that time the Muslims were already scattered all over the coast. What is true of his statement is
1. An Arabic History of Kilwa Kisiwani c. 1520
   — Anonymous. Also cited in the select
documents — ' East African Coast ', edited
by Grenville, pp. 39.

2. Marco Polo dictated his travels in Venice
   c.1295. His description of Zanzibar, by
which he means the whole of the East African
Coast, is taken from the translation of R.E.
Latham, the travels of Marco Polo, Penguin
that the East African Coast was thriving in the ivory and ambergris trade. A confirmation of this, is from his statement that "many merchant ships call at the island with a great variety of goods, all of which they dispose of before taking in a return cargo — chiefly of elephant tusks, which are very abundant there. There is also no lack of ambergris, since whales are caught in large numbers."

"Zanzibar has elephants in plenty, and also lions of a different sort from those found elsewhere, besides lynxes, leopards and giraffes." Probably Marco Polo was muddled. His description of Zanzibar does not seem to be convincing. The island has no such animals, apart from leopards. Others are found in plenty on the mainland. He was probably referring to East Africa as a whole.

Of great interest is the first available Chinese information on East Africa from Yu-Yang-tsa-tsu, written by Tuan Ch'eng-Shih, who died in

A.D. 363. In his record, Tuan Ch'ien-Siuh said that when Persian traders wished to enter this country, they formed a caravan of several thousand men and presented them with strips of cloth — presumably in exchange for ivory and ambergris, which he noted to be the products of the country. He added that from olden times this country had not been subjected to any foreign power. In fighting they used elephant tusks, ribs, and wild cattle's horns as spears, and they had corselets and bows and arrows. The Arabs were continuously making raids on them.

From this record we know of three groups — the inhabitants, the Persian merchants and the Arab raiders. The inhabitants are those who fight with the Arabs. The Persian traders seem to have been readily accepted by the inhabitants, for they traded freely with them. The people of the country themselves (that is the Africans) kidnapped their women and sold them to strangers (the Persians) at prices many times more than
they would fetch at home. As for the Arabs, they were considered to be enemies as they made continuous raids on the local inhabitants.

In 1226 A.D. Chao-Jo-Kua, a commissioner of foreign trade at Chuan-Chou in the Funken province of China, completed his Chu-fan-Chih, 'Description of Barbarous Peoples'. From this we learn that the country of Pi-p'a-lo, which is most likely Somalia¹ (since from the middle ages to present day it produces camels in large numbers), was rich in products such as elephants' tusks, ambergris, myrrh and tortoise shell of extraordinary thickness, for which there was great demand in other countries.

If Chao-Jo-Kua was actually referring to Somalia or Tanga² (in present day Tanzania) as Hirth³ identified the passage as describing Berbera on the Somalia coast, then some more reference might be of interest. From the same record we learn of the villages being constantly
1. "The land produces many camels and sheep, and the people feed themselves with the flesh and milk of camels and with baked cakes." This sentence is from passages translated in P. Hirth and W.W. Rockhill, Chao-Ju-Kua, St. Petersburg, 1911, pp. 126, 128.

2. Grenville mentions the existence of camels in Tanga within living memory, and a quarter of the town is known as Ngamiani, the place of the camels. He also mentions Malindi (Kenya) where once camels are said to have survived, but in addition he says Somalia from Medieval times as now produces camels in abundance.

3. P. Hirth and W.W. Rockhill — Chao-Ju-Kua, St. Petersburg, 1911, pp. 126, 128. This translation also appears in the 'East African Coast' — select documents from first to the early 19th century, edited by Grenville, pp. 21-22.
engaged in fighting with one another — a piece of information frequently given in other documents and local chronicles. For instance the disturbance which broke up concerning the affaires of the Kingdom of Kilwa is mentioned in the Kilwa chronicle. The fact that it is associated with Zanzibar is interesting and here it would be important to quote the exact reference. "Then Al-Hasan ibn Sulayman ibn cAli, the founder of Kilwa ruled for twelve years. All this happened after the flight of Sultan Al-Hasan ibn Sulayman to the land of Zanzibar "1.

While Al-Hasan ibn Sulayman (A.D. 1005-42 ?) was a refugee in Zanzibar a massage was sent to him from Kilwa by his loyal subjects to come and recover his dominion. He succeeded in this and ruled Kilwa for fourteen years before he died, meanwhile Al-Mandhiri, was on the throne. He (Al-Mandhiri) whilst desperately trying to oppose Al-Hasan's landing at Kilwa was killed by the followers of Al-Hasan."
1. The manuscript was presented to Sir John Kirk, who later gave the copy to the British Museum which is now numbered Or. 2666.
Al-Mandhiri, who, (as we have already seen) usurped the throne\(^1\), belonged to one of the important tribes of Ḫūmān. Their attempt to expel the Persians who had made settlements at a much earlier date was designed to achieve wider colonisation. Sūlṭān Al-Ḥāsān’s flight from Kilwa to the land of Zanzibar lends evidence to the fact that at that time there were no Ḫūmānī colonies in Zanzibar. In point of fact it shows that a Persian settlement was already established in Zanzibar. Obviously it would have been political suicide for Al-Ḥāsān to seek refuge at a place where Ḫūmānī Arabs were holding power. Sir John Gray seems to be right in all respects in assuming that by this time there was some sort of firmly established Asiatic colony in Zanzibar, the members of which were of a race closely akin to that of the rulers of Kilwa\(^2\).

About one hundred and twenty years passed between the reign of Al-Ḥāsān ibn Sulaymān ibn Ḫāli and that of Sulaymān ibn Al-Ḥāsān ibn Dāwūd.
1. See above, pp. 74.

In the Portuguese version of the Kilwa chronicle we are told that the latter Sultan conquered the great part of the coast, and because of his father's support he became master of the trade of Sofala and of the Island of Pemba, Mafia, Zanzibar and a large part of the mainland coast. As the statement stands, nothing shows clearly that Zanzibar was actually conquered, but surely we are assured that there was a trade relationship between Kilwa and Zanzibar of which Sulayman ibn Al-Hasan was the master. But we read from the geographical dictionary Mu'jam al Buldan compiled by the geographer Yaqut ibn 'Abdullah Ul-Rumi (1179-1229) that the inhabitants of Zanzibar have been removed from this island to another called 'Tumbat' (the present day Tumbatu), a much smaller island off the North-West of Zanzibar, the inhabitants of which are Muslims. Taking into account that Zanzibar was conquered by Ibn Al-Hasan would only be a matter of conjecture, which one could use to infer that the fli-

1 It is also known from other traditional accounts about the Arab presence, raiding the people of Tanah at Sazim origin, which received its frequent changes of shape, but there was always an overwhelming aspect. This was of course to satisfy their demands from such attacks, which is shown by the evidence that a number of settlements were fortified. These the more realistic fact it is hard to hold back the norm of colonies that were ever more from time to time, for they, and a number of other reasons, which will be discussed...
ght of the people from Zanzibar to Tumbatu was mainly caused by his invasion to the island of Zanzibar. But according to local tradition we learn that Tumbatu was colonized by a son of one of the immigrants from Shiraz in the latter half of the tenth century\(^1\). Their desire to chose Tumbatu as a place of refuge means that there was a sort of political affiliation between the two islands and that the people were of the same ethnic group.

It is also known from other traditional accounts about the Arab pirates raiding the people of Tumbatu of Persian origin, which resulted in frequent changes of abode. But there was always an alternative object. This was of-course to defend their colonies from such attacks, which is shown by the evidence that a number of settlements were fortified. Those who were weaker found it hard to hold back the waves of attacks that swept over them from time to time. For this, and a number of other reasons, which will be discussed
1. Sir John Gray, History of Zanzibar from the Middle Ages to 1856, pp. 16.
in the next chapter; the hard conditions no doubt led to some settlements which were perhaps only temporary.

It should not be assumed that only Persians and Arabs traded with East Africa. India, in all probability had trade connections with East Africa — how far back it is not possible to say. But products such as gold and ivory certainly reached India through Ḫūṣān. Al-Masʿūdi in his Murūj al-Dhahab wa Maʿādin al-Jawhar mentioned the following: — "It is from this country (East Africa) that comes tusks weighing fifty pounds and more. They usually go to Ḫūṣān, and from there are sent to China and India. This is the chief trade route, and if it were not so, ivory would be common in Muslim lands". Apart from this there is no other evidence to show that such a trade relation existed. Could it then be that at that time there was no direct dhow traffic between India and East Africa, but that the Indians obtained ivory from Arab merchants in Ḫūṣān? Slaves
from Africa were also imported into India, a large number of them were to be found in Bengal, where in 1486, they became powerful and played a prominent part in politics. In Gujarat and the Deccan the slave trade was also active, resulting in a considerable Negro population. This trade was mainly in the hands of the Arab merchants who found their market in India.

Zanzibar tradition holds it that there arrived at the coast of the island people whom they called Wadebuli. These were also believed to have made settlements in other coastal areas. In Zanzibar and Pemba they were known to be immensely cruel to the local inhabitants. They were said to have made temporary settlements as they kept on moving from one place to another. In the Arabic version of the Kilwa chronicle we know of one Hajj Muhammad Rukn al-Dīn al-Dābūlī, whose name shows that he belonged to the Dābūlī tribe. He settled in Kilwa Kisiwani at the beginning of the sixteenth century. He and his bro-
ther were the joint keepers of the treasury in the time of Amir Muhammad Kiwabi and the Amir Ibrahim. But contrary to the local tradition, the two brothers were kind and generous to Muslims. They were men of business and very rich.

To certain points the Wadebuli were and still are generally described by the elderly people of Zanzibar and Pemba as armed invaders. Wherever they settled, they treated the local people with the utmost cruelty — thus causing mistrust and great enmity. Such people would certainly be undesirable and therefore could not be expected to have lasting settlements.

Sir John Gray is of the opinion that the Wadebuli and the people whom they found in the island profoundly mistrusted one another. He adds that they either evicted some earlier colonists or else that they took over a site which such settlers had abandoned. Similarly the fate of the Wadebuli was then decided by a more powerful people — the Portuguese. Obviously the appear-
1. The Arabic version of Kilwa chronicle, British Museum. The copy of the chronicle is numbered Or. 2666.

2. Sir John Gray, History of Zanzibar from the Middle Ages to 1856, pp. 25.
ance of the Portuguese in East Africa was to bring the influx of Wadebuli to an end, and those who were there already would have either to submit themselves to the newcomers' power or leave.

Local tradition seems to put great emphasis on the belief that the Wadebuli settled in various parts of Pemba rather than in Zanzibar. The number of old sites in Pemba, mostly consisting of small and simply built mosques are attributed to them. The position and appearance of these sites would undoubtedly give the impression and confirm the tradition that these people did not stay long in one place. Pemba at that time was said to have been divided into five kingdoms, and it is not surprising therefore to find several small groups of ruins scattered along the coast. Sir John Gray, drawing on local tradition has listed the five kingdoms as Twaka — the present day Chwaka — Mkumbuu — now Ras-Mkumbuu — Utenzi, Ngwana, Pokomo or Ukomo. The last three
cannot be identified. He further adds that each of the kingdoms was said to contain seven towns, and that this division of the island owed its origin to colonization by settlers arriving from different parts of Asia¹.

As the name itself seems to denote, the Wad-ebuli are said to have come from Dabhol on the west coast of India, south of Bombay. During the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, the Deccan was ruled by the Bahmānī Kings. Dabhol at that time was an active and busy port of the Deccan over which the Bahmānī dynasty ruled for one hundred and seventy years (1347-1525), until their kingdom collapsed and split into several principalities, of which Golconda fell to the lot of Qutub-Shāhs whose domination lasted for one hundred and seventy five years (1512-1687). Sir John Gray claims that the coinage of this dynasty had some similarity in type with those found on the local coinage of Kilwa and Zanzibar. The principal design of those coins of the Bahmānī Kings
1. Sir John Gray, History of Zanzibar from the Middle Ages to 1856, pp. 25.
rhymes with the reverse in the same way as do the coins of Kilwa and Zanzibar. Concerning those found in Zanzibar Sir John Gray was referring to the coins bearing the names of Al-Hasan ibn 'Ali, Al-Hasan ibn Ahmad, and Ishaq ibn Hasan, who were the Sultans of Zanzibar, presumably during the first half of the fifteenth century. In type these coins are similar to those of the Sultans of Kilwa, and have been discovered in great quantities at Kiwengwa and Uroa on the east coast of Zanzibar.

All this would give little indication as to the settlement of the Wadebuli on either Zanzibar and Pemba or on the East African Coast as a whole. None of the traditions have been recorded in detail. The number of sites attributed to them, and especially those in Pemba give an impression that they were built mainly as trade centres, and more or less as ports of call for their shipping. Freeman Grenville gives a hypothesis that the Wadebuli were perhaps traders who had settled
originally as agents for the merchants of Dabhol, especially for the ivory trade and for the retail of Indian goods.

With these common interests in trade with India, architectural influences upon East Africa were to be expected. Several of the small mosques scattered in various parts along the East Coast of Pemba, and a few in Zanzibar, are ascribed to the Wadebuli. With the exception of one at Chwaka in north Pemba, the rest are simply built with slight changes in form and style. Sir John Gray in comparing the style of building of the Deccan with that of East Africa states that "several of the Bahmani rulers were responsible for the building of Muslim places of worship, of which the mosques at Gulbarga and at the Madrasah at Bidar are outstanding examples and remarkable for the elaborate use made of the pendentive. He is of the opinion that there is the same use of this style of construction, though on a much less pretentious scale, in the fifteenth century mosque
Preaman Grenville, the Medieval History of the coast of Tanganyika, pp. 204.

To is many other occasions, wallkars are often developed. During the Tanjil dynasty the Rasaas employed wallkars from Persia. And in the 1300's there was greater building activity, though palaces in fashion than before for economic reasons, but much of the architecture may at this time owe its inspiration to Persia. Seventy years later there was a clear transition of the class of craftsmen, though few still came in. In the 1500's some technical help came from the provinces, which continued for another century. At the end of the fifteenth century some buildings in the Rasaas could not be considered to be part of the main stream of Indian building.

For another fourteen years (1525-1540) there was still little building activity, as the main effort was directed to the development of the empire. But from the end of 1540, there was a revival of building, in which technical advances-
at Kilwa Kisiwani on the mainland and the Msiki-
ti Wa Choroko at Chwaka in north Pemba.

As in many other countries, builders are
often foreigners. During the Bahman dynasty the
Deccan employed builders from Persia. And in the
1330's there was greater building activity, tho-
ugh plainer in fashion than before for economic
reasons, but much of the architecture may at this
time owe its inspiration to Persia. Seventy ye-
ars later there was a clear cessation of the fl-
ow of craftsmen, though few still came in. In
the 1420's some technical help came from the pro-
vinces, which continued for another century. At
the end of the fifteenth century some buildings
in the Deccan could not be considered to be part
of the main stream of Indian building.

For another fourteen years (1526-1540) there was still little building activity, as the
main effort was directed to the development of
the empire. But from the end of 1540, there was
a revival of building, in which technical advan-
Sir John Gray, History of Zanzibar from the Middle Ages to 1856, pp. 26.
ement was evident, and the use of fine materials increased. Fifteen years later there was even greater activity with greater achievement resulting in a combination of Hindu and Islamic forms. It could be said that these fine results were possible because of both technical skill and wealth which allowed such unrestricted development. Again this occupation lasted for another century until in the 1650's the decline of building was caused by economic and military factors. This was followed by an almost complete decay when the rulers were gradually losing power and prestige.
It is evident that by the end of the fifteenth century, the Portuguese had begun to arrive on the Coast of East Africa. They saw great wealth accumulated, and a substantial civilisation attained, by the former settlers. This good news was soon despatched to the King of Portugal, with a full report on trade. Portugal did not fail to see the importance of these great discoveries. A few years later, the East Coast of Africa was conquered and a governor named Duarte de-Lemos was appointed to look after the Portuguese possessions. These included Mombasa, Malindi, Zanzibar, Lamu, Pemba, Kilwa, Sofala, Socotra and Mozambique. By this time the Portuguese
had already established a great commercial empire in the East. They realized that since regular trading voyages were being made to the East, it would be convenient for them to set up a number of ports along the coast where their ships could call and obtain fresh water and other necessary provisions on their long voyages. Actually the ports were meant as a half way calling place between Portugal and the East.

Normally the people of the coast were hospitable, for they were always ready to receive strangers, but now the picture had changed. They had foreseen the danger which was to fall upon them, and indeed their anticipations were correct. This time the strangers were powerful and worse still, they were infidels. Their bad intentions were easily felt, and news of the arrival of the Almirante (admiral) was treated with great suspicion — contrary to their former practice. Such suspicions caused uneasiness and great tension. To them the appearance of the Portuguese
meant war, and that was also true. The stranger was everready to take the part of a dissembler, showing false signs of friendship, but at the same time was determined to strike if need be. But later it was to be a question of the trade monopoly which the former settlers had enjoyed for so long. The Portuguese interest in East Africa was great; their immediate aim was specifically to seize and monopolize the entire machinery of trade.

Naturally the first important thing to do was to study the coast—a task undertaken by Vasco da Gama, admiral of the fleet, who first appeared during the early days of 1498. He dropped anchor off Mombasa harbour with the urgent intention of obtaining a pilot. This was necessary because of the little information they possessed concerning the geography of Africa due to the lack of accurate maps, but Vasco da Gama was astounded to receive at first a rather lukewarm welcome, followed later by an attempt to seize
his ship.

The next stop was Malindi where the reception was comparatively good. This can be attributed to the fact that there existed a bitter rivalry between Mombasa and Malindi. The object of Malindi in extending the hand of friendship to the Portuguese was, perhaps, to spite her rival. The King of Malindi, after some hesitation satisfied the needs of the Portuguese by supplying them with a pilot. During this first visit they sighted the extreme northern part of Zanzibar, and beheld the Island of Pemba without calling at any of the two islands. It was only on his first return voyage from India in January, 1499 that Vasco da Gama actually stopped at Zanzibar.¹

Four years later, that is in 1503, another Portuguese ship arrived at Zanzibar. This ship was commanded by Ruy Lourenco Rivasco. His reception was unpleasant for it soon ended in severe
1. Description of Vasco da Gama's visit to East Africa on his return voyage from India in 1499. It is taken from the anonymous account of the voyage appearing in the Journal of the first voyage of Vasco da Gama, 1497-1499. The extracts are taken from the translation by E.G. Ravestein for the Hakluyt Society, 1898, pp. 87-92.
fighting. The Zanzibaris demonstrated their unfamiliarity with the fighting skills of the Portuguese by gathering together in a large crowd and so fell easy victims to the artillery with which their adversaries were armed. In this conflict the son of the King of Zanzibar who was a captain of the islanders was killed.

The Portuguese attack was undoubtedly well planned and designed to establish their supremacy over Zanzibar. They were successful in this, for the King of Zanzibar, realizing his weakness and inability to defend his country immediately sent four representatives to Ruy Lourenco to ask for peace. The King showed both physical weakness, and weakness of mind, for his delegates did not hesitate to offer tribute to the Portuguese. The delegation made it quite clear that "the King wished to be a tributary of the King of Portugal, and that as to the past, the death of his son and of many who accompanied him was sufficient satisfaction for any guilt, if he had been
guilty of defending his country. Finally peace was granted, on condition that a tribute of one hundred golden miticals (about £60) per annum be paid to King Dom Manuel of Portugal, and thirty sheep for the captain who should go to collect it.

Soon other Portuguese ships began to appear, increasing now in number and strength. In less than seven years Zanzibar became completely subject to Portugal. In fact the conquest of the whole East Coast of Africa was thus won shortly after its discovery. Portuguese settlements were then made at various points—though thinly—at places such as Kilwa, Sofala and Mozambique. In Zanzibar itself there seemed to exist a friendly and peaceful atmosphere, whereby the Portuguese authority allowed the Sultan to form their own local Governments.

In Pemba, Portuguese ships also appeared from time to time. Sir John Gray quotes Commander
1. The passage is taken from the translation by G.M. Theal in Records of South-Eastern Africa, Volume VI, 1900, pp. 216-220, from the original in de Barros da Asia, 1552, Decade I, Book VI, Chapter IV.
Goncolo Vaz de Goes as having reported that the inhabitants of Pemba were of peaceful and quiet disposition and that there were four or five rival Kings. Like Zanzibar, Pemba was also subjected to the yearly payment of tribute to Portugal. But when Duarte de Lemos was despatched in 1510 to collect payment of tribute, the King was impoverished as a result of settling this tax. He and a number of his men escaped to Mombasa, leaving their houses empty. Eventually a Portuguese settlement was established upon the island. The new masters caused immense terror to the inhabitants by plundering whatever property their eyes lit upon, perpetrating much oppression and fraud. The King failing to protect the rights of his subjects, evidently due to fear of the Portuguese, tended to cause hostility among his people against him. Several attempts were made by the people to revolt against their Kings, but the Portuguese were quick to crush the rebellions ruthlessly and to restore the Kings by force of arms.
1. Sir John Gray, History of Zanzibar from the Middle Ages to 1856, pp. 55.
To give the King physical and moral support, a few Portuguese soldiers would be posted to deal with any possible riots caused by a war of liberation.

But taking the whole East African Coast into account, it will be seen that the Portuguese failed to win the love and affection of the people they ruled through their cruel and treacherous domination. The fact that Zanzibar remained a friend and ally of the King of Portugal, in which case she ceased to be a tributary of Portugal was, by no means advantageous to the Portuguese existence in East Africa. However, the people in other parts of the coast did not long remain submissive to Portugal. The yearly payment of tribute still continued and was exacted with great severity, towns being threatened with destruction in the event of non-compliance. They remained determined to see the end of Portuguese aggression and ceased every possible chance of
revolt. Thus by 1631 all the Portuguese Coastal States of East Africa were in rebellion. Mombasa took the lead and was gradually followed by other states. The revolts there were constantly suppressed, but the rebels endured the torture and rose to fight again. By the end of the seventeenth century the Portuguese were overpowered in Mombasa — which was then the key point. From then on there was no further hope of recovering Pemba. Zanzibar, which remained loyal to Portugal for longer would also however be difficult to retain.
C. THE ARABS OF ḪUMĀN IN ZANZIBAR AND PEMBA

------------------- 1698-1815 ------------------

Attempts to enter Zanzibar were still being made by the Portuguese with a hope of receiving a friendly reception from the King and the people. But by the beginning of the eighteenth century, the Portuguese Empire was crumbling and decaying at an accelerated pace. It was now clear that the Ḫumānī Arabs were in power in East Africa, undivided and far stronger than the Portuguese. In Mombasa Said el-Maḥāmirī was made governor, representing Ḫumān, following a request made by the local people for protection against any possible Portuguese invasion. In Zanzibar there were a few Portuguese soldiers in command.
of the Island. By 1710 it was reported to the Government of Lisbon that there were fifty soldiers in Zanzibar commanded by one Said.

The governments of Zanzibar and Pemba were subject to the Imams of Masqat, and governors were appointed and entrusted with full authority in administering the affairs of the new colonies. Pemba, together with Mombasa was under the control of the Mazrui Arabs, while a member of the El-Harithi tribe was governing Zanzibar. But, in 1471, the change of dynasty in Oman from the Yorubi to that of Busa'Idi — at the head of which was Ahmed bin Seif — caused a feeling of unrest and repercussions in East Africa. A number of governors, including the governor of Pemba declared themselves independant and thereafter refused to recognize the authority of the Omani rulers. Zanzibar however, was one of the few places which remained loyal to Imam Ahmed bin Seif. Similarly, at the end of 1783 internal conflict in Oman itself had its tense and unpleasant imp-
1. Sir John Gray, History of Zanzibar from the Middle Ages to 1856, pp. 83

...
act in Zanzibar. Seif bin Ahmed, realizing his rather feeble chances of becoming Imam of Masqat — after his elder brother Sa'id successfully inherited the title of Imamate after his father's death in 1783, reached Zanzibar early in the following year to create a Sultanate for himself. But Khalfan bin Ahmed, who was at that time governing Zanzibar and enjoying the luxury of leadership, vigorously opposed Seif's attempt at snatching the Sultanate from his hands. However, Seif managed to occupy the northern part of the Island.

The fact that Zanzibar was under direct control of the Imam of Masqat is shown in a letter by Captain Dallons who probably made frequent voyages to Zanzibar before 1804. In his letter Dallons clearly reports that "Zanzibar is governed by the prince of Masqat. It suffers constant changes because of the fear the Sultan has of a governor becoming too well established and taking the lordship from him. This has happened at
Pemba, Mombasa and Pate. But Sir John Gray is of the opinion that Dallons is wrong on this point. He justifies his claim by giving an example that Yakut was in charge of Zanzibar for at least ten years. The mere fact the Mazrui Arabs had a firm grip over Pemba and Mombasa, and their subsequent declaration of independence confirms Dallons report. It was not until the time of Seyyid Sa'id bin Sulţan in 1837 that the rebellious Mazrui Arabs were defeated, and Port Jesus conquered. The island of Pemba actually sent delegates to Oman asking to be freed from Mazrui domination. The petition was accepted on condition that a payment of five per cent duty on products be made to the government of Masqat. Furthermore the people of Pemba — it was suggested — should work for the government without recompense. The people of Pemba readily agreed to these exacting conditions, and orders from Masqat reached the governor of Zanzibar to expel the Mazrui Arabs from Pemba.
1. Captain P. Dallon's letter from the Mauritius Archives GA 11, no. 119, 1804. This was translated into English, and the same translation appears on page 39 of the East African Coast, select documents from the first to the earlier 19th. century, edited by Freeman Grenville, 1962.

2. Sir John Gray, History of Zanzibar from the Middle Ages to 1856, pp. 87.
Sir John Gray, quoting a report made to the governor of Ile de France (Mauritius) shows that the number of Umāni Arabs in Zanzibar in about 1776 did not exceed 300 and they were hated by all other Arabs and half-Arabs along the Coast. Many of them were traders, who after conducting their seasonal business up and down the coast, would return to Umān in March, when the South-West wind blows. Among them some had decided to make permanent settlements along the coast. But certainly this influx of immigrants from Umān would appear to be gradual and slow until in 1832 when Seyyid Sa’Id bin Sulṭan transferred his capital from Masqat to Zanzibar the expansion was actually felt. Seyyid Sa’Id definitely took an active interest in the administration of the government, whereby Zanzibar became an important port supplying a considerable annual revenue to the treasury of both Umān and Zanzibar.
1. Sir John Gray, History of Zanzibar from the Middle Ages to 1856, pp. 87.
D. FEATURES AND DIVISIONS OF ISLAM

IN

ZANZIBAR AND PEMBA

As early as the 1330's, Ibn Battūtah tells us that the East African Coast was following Shāfī'i rite --- one of the four Orthodox of Sunni, whose founder was Muhammad ibn Idrīs al-Shāfī'i. Even to this day, the coast is predominantly Shāfī'i. This forms the main Muslim group consisting largely of the Africans, plus half-Arabs and Arabs of South Arabian origin. A small group of Memon and few Khumbars are Hanafites. Malikites and Hambalites are not to be found among the Muslim community of Zanzibar and Pemba, or even in other parts of East Africa. Those who
came from Qumān at the end of the eighteenth century or even earlier are the Ibaqīs; amongst them, the most important clans are the Al-Bu-Sa-Idis and the Masrūs. In both islands the Africans who follow the Ibaqīite sect are those who have been brought up in the homes of the Qumāni Arabs, but they are so few in number that they become almost insignificant. The Shiites in Zanzibar and Pemba are divided into three main groups — the Ithnā ashariyya, the ISma Ilīs and the Musta Ilīs. The biggest group of the Shiites consists of the Pakistani-Indians. In it, Iraqis, Persians and Bahraynis are included, while the ISma Ilīs are purely Pakistani-Indians. The Musta Ilīs, better known as Bohorās include a few Arabs of Yemenite origin.

As we have seen, Ibn Battūtah found Kilwa to be Orthodox Sunni, and to have been of the Shafi rite, presumably like Zanzibar and Pemba. While Ibn Battūtah's statement may be correct, one wonders whether there were any Shiites and Ibaqītes at the same time. It could hardly be
that he did not know if any of the latter Madhhab existed: may it be that they were so few that Ibn Battuta did not care to mention them?. De Barros, however, reports that 'Ali ibn al-Husayn ibn 'Ali (957-996) the founder and ruler of Kilwa was, in fact, a Shafi'i. Reusch says that al-Hasan ibn Sulayman III (1310-1333) on his return from Makkah in 1310, converted Kilwa to the Shafi'i rite of Sunni Orthodoxy, and this was confirmed by Ibn Battuta in 1331. If this were correct, the only explanation would be that Kilwa — and possibly other parts of East Africa — followed Shafi'i rite at a date earlier than the fourteenth century, but the exact date cannot be determined.

It may be suggested that Zanzibar and Pemba, together with other parts of East Africa began as Shi'i and later became Shafi'i. If this was actually the case, the cause of such a religious revolution could only be worked out on this assumption.
Shī'ism began as an entirely Arab and solely political party based and built upon the claims of ʿAlī ibn Abū-Talīb and of his descendants to the caliphathe. Its divine right was that caliphs must be elected. The real development of the movement began after the martyrdom of al-Husayn at Karbalā on 10th October, 680 (tenth of Muharram). Because it failed to adapt itself to the patterns of an Arab party, it sought victory and success as an Islamic sect. The system of Imāmship subsequently became the main differentiating element between Sunnites (orthodox) and Shī'ites. Since this time, Shī'ism has remained essentially the expression in religious terms of opposition to the established Sunnite state. This state of affairs caused hostility and everlasting hatred between the two madhhabs. A good example of one of the causes of such hatred was the prosecution in A.D. 850 of the Shī'ah by al-Mutawakkil, who also destroyed the tomb of ʿAlī at al-Najaf and that of al-Husayn at Karbalā.
Again in 1029 the Caliph al-Qadir drove a Shi‘a leader out of his Baghdad mosque and replaced him by a Sunnite. Later in the year A.H. 645 (A.D. 1247) another fierce prosecution of the Shi‘a broke out, causing great disaster to the Saracenic Civilization. The Sunni church doomed the entire male population of the Shi‘a to massacre.

Such was the attitude in the Muslim World—an attitude which could have repeated itself in East Africa, perhaps with even greater severity. Sunni and Shi‘a would not have tolerated each other in Zanzibar or Pemba, especially at a time when bitter opposition was prevalent in the Middle East.

Even at a much later date, the Shi‘ites in Zanzibar were denied the right of certain religious observations. During the reign of Seyyid Sa‘id bin Sultan (1804-1856), the Shi‘a muadhin was not allowed to recall ‘Ali as

Waliyyu Llah', a friend and viceregent of Allah, when calling for prayers. Similarly the public mourning on the anniversary of al-Ğusayn's death (tenth of Muḥarram) — a festival when Shi‘ahs spend the night walking in procession around the town was completely forbidden. Such was the case in Zanzibar where the Shi‘ah could hardly show their feeling of joy at the Prophet's alleged appointment of cAli as his successor — the festival better known in Zanzibar as عيد الخير cIdd al-GhadÎr, taking place on the 18th of dhu-al-Hijjah. Shi‘ah religious festivals were therefore observed indoors until such time as British rule was established, and these restrictions were relaxed.

Similarly, personal choice of madhhab was restricted and depended on who was governing the state and had the authority to stop such change of sect. The Qādî of Zanzibar and Pemba, Shaikh cAbdallâh Ṣâleḥ al-Fârîṣî, writes "Wanavyuoni wengi wa Kisuni walikamata bendera ya kupinga
Those three were amongst other leading Sunnite Shaikhs who openly expressed defiance against the Ḥanbalite belief and their task of converting Ḥanbalites to Sunnites was stopped by Seyyid Barghash bin Sa'id (1870-1888). The three Shaikhs were imprisoned. But unlike the Shī'a the Sunnites in this case challenged the authority of the existing political order — that of the Ḥanbalite rulers.

Shī'ism as we have seen, took definite form during the Umayyad rule. Al-嗬Iraq was the place where the seed of its doctrine was first planted, and it later spread into Persia, especially the north-eastern province (Khurāsān) where the atmosphere was most fertile for its growth. Though in the process of growing, Shī'ism was not
established as the state religion in Persia until 1502 by Safawids, who claimed descent from Musă al-Kâzim, the seventh Imam. If the Shi'Cite rite was followed in East Africa before Shâfi'C1, then it would be reasonable to assume that it was brought over at the time of its early infancy.

Within the Shi'Cite community itself a number of minor sects arose. Shahristani divides the Shi'Cah into five sects, viz. the Zaidia, the Isma'Cilia, the Isna'Cashiria, or Imâmia, the Kaisânia, and the Ghâllia or Ghullât. The Zaidis are considered to be one of the early groups of Shi'ism since its founder was Zaid, son of 'Ali II (Zain-ul-Abidîn), son of al-Husayn. Furthermore, a good many offshoots such as the Qarmatians, the Musayris, the Druzes, the Yazîdis and the like were set up from the Shi'Cite sect. De Barros alleged that the first foreign people who settled in the land of Zanzibar were Zaidites, and that they were from the region of al-Hasa on the gulf, about forty leagues from the Island of al-Bahrayn.
The cause of the banishment was that they were supporters of Zaid whose opinions were contrary to those of the Koran\(^1\). Like any other Islamic sect and madhhabs, the Zaidi's view is that the Koran is the word of Allah dictated through Gabriel (Jibriyl) to Muhammad, and that every word and letter is inspired. De Barros therefore was definitely misinformed on this last point. In fact, in their doctrines, the Zaidis closely approach the Ahl-us-Sunnat, and none of the four subsects --- that is the Jarudias, Sulaimani\(\tilde{a}\)s, Tabari\(\tilde{a}\)s and S\(\tilde{a}\)le\(\tilde{a}\)\(\tilde{a}\)s --- held opinions contrary to the Koran. Though they are not to be found in Zanzibar, a Zaidia Imam still exists in Northern Yemen.

It may be possible that de Barros was actually speaking of the Qarmatians, and confused them with Zaidis. Regarding the first group of foreign people who came to settle in Zanzibar from al-\(\tilde{U}\)asa, it is highly probable that he was unknowingly referring to the breakaway or better
De Barros, Decade I, Book VIII, Chapter IV.
still to the outrageous movement of the Qarmatians whose revolutionary attitudes formed a strong political organization. In A.D. 899, the same body established an independent state on the western shore of the Persian Gulf with al-Ahsa as their capital. This same movement had much connection with the development of the Shi'a sect and also with the establishment of the Fatimid dynasty of Egypt; hence easily mixed up with the other Shi'a sect — the Zaidite. Similarly, the Qarmatians, like the Nusayris, Druses and Assassins, are considered by the Twelvers Shi'a group — Isanashirias — as extremists. Extreme in the sense that they disregard the finality of Muhammad's prophethood, though they compromise on the divinity of God. This is the sect which has reached such extremes as to pronounce that Gabriel mistook Muhammad for Ali in conveying the prophetic message. May it be then that de-Barros considered these extreme religious attitudes of the Qarmatians to be contrary to those of

It is true that the early men of Dendera people who arrived on the coast of Egypt arrived between the early seventh and beginning of the fourteenth century were the followers of the Palestine. These men, who are the descendants of the Pharaohs, brought in their era and brought them to the people of Palestine. There were no subjects in Palestine.

For Palestine, the followers of the Patriarch, succeeded in establishing their national ascendancy in Palestine only during the time of the Arab conquest (636-70). The foundation of the Pharaohs was made in Palestine. These men of Palestine were followers of Palestine. It was only in that time that the Pharaohs (Pharaohs) were brought to the country of the Arabs (of the Pharaohs) were swallowed by the succession of the Pharaohs. The city of Damascus, with its nobles, named "Moslems" (people of the Pharaohs) were subject to the succession of the Pharaohs.
the Koran?

More convincing is the fact that the early wave of foreign people who appeared on the coast of East Africa between the early twelfth and beginning of the fourteenth century were not followers of the Shi'ite rite. When Umar ibn-al-Khattab conquered Persia and brought Islam to the people of Persia, there were no schisms in Islam.

The Shi'ites, the followers of the saintly Imams, succeeded in establishing their national church in Persia only during the time of Shah Ismai'il (1502-24) the founder of the Safawid dynasty (1502-1736). When the Sunnite sect was established, almost the whole of Persia was following Sunnite Islam. It was only in Qum that the Muhibiyn lil Ahl-ul-Bayt, the lovers of the people of the House (of Muhammad) were attached to the doctrines of Imams. The Qadi of Zanzibar and Pemba, Shaikh Abdallah Saleh al-Farisi confirms that Isma'ashiriaism became the state reli-

1. Muhibiyn lil Ahl-ul-Bayt
2. Isma'ashiriaism
1. The Ahl-ul-Bayt is the designation usually given to Fatimah and Āli and their children and descendants.

2. Personal contacts with Agha Khurasani, Secretary of the Islamic Society, England.
region of Persia only in the time of Nadir Shah, and that previously, the whole coastal region was Sunni Shafi'i. This is largely because the rulers of Persia at that time were themselves Sunnis. Mahmud of Ghaznah (387–421 A.H.) — a ruler of Khurasan — was himself a Hanifite as were a number of other rulers. The Atabegs princes who were extremely powerful during the period of Seljuque and ruled Persia up to A.H. 590 were at the same time followers of the Sunnite rite. Amongst them were Sa'd ibn Abubakr and Abubakr ibn Sa'd. The Atabegs ruled Khurasan for one hundred and twenty eight years and so were the rulers of Isfahan, Fars and Tabriz. These latter two were in the capital of Shiraz. May it be then that the early Persians who settled in Zanzibar and Pemba were supporters of Sunnite Islam?

2. Personal contacts with Agha Khurasānī.
The unwillingness to authorise expeditions across the sea by \textsuperscript{6}Umar (A.D. 634-44), the second Orthodox Caliph, who was quoted by Arab historians as forbidding his generals to advance to a place which he himself could not reach on his camel\(^1\), did not last long. For history records that the world-wide expansion of the Islamic state was actually in process during the Umayyad period, an event that was to continue for many years to come, and which was to involve the non-Arab who had adopted Islam, for they also had in

In the course of this period, history turns on two recent and two notable occurrences, that of the establishment of trade which was to arrest early exchanges on the way to trade along the coasts of East Africa. Though this may go back as far as 2,000 years, it was not until recently that in this connection, serious arrivals in East Africa before the tenth century. This gradual, but definite contact laid the basis of Islamic civilization and ultimately developed a culture which the inhabitants of the East Africans must have probably never themselves have achieved.

The Muslim traders had come in close contact with the developed civilization of Islam as their heritage, and they made settlements in order to establish new homes for themselves. This is evident from the nature of trade which grew up and flourished at the coast. From documents
their hands the power to give the new religion a unique maritime expansion.

In the course of this period, history turns on to record another notable occurrence, that of the establishment of trade which was to effect many countries as far away as those along the Coast Of East Africa. Though this may go back some 2,000 years, it does not seem however, that in this connection, traders settled in East Africa before the tenth century. This gradual, but definite contact laid the basis of Islamic civilisation and ultimately developed a culture which the inhabitants of the East African Coast could probably never themselves have achieved.

The Muslim traders had come to these countries with the developed civilisation of Islam as their heritage, and they made settlements in order to establish new homes for themselves. This is evident from the number of towns which grew up and flourished on the coast. From documents
left to us by Arab geographers and historians¹, supplemented by local chronicles and traditions, the carriers of this civilization were the people of Arabian and Persian stock, who, some of them, had been uprooted from their homeland for religious and political reasons. It would also seem from these same sources² that the majority of them were traders who were forced by economic necessity to put down roots elsewhere to establish their traditional way of life. But this movement which is sometimes over-emphasized by many Christians, was not entirely a religious movement that offered only the Koran with one hand and the sword with the other³. It was not fanaticism, but rather an economic necessity; a fact that was not ignored by historians, for they viewed the events of the traders in the light of their subsequent developments and settlements.

The various civilizations which the immigrants contacted in the course of their trading
activities on the East African Coast were very different from their own. The new comers and the indigenous Coastalists were fundamentally different peoples — different in language, customs and beliefs. One of their first needs was to create a type of dwelling place in their own traditions, that is, not a mere shelter but a building which catered for all needs. One of the most important requirements would be a place of worship, prayer and thanksgiving. So, for them, the building of the mosque became a prime necessity, essentially a home for all the people, an institutional building so complex as to serve multiple purposes, religious, social, political and even educational. As this was a spiritual focal point of the whole life of the city, the mosque became physically integrated into the arrangement and pattern of that city.

The arrangement had to be advanced by the immigrants in order to adjust their minds to the new environment of the Coast of East Africa, and
indeed adjustment had to be made between the differing peoples — the new-comers and the local inhabitants. The one who had deep ancient traditions of the Orient and the other who had followed the practices set up by their own local societies. Here the fundamental religious convictions of the two groups was bound to produce a conflict. The foreign group followed a relatively recent religious code — that of Islam — whilst the local group were involved in the worship of spirits. The contrast could be shown between their respective places of worship, as symbolized by the mosque on the one hand and the 'Mzimu' on the other. Comparing the two, the mosque is a stone construction built to a plan with architectural permanence, while the Mzimu is an abode of mystery, a small shelter (kibanda) built of mud or just a space under a rock, a cave, or a large shady tree.

When the Arab-Muslims came into contact with other peoples in the course of their World-conq-
uest, with countries like Persia or India, the influence of Islam in the cultural field was gradually absorbed. But when this influence was forced, for instance on the Indians, it met with considerable resistance because of the consciousness that they, the Indians, represented a higher and more ancient culture. This was true in the event of the Arab invasion of Sind in A.D. 710, and on that other invasion under Mahmud of Ghaznah at the close of the tenth century. On the other hand, however great the resistance had been in both cases, cultural intercourse between the conquerer and the conquered was inevitable. In the case of India, this would explain the course of the cultural development of the Indo-Islamic architecture which started during the period of the Slave Kings in the thirteenth century to the Court of Oudh in the early eighteen hundreds. The Muslim patron in India had to depend largely on Hindu building craftsmen to carry out his projects, while the Umayyad and Abbasid caliphs...
1. John Terry, the Charm of Indo-Islamic Architecture, pp. 2.

In all these cases the interaction of different cultures, traditions, and ideas worked itself out in a process that led to the enrichment of architecture. In these circumstances, the rich source of inspiration came from the already existing buildings, such as temples, palaces, and architecture of which may have been in distant times. This was not the case in the West where even in our time the Stelbriana survived that found buildings built of wood and marble, or similar temporary materials, and therefore there was no apparent merging of architectural influences — although this point could open the field for the activity of archaeologists.

In al-madinah, as the city quickly and the Deir (arched hall) of the Persian source as a
would need the services of Persian craftsmen, especially on the applied schemes of painted plaster and glazed tiles.

In all these cases the interaction of different cultures, traditions, and ideas, combined into a common bond of human activity to produce a distinctive genius to the enrichment of architecture. In these countries, the rich source of inspiration came from the already existing buildings such as temples, palaces, and cathedrals, of which many dated back to ancient times. This was not the case on the East African Coast because when the immigrants arrived they found buildings built of mud and wattle, or similar impermanent materials, and therefore there was no apparent exchange of architectural influences—although this point would seem to call for the attention of archaeologists.

In Al-Madā'in, Sa'd ibn-abi-Waqāṣ used the Ivān (arched hall) of the Persian emperor as a
place of worship\textsuperscript{1}, and in Damascus, al-Walid I rebuilt the Cathedral of St. John into a mosque\textsuperscript{2}. And for instance, when the Ghaznavids made a movement to settle in India they dismantled temples and skillfully re-arranged their stones to turn the buildings into mosques\textsuperscript{3}. This had not been the case on the East African Coast for the Muslim immigrants found no older structures to dismantle and to make use of. So even this simple process of robbing a man’s efforts and labour, (a practice which was not favoured for long by builders themselves in India) was non-existent on the Coast of East Africa. In the case of the earliest Indian mosques, they were built by conquerors in a land where masons were plentiful and highly skilled in their own traditional work. Once again this long heritage of accumulated experience in building traditions had not been evident among the local inhabitants of the East African Coast.


3. John Terry, the charm of Indo-Islamic Architecture, pp. 7.
The early colonization of the East African Coast, traditionally believed to have commenced in the eighth century A.D. was characterized in the first case by seasonal raiding and periodic trade movements. Prospective immigrants would have some feeling of insecurity and no firm plans for permanent settlement. When however they did stay, they settled with the indigenous non-Muslims, and at this period a mosque was not a pressing necessity. On the other hand, the Islamization of the Africans was not an easy task but a slow process, and the only means by which it could grow was through the absorption of individuals into the Islamic faith, because the conversion itself was an act of free will. So the mosque which was to be built at that time was for the few Muslim immigrants and the occasional visiting traders, and at this comparatively early stage they hardly saw the necessity of collecting and cutting stone for large mosques and buildings.
1. According to one version of Pate Chronicle, the earliest recorded attempt of colonization was begun by 'Abd-al-Malik, the fifth of the Umayyad Caliphs (A.D. 695-705), who heard of East Africa and 'his soul longed to found a new Kingdom'. He is said to have sent Syrians to build cities along the coast, and one of these cities was the Island of Zanzibar.
The Muslims had already established centres along the East African Coast by the close of the twelfth century, these settlements flourished during the thirteenth, fourteenth, and fifteenth centuries. The arrival of the Portuguese at the beginning of the sixteenth century was extremely disruptive to the already established commerce and trade enjoyed by the Muslims. This of course, retarded the growth of the coastal towns, as such development was dependant on the wealth and prosperity of the people. Religious zeal and fervent desire alone were not enough to produce ambitious architectural achievements. Little building activity then continued through this hard and unfavourable period.

Probably there were no very rich Muslim settlers on the East African Coast who could be the source of vigorous patronage that could provide unrestricted development in the costly art of building. The fortunes of these immigrants was determined by a most unstable economy as they had no
firm control over the sources of supplies of those commodities necessary for trade. Kilwa however, appeared to have enjoyed a better economic life with a firm control over the gold trade of Sofala in the fifteenth century. So on the whole economic progress was slow and therefore any distinctive improvement in architecture was lacking, or perhaps there was no need at that time for ambitious architectural projects. And even if there existed wealthy immigrants on the coast who wished to have their mosques rich and in a medley of styles, they would have had to employ master builders from thousand of miles away; artisans who were competent and talented in technical knowledge and skills. In India and Persia the Islamic conquerors encountered no difficulty as far as the extension of architectural activities was concerned, because skilled labour was abundant and available. No so with East Africa for such skilled labour and knowledge would depend upon the importation of labour from abroad, a hig-
hly expensive project. Again those countries referred to, a vast amount of fine decoration and executed by artisans who were highly skilled was already in evidence in the larger centres. Such work was always a source of inspiration to the less expert craftsmen in the smaller communities and served as examples to emulate. These more humble craftsmen would do the bulk of the building in villages and provincial towns, and this kept the general standards fairly level. This of course would ensure a rapid development of technique and style. In the case of the East African Coast it is most likely that whatever artisans were available would belong to that group who came from the smaller communities and were not of the elite in the architectural arts. The early architecture of the coast therefore reflects no African influence whatsoever and its forms were absolutely new to the area. East Africa at that time had nothing to contribute to the art of building in this new era, the forms of
which were alien and fully related to the Islamic architecture of other countries outside Africa.

Though humble if put side by side for comparison with the Islamic architecture of Iran, Iraq, Syria, India, Egypt and the like, the Islamic architecture of the East African Coast may appear to be homogeneous in architectural style if not carefully examined. Definitely there are common factors in it peculiar to the whole coast, but this likeness in appearance is due to a number of factors which have contributed to its particular formation. Some of these have been briefly discussed above.

The development — not to mean improvement, but rather activity — of the coastal Islamic architecture; and in this respect to mention the architecture of Zanzibar and Pemba, covers a period of eight hundred years. It ranges from the delightful twelfth century Kisimkazi-Dimbani Mosque (Plate 1 and 2) to the vigorous but most
unattractive nineteenth century mosques of Nungwi (Plate 22) and Ewejuu (Plate 23). During practically the whole of this late period (eighteenth and nineteenth centuries) — a period of the strong colonial rule of Oman — though marked by great activity in building, the standards definitely declined, to a complete degeneration in the decorative field. However, the early period characterised by a delightful style evident in the rather sophisticated mihrab of the Kizimkazi-Dimbani Mosque — referred to by Sir John Gray as "a little architectural gem"¹, and by Professor Flury as to "exhibit a degree of technical skill and feeling for style"², and by Peter Garlake as "perhaps the best known relic of the entire Coast"³ — reveals great artistry. This little gem is doubtless unique, being the only one of its kind in Zanzibar and Pemba, and indeed on the entire coast, and would provide a contrasting feeling in style to the middle period.


The second period covers a span of approximately three hundred and fifty years, starting from the fifteenth century to probably the mid-eighteenth century, which could be classified as one of the three styles, with few fundamental changes. This is evident in some parts of Pemba, along the West Coast from the North to half way down the coast, namely from Verani down to Ras-Mkumbuu (see Map of Pemba, Fig. 2), where the mosques have features in common. The difference appears in the mihrab's decoration — when concentrating upon refining its details. These are probably due to either lack of informed and tasteful patronage or to the individual feeling of their founders, rather than to any difference due to date. Though not unproductive as far as the number of buildings is concerned, this middle period shows some variations, primarily in the use and type of decoration. In the main, all seem to follow the same lines, for each of them was an off-shoot of the style developed by the earl-
ier builders.

The final phase is a period of revival, often imitating the past — the early and the middle periods. Although this period started soon after the establishment of the Arab rule from 'Uman, it was not until the middle of the nineteenth century that an active period of building began. The delay was perhaps due to some political events on the coast, as the main preoccupations were directed to empire development. However, there existed some fundamental differences between the builders and patrons, and on both sides their aesthetic taste was comparatively poor. The artistic sophistication and creative design of the past is always absent, and this could be realized if the recent work was compared with an older neighbour, perhaps one produced a hundred years ago, leave aside those of much earlier date. So much is new, and so much re-appears again and again to the present day.
1. The civil strife in ṭUmān after the death of Seif bin Sulṭān in 1711, which lasted until 1741 (i.e. when the Yorubi dynasty was ousted by that of al-Buseṣīdī) was met with a mixed reception in East Africa. Many of the ṭUmānī governors on the coast refused to acknowledge Ḥmed bin Seif and declared themselves to be independent rulers. Zanzibar however, accepted the change. This made ḤAli bin ṭUṯmān attempt to conquer the island in 1755. He succeeded to take possession of the northern part of Zanzibar but the defenders withdrew and retained the southern portion of the town. In 1784 Seif bin Ḥmed landed and captured the northern part of the peninsula. Other parts of the East African Coast were also involved in the fighting. It was until early in the nineteenth century however, that Zanzibar and Pemba appeared to have enjoyed comparative peace.
FIG. 1
Map Showing The Principal Places mentioned in the text, and the sites illustrated.
FIG. 2
Map showing the principal
Places mentioned in the text,
and the sites illustrated.
The first mosque that was erected anywhere along the East African Coast was, no doubt, built by a man who came from abroad. In the tenth century A.D. Islamic settlers were already established, though traditionally it is believed that this wave of immigrants had started as early as the eighth century\(^1\). Before this, however, the indigenous African had, as he still has today, an architecture represented by buildings of mud and wattle, devoid of ornament and decoration and suited only to the simplest needs. As a result of this, the standing mosque of the early period reflected no African influence and its forms were
1. See above, pp. 149.
similar to those of Islamic architecture outside East Africa. The similarity between the early architecture of the East African Coast and that of the Islamic World could be observed, and its origin therefore be identified on their stylistic forms alone. It is true that the mosques at the coast are much smaller in size comparing with those of other countries — due to limited wealth, human resources and material — yet certain elements common to the more sophisticated and larger mosques of major cities are also common to the lesser sophisticated mosques of the much smaller settlements. Similarly the same elements common to the early Islamic architecture may also appear in the architecture of the later mediaeval periods. As for the architectural character there are variations, because their developments are not necessarily closely related to one another, for the same themes were established in many techniques and certain countries preffered some techniques or themes to oth-
era. But if we assume that the followers of Islam did not carry a style of architecture with them to another country for the reasons that they were content to adopt that which had already been found suitable to that particular country, then the situation would be different on the East African Coast as there was no existing architecture as such which could influence that of the new-comers. Thus the builders of the mosques at the coast must have carried with them a style and knowledge of the type of mosques of their own homelands.

While humble houses may follow plans and adopt decorations of ambitious monuments which have been in use for thousand of years, so may the builder of a mosque turn back to a style or styles that emerged in the course of years. This is more so in relation to religious architecture where Muslim architects, or the men they employed evolved a scheme of building more suited to express the spirit of the new religion. Thus it
has become a tradition for a mosque builder to look for a loftier character in the earlier buildings of a religious character, and those responsible for the building of early mosques at the East African Coast are no exception to this sort of traditional rule, as a mosque has always been the important aspect of the building arts. In this way, such an established custom enabled the style of building to survive though its development depended largely on the skill and imagination of the builder.

The development in style can be best studied from the mihrab, a niche or a recess in the wall of the mosque indicating the direction of prayer. Its purpose is important, for in reciting their prayers, the worshippers arrange themselves to face the Qiblah; the wall that faces Makkah. As in the early days of Islam, the mihrab was related to the person of the Imam and sometimes of the prince, or to the place where the Prophet Muhammad used to stand and conduct prayers. Again
that same wall where a palm trunk was fixed in
the ground and served first as a pulpit (mīn
b a r) for the Prophet to stand on while adre-
ssing the congregation. As such the mihrāb acq-
quired special sanctity in the eyes of Muslims,
and therefore had varied forms of decoration be-
towed on it. It is the wall on which the chang-
ing styles of Islamic decorative art could best
be studied.

Early examples of mihrābs evidently show sim-
ple niches and it was only gradually that the mi-
hraḥ became rather a focal point of the decorat-
on of the mosque, and appropriated for itself a
symbolic theme of eschatological writing. The
exact history of its development is obscure, al-
though the mihrāb of the Dunaysir mosque of Tur-
key, built in A.D. 1200 indicates that something
profound was already involved in the decorative
art.

If one expects to find differences in the
themes of decoration from one country to the other
due to the fact that the artistic background, taste, as well as technical knowledge were so different, similarity between them would at first, seem unlikely. Yet it is striking that similarities do exist and that relationships can be established between various buildings of the Islamic World. It is even more relevant if buildings of the same period or those near to one another in date, are compared and studied, and here more attention is given to the twelfth century mosque of Kizimkazi-Dimbani, Zanzibar --- the period now under discussion. 

One of the many major themes of Islamic decoration, a theme that actually provided the basic elements for design was writing. In this, Koranic passages, inscriptions of praise and triumph, or eulogies to commemorate the name of the builder of, or the contributor to the construction of the mosque served to mark the memory of the founder, with a reverential respect in the name of Islam. Oleg Grabar writes " inscriptions could point out
1. The Early Period, twelfth century, Zanzibar and Pemba. Also see above, pp. 154.

"This soil has been watered by the tears of many great nations as well as by the "mother Wise," one of which was Silla, and it is still called the "mother of wealth". The soil has been fertilized by the sweat of many hard-working nations. Its prosperity has been due to the hard work of the people, who have built up this wealth through long years of labor."

An explanation of the inscriptions is necessary here, as the preceding was written in the style of ancient texts of the time of the Pharaohs — a form of expression which showed feelings in
the exact purpose in any given instance of plans and elements of construction which were, in different buildings, made to serve different functions, and this may in part explain the lavishness of their use, as well as their variety. Under different forms, each of the inscriptions has its own history. On the wall of the Kizimkazi-Dimbani mihrab, the twelfth century Kufic inscription (Plate 4), translated by Professor Flury reads:

"This is what has been ordered by the high and very great Sheikh As-Said Abu CImran Musa, son of Al-Hasan, son of Muhammad --- may God grant him long life and destroy his enemies --- on a Sunday in the month of Dhul Hajj in the year five hundred (corresponding to August 1107 of the Christian era).

An explanation of the inscription is necessary since its phrasing bears relationship to the style of phrases used at the time of Fatimid --- a form of expression which showed feelings in

religion, philosophy, literature; in their poetical imagery, legends, ideas as well as in their modes of life. The character of the people of that civilization is always demonstrable in their inscriptions.

Fatimid (A.D. 909-1160), was a Muslim dynasty which claimed the caliphate through descent from Fatimah, Muhammad's daughter, and hence the major Shi'ite one in Islam. It was established in Raqqadah, al-Qayrawan, in the year A.D. 909, and later the empire was extended from the Morocco of the Idrisids to the confines of Egypt, and in 972 Al-Muizz-Il-Din-Illah (Exalter of the Faith of God — 925-75), made the new City of Cairo his capital. The Shi'ites, or also known the Fatimids exercised a considerable influence on the Islamic politics and their establishment, started by Abd-Allah ibn Maimun al-Qaddah, a native of Ahwaz, in Persia, was deliberately set to challenge the religious headship represented by the 'Abbāsids of Baghdad. Since Jawhar,

In the middle history of Egypt, Hitti states that the Middle Dynasty can be described as the Aramaic-Dynastic era, for under the latter, he is the influence of Aramaic culture that is paramount. It was an era that was characterized by works of architecture and art — the time during which the famous象Amount ofEl-Ahmar can be dated.  

The structure that in general represents Egyptian influence can be seen in the text inscriptions for which Egyptian art is famous.

Deliberate imitations in the context of the context of these African art and iconography are the most revealing and the only ones of the type written in South Cemetery in the era of Middle-Bronze age. The type of script used in the inscriptions...
the general of Mu'izz, conquered Egypt and Syria from the Caliphs of Baghdad, there was an incessant struggle and anmity between the two Caliphates.

In the cultural history of Egypt, Hitti writes that the Fatimid dynasty may be described as the Arabo-Persian era, for under the Fatimids, it is the influence of Persian culture that is paramount. It was an era that was characterised by works of architecture and art --- the time during which the famous mosque of Al-Azhar was built (972); the structure that in general reveals Iranian influence and displays the bold theme of Kufic inscriptions for which Fatimid art is famous.

Dated inscriptions in the mosques at the coast of East Africa are not common: the most renowned and the only one of its type written in Kufic character is the one of Kizimkazi-Dimbani mosque. The type of script used in the inscript-

---

1. From the Callpha of Baghdad, there was an incessant struggle and anmity between the two Caliphates

2. In the cultural history of Egypt, Hitti writes that the Fatimid dynasty may be described as the Arabo-Persian era, for under the Fatimids, it is the influence of Persian culture that is paramount.

2. Ibid., Hitti, pp. 625.

* This verse is the seal-clause "gift of Helpe (hūs) / unless he were in his home the abode, / know what command / it is an ordering in our Lord's command, the Messenger of God / thus the verse but until the perfection / of the people of Waqawq, the Messenger / God will be achieved / the man bearing the sound of faith be the peer / (L.N.,) and (for a corresponding to a.d. 1963). The other day it was open, in the month here given above.
ion is completely different in kind and type from that of the Utondwe mosque, in Bagamoyo, and that of Mbomaji mosque, south of Dar es Salaam, of the Mnarani mosque of Kenya, and from the inscription on the tomb at Gedi, also in Kenya. The difference in type can be realized if the phrasing of the Kizimkazi-Dimbani inscription¹ is compared with the inscription of the Utondwe mosque which reads:

"This mosque is the munificent gift of Sultan Ali / which he gave to Sheikh Sultan ibn Sulaiman / Alawi ibn Abdullah / it is an offering to our Lord Muhammad the Messenger of God / from that very day until the perfection / of the people of Muhammad the Messenger / God shall be achieved / the date being the month of Safar in the year (A.H.) 1196² (corresponding to A.D. 1782). The other few mosques on the coast have dates alone."
1. See above, pp. 171, 172.

On the value of inscriptions for the purpose of dating, Peter Garlake seems to have formed an opinion that "the gap between style and inscription date is so wide, and the inscriptions in several cases so ill adapted to their present positions, that there is little danger of confusion in the dating." He accepts Freeman-Grenville’s assertion that the kufic inscription of Kizimkazi-Dimbani mosque is a similar case. He adds that "like other elements of this mihrab, has been reused in the eighteenth century, or even subsequent rebuildings. Once it is realized that inscribed plaques and blocks are preserved and incorporated in rebuildings several centuries later, as is so obvious in the Somali examples, all inscriptions must be used with reserve, except where it is clear that they are an integral and dominant part of their surrounds." Garlake’s reasoning on this has been influenced by Grenville who wrote earlier that "at present the proper attitude is one of scepticism. Nowhere is

2. Unpublished report in files of the Antiquities Division of Tanganyika. Also cited by P. Garlake, pp. 11.

3. Ibid., Garlake, pp. 11.

In the opinion of the writer, in the case of established Arabic inscriptions, both nonsense and Garlake's reasoning in an attempt to prove dates in the seventh century dating of the mosque is not acceptable. The other Arabic inscription which follows the a day stave (PLAT 3 and 8), as which has been said. 1961 / 2, 1962 (VTR) appears on the note which has sided narrative and enacts by demonstrating the contrast having at the mosque --- in art stylistically a valid except
this borne out more than by the mosque of Kizimkazi, Zanzibar, which possesses the only medieval inscription to furnish a date within the ambiance of Kilwa. If it could be shown that the inscription and the existing monument, or even its mihrab, are integral, Kizimkazi would be of first class importance. There are strong reasons for supposing this to be not so. The monuments of the coast, together with the pottery and porcelain found, must at present be treated with greatest reserve.

In the opinion of the writer, in the case of Kizimkazi-Dimbani Kufic inscription, both Grenville's and Garlake's reasoning in an attempt to throw doubt on the twelfth century dating of the mosque is not acceptable. The other kufic inscription which follows the a pse curve (PLATE 2 and 3), on which the date A.H. 1184 / A.D. 1772/3 appears —— the date which has misled Grenville and Garlake in determining the correct dating of the mosque —— is not stylistically a kufic script
1. Freeman-Grenville, The Medieval History of the Coast of Tanganyika, pp. 11
which can be related to any other form of kufic script at any period. It is very probable that a conscious effort had been made by the latter calligrapher to maintain an earlier style of the twelfth century kufic which was already incorporated to decorate the qiblah wall. Stylistically the twelfth century Kizimkazi-Dimbani kufic was of a type widely used during the eleventh and twelfth centuries respectively — and rarely during the thirteenth century — in many countries such as Iran, Turkey, Egypt, Iraq, Syria, Afghanistan, as well as in the Islamic buildings of the U.S.S.R. It was most commonly and constantly used by calligraphers as a motif in which decoration and architecture were blended, as in the case of Kizimkazi-Dimbani mosque. Many examples of this style of twelfth century kufic script, contemporary to Kizimkazi, can be given. For instance, such type of kufic script appears at Bistam, Iran, on the tomb tower of a saint, Bayazid al-Bistami, now a centre of pilgrimages. The tomb is dated by an
1. See Figure 184, Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar, *Islamic Architecture And its Decoration,*
inscription from round about 1120 A.D. Similarly the same type of kufic lettering decorates the mihrab mosque of Gulpaygan\(^1\), Iran, and it belongs to the early twelfth century. Above the main entrance archway to the Great Diyarbakr mosque\(^2\), in Turkey, such type of kufic writing dated twelfth century is displayed, although the mosque is of the early Islamic Syrian type. The tower inscribed with the name of Mas\(\text{\c{u}}\)d III at Ghazni, in Afghanistan — better known as the Tower of Victory\(^3\) — decorated with magnificent stucco, is ornamented with typical twelfth century type of kufic with a date A.D. 1089–1115. Again the minaret of Jam\(^4\), in Afghanistan, with Koranic quotations showing that it was a commemorative monument of some sort, also common in towers in eastern Iran\(^5\); a minaret which is datable by its inscription between 1153 and 1203 A.D. exhibits the same type of twelfth century kufic. Similarly, the minaret of the Jāmī\(^6\)\(^1\) mosque of Aleppo\(^6\), in Syria,
1. See Fig. 284, Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar, *Islamic Architecture And Its Decoration*.

2. Fig. 385, Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.

3. Figs. 147, 149, 150, Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar. Also see Illustration 52, Talbot Rice, *Islamic Art*, pp. 58.

4. Fig. 151, Cf., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.


6. Fig. 518, Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.
which belongs to the eleventh century — and the earliest monument of Seljuq architecture in Syria — has kufic inscription of similar type. Likewise, the southern mausoleum built for Jalal ad-din-al-Husayn in Ferghanah in the city of Uzgend, U.S.S.R., dated A.D. 1187, which Oleg Grabar considers it to provide one of the richest dictionaries of twelfth century decorative motifs in Central Asia², holds the same type of Kufic lettering that covers considerable space decoratively, on the inside arch at the entrance to the mausoleum, and on the pillars as well as on the entrance porch facade. In Egypt too, the famous mosques built by the Fatimids, display similar kufic lettering. For instance, the facade of the mosque of al-Hakim³, second in age to that of al-Azhar, built between 990 and 1013, and the mihrab of al-Afdal⁴ in the mosque of Ibn-Tulun, Cairo, one of the fine example of the art of that period, are two good examples of mosques decorated by the twelfth century style of bold angular kufic. Not
1. Figs. 116 and 118, Cf., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.


3. See Fig. 86, Talbot Rice, Islamic Art, pp. 88.

4. Ibid., Talbot Rice, Fig. 88, pp. 89.
only buildings, but pottery too was influenced by twelfth century kufic style as a theme of decoration engraved on them. Bronze trays of the Seljuq time in Persia, were decorated with similar type of Kufic. The silver dish made for the Seljuq Sultan, Alp Arslan, in the year 1066 A.D., is characteristic of Kizimkazi-Dimbani kufic.

These are some of the examples of Kufic script typical of that period; hence it is no accident that the same style of lettering belonging to the late eleventh century and to most of the twelfth century also decorate the Kizimkazi-Dimbani mosque. Though it originated in the city of al-Kufah, in Mesopotamia, Iraq, it was later carried to many parts of the Islamic World, where it underwent a sort of an independent development, producing a slight variety in type that is hardly noticeable. But though the lettering was employed earlier, for instance on a tombstone found in the cemetery of Old Cairo, dated by a kufic inscription as A.H. 31 / A.D. 651-2, now in the Arab Museum
1. Fig. 71, Cf., Talbot Rice, Islamic Art, pp. 74.

2. Illustration 99, Ibid., Talbot Rice, pp. 102.


Each more of the aliphs sought to decorate different mosques of the Islamic world, the Khitanpal-Sultan aliphs and aliphs as decorated with names, as many other aliphs at the Kast Aflainlau (Sultan). Many of these names are simple and others have complicated geometric designs. In connection with the use of Khitanpal-Sultan aliphs, Peter Garnett writes: "In the very late eighteenth century, the fourth door of the mosque of Khitanpal, Vedone, has tiny doors with the same design, while the rear wall of the
of Cairo, by the end of the twelfth century, it was transformed into the round "naskhi script" in distinction to the angular form. The latter kufic was commonly and freely used with very fine and delicate effect during the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries on buildings, on woven textiles, as well as on miniature paintings, an example of which could be found in the painting entitled "The Bier Of The Great Iskandar" painted in Tabriz, Iran, 1330-1336, in the Shah-nameh of Firdawsi.

Like most of the mihrab arches of different mosques of the Islamic World, the Kizimkazi-Dimbani mihrab is decorated with bosses, as many other mihrabs of the East African Coast. Many of these bosses are simple and others have complicated geometric designs. In connection with the one of Kizimkazi-Dimbani mosque Peter Garlake writes "In the very late eighteenth century, the south door of the mosque of Kilindini, Mombasa, has tiny bosses with the same design while the bosses of the
1. The painting now belongs to the Freer Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C.
late eighteenth century reconstruction of the mosque at Kizimkazi, Zanzibar, and the somewhat earlier Jamia of Chwaka on Pemba, have a scalloped edge to their designs of concentric circles"\(^1\).

If Peter Garlake formed his opinion on the origin of the construction of the Kizimkazi-Dimbani bosses from the supposedly date of reconstruction of the mihrāb as A.H. 1184 / A.D. 1773, (and there is no reason to believe otherwise), then his statement needs correction. The date, meaning, date, year 1184 (which corresponds to A.D. 1773), appears isolated and is expressed in Arabic numerals as opposed to the twelfth century kufic which is datable by letters and are decoratively integrated with the rest of the inscription as "On a Sunday, in the month of Dhul Hajj in the year five hundred". The system of dating by numerals is definitely not a medieval method of recording dates on mihrābs: hence it is neither related to the celebrated twelfth century kufic inscription nor to the inscription of the latter
period, which is an imitation of the earlier style\textsuperscript{1}. It is most probable that the 1184 A.H. numerical date was incised many years later since it is a fairly recent and unsophisticated method of dating. It may or it may not be necessarily a recording inscription of reconstruction of the mihrab. It is very likely that the space on which the date 1184 A.H. now occupies was somehow damaged and that it was originally holding kufic script similar in type and design to the rest of the second kufic inscription curved on the apse curve. To make the space look similar in appearance with the one at the opposite side of the mihrab — the space which now holds kufic script (of the latter period) — the person responsible for the 1184 A.H. dating had simply cut into the wet plaster with a sharp instrument to write the date, merely for the purpose of filling up the space. It is tempting to relate the quality of this particular dating to the sort of meaningless sgraffito found on historical monuments, for inst-
1. See above, pp. 181, 185.

would also be that
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the making of the said instrumentation of the
the data states in one of our philistine,
so very different between subjective and current.
more could appear to be significant, and show
the accord with these conclusions of notorious
that we would answer them a skilled atonement.

The history of the origin of these is rather
a question, though it is evident that they were
adore to builders of early times, as they were
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they were also applied in the classic century pottery
of piece, hence no historic wife was* as would be
concession, and usually employed on ancient buildings
— a partly as slight pottery and, in the present
or that — no columns, bases, arches, thresholds, noon
as well as an adjective as applied decoration.
1. See above, pp. 181, 183.
ance on pyramid walls, or it could also be that the man who was repairing the broken piece of stone was working on the rigid instruction of the shaikh whose attitude to art was philistine. The very difference between sgraffito and carved masonry would appear to be significant, and does not accord with those standards of workmanship which one would expect from a skilled craftsman.

The history of the origin of bosses is rather obscure, though it is evident that they were employed by builders of early times, as they could be seen on the walls of the Ajanta caves of India (200 B.C. and A.D. 700), and on the sculptured entrance to the ancient Buddhist temples of Mohamoggallana and Sariputa, at Sanchi. They were also applied on the ninth century pottery of Susa, known as Barbotine ware as moulded decoration, and widely employed on Islamic buildings --- as early as eighth century A.D. to the present time --- on palaces, tombs, minarets, mausoleums as well as on mihrabs as applied decoration.
The word philistinic is used here to mean ignorance, devoid of culture, and indifferent to art.

Talbot Rice, Islamic Art, pp. 37.
But not all mihrabs have them. It seems that the common practice was — and still is — that either the mihrab was decorated with bosses at the time of its construction or never, but not as a motif that was added to the mihrab at a later date.

The coral bosses at Kizimkazi-Dimbani mihrab are not similar in design to the ones at the mihrab of the Jamii Mosque of Chwaka, in Pemba, as Garlake seems to have thought. The Kizimkazi-Dimbani coral bosses are unique, both in type and purpose, because they were specifically designed to take a kufic inscription; thus it is the only one of its kind on the whole of the East African Coast. The inscription on the boss (left side of the mihrab) has been deciphered, and appears for the first time in this thesis. Previous to this, only the word سلم had been identified with clarity, but the rest of the inscription was considered impossible to decipher. So, until the present work, the reading of the inscription is not contained in any previous publication.

2. In identifying the inscription, contacts have been made to scholars of Arabic language and literature before confirmation.

3. Flury, The Kufic Inscription of Kizimkazi Mosque, pp. 258
The inscription on the bosses appears to have been written in pseudo-kufic character. The reason that the twelfth century kufic style had not been employed was probably due to difficulty in maintaining the complex character of the kufic on a circular form and above all, on a very small scale where an exceptionally high skill would be required. But even in pseudo-kufic, a calligrapher would have difficulty in keeping the letters consistent in character and arrangement throughout the entire circle and in avoiding the irregularities of spacing the letters. The inscription runs round the concentric circles in an anti-clockwise movement. But the calligrapher employed a very complex method of making the top of the letters face the outer circle, whereas it would have been simpler if he had instead made the top of the letters face the inner circle in a clock-wise movement. So, to avoid the possible disadvantage of having an uneven space left over within the
circle, he tried as much as possible to re-arrange some letters, and sometimes the whole word in different directions in order to maintain uniformity; thus making the inscription extremely difficult to read. For instance the word سلم, "s a l i m a ", had been placed obliquely so as to leave no uninteresting space in relationship with the word that comes after it, عمره, "u m r a h u", which is properly displayed. And the Arabic letter " r a ", (r), in the word عمره, which comes after "m i m ", (m), had been elongated so as to provide a diagonal line that nearly touches the edges of the two concentric circles for decorative reason. In this way the letters were bound to change appearance and to remain obscure even to the eyes of a keen observer. This diagnosis is in the main based on aesthetic consideration.

Although the whole inscription on the boss is difficult to read, the words عمره "u m r a h u " and على الحرى سلم "مأـالـأـIđā salima ", 
meaning, in accordance with this particular context "may his life be safe and him be victorious upon his enemies" can, however, be read with some degree of clarity. The inscription (FIGS. 3 & 4) contains about seven words, of which three are almost obliterated and virtually impossible to decipher, in comparison with the long twelfth century kufic inscription, which consists of some forty seven words. The three undecipherable words are in between (which is most likely a starting point) and the words which are in a good state of preservation. The translation "may his life be safe and him be victorious upon his enemies" is considered by the author to be a more correct interpretation as the word, (a preposition) which comes after the three obliterated words, would suggest, in this particular position — that it is employed to express invocation, as to enable 'him' (the sheikh) to be victorious upon his enemies.
The inscription on the boss holds the two essential words which are contained in the other long inscription, namely (1) may his life be safe, and (2) enemies; presumably to destroy his enemies and to be victorious upon them.

The inscription however, does not include the name Abu ʿImran Musā and the date A.H. 500. Judging from this, the inference to be made — apart from the obvious reason that the essence of the long twelfth century kufic inscription is contained in the inscription on the bosses — is that both inscriptions were incorporated on the miḥrab at the time of its construction. It is therefore reasonable to conclude that there was no apparent reason for recording the name of the founder and the date of the building twice on the same qiblah. And since there is strong reason to assume that the two inscriptions have common relationships, and that they were incorporated on the miḥrab at the same time; and that since the main inscription is dated A.H. 500 / A.D. 1107; and considering that
it is also customary that bosses are incorporated on mihrabs at the time of their construction, it is more than probable that the Kizimkazi-Dimbani mosque was built in A.H. 500

Like many other Islamic buildings of the early times, writing on qiblah walls, was not the only theme of decoration. There were several other themes of ornament, and in most cases, the combination of two or more of these elements produced the best result. On Kizimkazi-Dimbani mihrab, the very same elements, such as the impressive kufic letterings, mouldings, and pilasters, which were meant to be purely decorative, were used in ornamental compositions. The circular bosses of coral, placed immediately beneath the long twelfth century kufic inscription (PLATE 4)—twelve in all, six on each side of the mihrab (FIG. 5)—fill up the space between the interlaced trifoliate arches formed by the rising double cable patterns, in order to give a sense of richness to the wall. The circular bosses, 10 cm. in diameter, are div-
ided into sixteen palmettes. The two coral bosses on either side of the mihrab arch have a similar number of palmettes (16), but they are arranged along the circumference, leaving the space between two concentric circles to take an inscription, instead of pointing inwards from the circumference. Whether the number of palmettes has any significance appears to be uncertain, but from a study of other buildings, it is clear that circular bosses of this sort are very rare. The ones close to Kizimkazi-Dimbani type, similar in form and design, and which have exactly the same number of palmettes are to be found on the entrance to the Mama Hatun türbesi, a mausoleum, in Tercan, Turkey, built in A.D. 1200. The circular bosses which decorate the facade of the Sitte Melik Türbe, in Divrig, Turkey, built in A.D. 1196 are similar in design to those of Kizimkazi-Dimbani. And so are the ones on the carved stone facade of the Halifet Gazi türbesi, built for a Wazir (Vizier) of the Danishmend dynasty of Turkey. It is
1. See above, pp. 199.

2. Fig. 346, Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar, *Islamic Architecture And Its Decoration.*

3. Fig. 495, Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.

4. Fig. 352, Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.
dated around A.D. 1142-6. The only difference is that the Kizimkazi-Dimbani circular bosses are flatly incorporated on the wall -- though they stand high in relief -- while those in Turkey are characteristic of their concave form.

The double cable motifs (FIG. 5, moulded plaster) on both sides of the mihrab are designed to form delicate trefoils which echo the arch of the mihrab proper, and which, itself is trefoliate. A conscious effort however, has been made to show the difference between trefoils on the right side of the mihrab and those on the left side. Here an explanation is all the more necessary since in Islam the right hand is considered sacred, as Surah (revelation, chapter) Al-Ins h i q a q (The Rendering Asunder), shows in verses 7, 8, & 9, when it reads "He that is given his book in his right hand shall have a lenient reckoning and will go back rejoicing to his people", or as shown in Surah Al-H a d i d (The Iron) verse 12, "The day thou shalt see the faithful
FIG. 5 KIZIMKAZI, ZANZIBAR.
1. Chapter LXXXIV, revealed at Makkah.

2. Chapter LVII, revealed at Madīnah.

Vowels which flow smooth, to unite thereby what is the great accomplishment, and the right hand has also been mentioned in which \( x = x = d \) \( x = a = a \) \( x = d \). The Aligned One \( y \) is made Timeless, or \( x = y = x = x = x \), \( x = x = x \), \( x = x = x \), \( x = x = x \), \( x = x = x \), \( x = x = x \). Those on the right hand will be made gardens, and the immortal share has brought you into Hell + . Now on the seventh century leaving inscriptions which is placed on the right side of the pyramids is found

The pavement is of hard floated which appear as the lower part of the Hittite-Phoenician script ('', ' ', ' ', ' ', ' ', ' '). More inside the lower part inscription, and more specifically for descriptive as well as the conventional purpose it unique to the whole sense of that lineage. The script's
men and faithful women, with their light running before them, and on their right hand (shall be given) glad tidings for you of that day Gardens 'neath which flow rivers, to abide therein; that is the great achievement". And the right hand has also been mentioned in Surah Al-Muddassir \(^1\) (The Cloaked One) to mean Heavens, as in verses 39, 40, 41, & 42, which read "Those on the right hand will in their gardens\(^2\) ask the sinners: What has brought you into Hell?". Hence the twelfth century kufic inscription which is placed on the right side of the miḥrāb is Koranic, and therefore of religious significance, and the one on the left side of the miḥrāb is historic and secular.

The remarkable use of coral shafts which appear on the lower part of the Kizimkazi-Dimbani miḥrāb (PLATE 2), just below the latter kufic inscription, and made specifically for decorative as well as for constructional purposes is unique at the entire coast of East Africa. The shafts
1. Chapter LXXXIV, revealed at Makkah.

2. Gardens in this context means Heavens.
are systematically and sensitively plaited with cable strands running diagonally from top to bottom, in a clock-wise spiral, and equally repeated on all other coral shafts. They are unique because the shafts which decorate many mihrabs of the coast have cable strands arranged in an entirely different way; with a sort of herring-bone pattern, and that the quality of their workmanship is inferior to that of Kizimkazi-Dimbani.

Their introduction was not merely to enhance the general appearance of the mihrab, but also to provide an interesting texture on the wall and to describe the forms on each separate surface. Examples of similar cable patterns can be found in Iran, Turkey, Afghanistan, Syria, and U.S.S.R. In Iran, cable pattern of that order is found on the entrance facade to the Blue Mosque¹, in Tabriz, built in A.D. 1465; and also on the outline of the mihrab of the Village Mosque², in Ashtaran, Iran, dated around A.D. 1315-16. With no difference at all, cable patterns of that sort are
1. See Fig. 217, Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar, *Islamic Architecture and its Decoration.*

2. Fig. 302, Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.

The stucco panels are actually adorned with floral designs, they appear on the Eastern shrine of the Madrasa al-Hasan Parsa, built after 430H, 1040 AD, in Isfahan, Iran. In Aleppo, Syria, similar type of cable elements are carved on the facade of the main entrance to the Sassanian mosque, dated 629H, 1231 AD, a structure that exhibits the style of the late Sassanian period that was inspired from Egypt. Such decorative patterns are also to be found on the Islamic buildings of the 12th-13th century.

For instance on the main entrance arch to the Great Bath mosaic, in Balhara, built in 497 AH (though restored in 640 AH), and also on the main entrance to the baths, with the same west wing baghdad — but only one of them still — begun in 430H, 1040 AD, and located on the western palace of Nadir Shah (Tamerlane).
carved on two shafts — one on each side — to the entrance of the Kilzar tomb\(^1\), built in the mid. fifteenth century. It is a famous tomb in Turkey. With the same precision, although here the strands are actually adorned with floral design, they appear on the decorated shrine of Khwajah Abu Nasr Parsa\(^2\), built after A.D. 1460, in Balkh, Turkey. In Aleppo, Syria, similar type of cable strands are carved on the facade at the main entrance to the al-Utrush mosque\(^3\), dated A.D. 1403, a structure that exhibits the style of the late Mamluk period that was inspired from Egypt. Such rare cable patterns are also to be found on the Islamic buildings of the U.S.S.R., for instance on the main entrance arch to the Ulugh beg madrasah\(^4\), in Bukhara, built in A.D. 1417 (though restored in A.D. 1586); and also on the main entrance to the madrasah, with the same name Ulugh beg\(^5\) — but this one at Samarkand — begun in A.D. 1420; and lastly on the arched gateway of Akserai palace\(^6\) of Timur Lang (Tamerlane),
1. Fig. 449, Cf., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.

2. See Figs. 164, 165, Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.

3. Fig. 522, Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.

4. Fig. 17, Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.

5. Fig. 62, Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.

6. Figs. 92, 97, 96, Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.
1. Fig. 449, Cf., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.

2. See Figs. 164, 165, Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.

3. Fig. 522, Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.

4. Fig. 17, Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.

5. Fig. 62, Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.

6. Figs. 92, 97, 96, Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar.
in Shahr-i Sabz, built around the fourteenth century — a construction that reveals much of the influence of the Ilkhanid buildings of north-western Iran.

Although the exact history of the development of mihrāb is rather obscure, it is quite evident however, that by the tenth century the mihrāb consisted of a central arched area, and that a frame was already provided for the arch, --- a frame which consisted of bands of different widths with decorative embellishments to fill in the spandrels. This rapidly and consistently became a standard feature of all mihrābs, and obviously like the Kizimkazi-Dimbani mosque, its mihrāb arch is contained within the rectangular frame. The frame, whether used with a simple or complex combinations of planes is necessary for the designer in enabling him to give grace and excitement to the wall which would otherwise be bare and empty. So the mihrāb arch is always closely connected with its framework from the time
the qiblah wall is built; and, in most cases, once it has been built, any future reconstruction will have to conform to the original structure; a tradition which has been created by the complexity of the Islamic culture and philosophy.

Just as there are endless variety of mihrab arches, all over the Islamic World, so it is also true that the developments of mihrabs on the East African Coast are not always closely similar to one another in style and technique; and the point to be made on the Kizimkazi-Dimbani mihrab, for instance, is that the niches which are made of fine coral shows a superb expertness in the art of carving. On this mihrab, Peter Garlake points out that "the niches of the upper order are of fine coral, showing an extraordinary dexterity in carving. The arch spandrels of the small niches are cut from single panels of coral, only 2 cms. thick. These delicate little coral panels with foliate arches, carved at a time long before the foliate arch was known in buildings of the Coast,
may be compared with the marble reliefs in the mosque of Fakhr-ad-Din, Mogadisho and the Sultans mausoleum, Kilwa. And it was these most obvious features that made this mihrāb excel over all the others on the entire Coast, and it remained as a unique example for subsequent mihrabs.
1. Peter Garlake, The Early Islamic Architecture Of The East African Coast, pp. 70.
A date about A.D. 1300 is perhaps a convenient point at which to divide 'early' from 'late' Islamic Architecture of the East African Coast for the purpose of study. On the other hand, for convenience of analysis, Peter Garlake divided the mihrabs into the early (commencing at fifteenth century), developed, and neo-classic styles, followed by simplified and derived classic.

The whole range of mosques built during the time when settlements flourished through the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries in Zanzibar and Pemba, as well as in other parts of the coast, may be studied to-day. The Portuguese invasions, which began early in the sixteenth century, almost

2. Documents left to us by Ibn-Battūta in 1331, and by other Portuguese voyagers of the early fifteenth century.
paralysed the trade and prosperity of the towns, but building works still continued, and the arrival of the Portuguese had no positive effect on the cultural life of the people. The types of decoration on the mihrab arch of this long period are closely related so that one cannot often clearly distinguish between them. All the regions share a great many fundamental decorative features that draw the whole vast area of the coast into a geographical and cultural unity — features which are often unattractive, and on the whole fail to show the elegance of style present on the Kizimkazi mihrab. In Zanzibar, the mosque of Kizimkazi-Dimbani (A.D. 1107) has already been discussed at large, and apart from this there is no other standing mosque of early date on the Island. The only mosque extant is at Tumbatu, a five-mile long island at Zanzibar's north-west tip.

On this mosque (Tumbatu — Fig. 6), Pearce writes that "the chief feature of interest in the
mosque is the range of four arched doorways in its eastern wall. These doorways gave access to a side mosque, which adjoins the main body of the large mosque. The doorways are worthy of attention, as typical of the best characteristics of the Shirazian style of architecture, and in proportion and design they would not disgrace some famous Gothic gateways of Europe. The mention of Europe reminds one of the fact that it was not until the twelfth century that Europe adopted the pointed arch from the East.

Unlike Zanzibar, Pemba contains many more ruined sites. The ruins include mosques, and in this paper the mosques of Chwaka Jamia (PLATE 9), Shengejuu (PLATE 6), and that of Ras-Mkumbuu (PLATE 5), are discussed and illustrated. Chwaka and Shengejuu lie along the eastern shore of Pemba; all three facing the open Indian Ocean. The ruins at Ras-Mkumbuu lie at the extreme point of a peninsula on the west-coast of Pemba. They were excavated by J.S. Kirkman in 1958, and include
1. Pearce, Zanzibar, the island metropolis of Eastern Africa, pp. 400.

The work of a period is not to be judged by a single building, but by the average standard. The long period of five hundred years of the century which produced one new century and forty separate works, so to speak, be expected to produce a striking evolution in style. Here, reference is given to the imaginative creation, the aesthetic experimentation and taste in the decorative arts of a single. It is remarkable that in any case, where the buildings were changed in style, these new elements are indicative of a distinct in architectural and aesthetic world. The absence of real stylistic developments should not be attributed to the lack of talent alone. In a society
a Jāmiʿi mosque, or Congregational mosque and a number of pillared tombs. Kirkman found some Islamic yellow sgraffiato, which are attributed to the late twelfth and early thirteenth century, and he assigns the date to the foundation of the settlement to be the late thirteenth or early fourteenth century.

The work of a period is not to be judged by a single building, but by the average standard. The long period of five hundred years at the coast, which produced some one hundred and forty mosques could, so to speak, be expected to produce a striking evolution in style. Here, reference is given to the imaginative creation, the aesthetic sophistication and taste in the decorative art of a mihrāb. It is remarkable that in many cases, where the buildings show changes in style, these new elements are indicative of a decline in architectural and aesthetic merit. The absence of vast stylistic developments should not be attributed to the lack of talent alone. In a society

With the constant influx of movements and migrations, and civilization coming to and the ever-varying economies of the East African Shore, it becomes very difficult to assess and to also-at the same time accurately the inventions of the excavations. Furthermore outside East Africa in Area 1, the one will see the combined influence of different...
where the art of building and its decoration is alien, any attempt to produce a new style of ornament must come from abroad. So, in this case, changes and modifications would certainly take long to develop; basic and radical changes should not often be expected. At a much later date the decline in technical and creative design is evident when the local builders of Indian origin loaded their mihrabs with foreign motifs improperly understood. Ornamental style was slow to develop with frequent throw-backs to decorative devices of earlier times.

With the constant tides of movements and settlements, and civilization coming to and fro over various centres of the East African Coast, it becomes very difficult to assess and to pinpoint with accuracy the inventors of the decorative features of the early East African Islamic architecture. Without accepting Garlake's theory that influence outside East Africa is rare, one can still see the combined influence of decorative
motifs from outside in a number of early mosques at the coast. The mihrabs decorated without outside influence, are, to give a few examples, those built after the 1850's, and are contained in the mosques at Nungwi, Bwejuu, Makunduchi, Chake-Chake, and at a number of mosques in the town areas of Zanzibar and Pemba. By comparison with the earlier mihrabs, the latter would reveal that they have not been handled with the skill, sensitivity, and aesthetic sense equal to those of the early times.

In describing the ruins of Zanzibar and Pemba, in 1920, Major Pearce, the British Resident in Zanzibar, wrote that "in classifying the ruins of Zanzibar and Pemba according to their architectural styles, we find that the oldest are the most artistic, being of Shirazian design; this architectural period was succeeded by what may be called the Arab-Shirazian epoch, which in turn gave way to the cruder Arab-African. He goes on to say that "Persian work did not long survive in Africa,
1. Shirazian denotes Shiraz, in Persia.

for it became modified by the introduction of Arab influences, both as regards design and execution. Fortunately there are examples of good Shirazian work still to be found in some of the ruins of Pemba ... The definite substitution of the South Arabian cult can be traced clearly in the architecture of the various groups of ruins in Pemba, and leaves scarcely any doubt that the original Persian Colonists were succeeded by the less highly cultured Semitic races of South Arabia. Regardless of any particular race, it will be much safer to assume that whoever succeeded the earlier builders, were people belonging to a much lesser cultured group.

Major Pearce gives a brief description of the early architectural feature of buildings in Zanzibar and Pemba — which Garlake considers to be extremely accurate — as follows:— "The chief characteristics of their architectural style are the pointed arch, the free employment of dressed limestone for the edgings of pillars and doorways,

The cornices are painted in the characteristic Zanzibar style, with rectangular windows and the pierced and divided systems—a very distinctive feature. It may be noted also that the stone courses and cornices of their doorways and arches are invariably cut at about this right angle—generally 25°. Apart from these typical characteristics, the refinement of design makes the building work as different from all other styles met with in buildings in East Africa.

From references made to the last African Janet by Arab travellers, the elements of which have been dealt with in the first chapter of this volume, the reader will be reminded of the trading-centres established at these ports. During the fourteenth century, the trading-stations became the principal bases of commerce and communication, and this probably included more than the coast of East Africa. It would be well also to note that the
the utilization of squared roof and floor beams, the rectangular wall-recess as distinct from the rounded or pointed recesses of the Arabian style, the rectangular window, and the peaked and divided keystone — a very distinctive feature. It may be noted also that the stone courses and moldings of their doorways and arches are invariably cut at less than a right angle — generally 85°. Apart from these typical characteristics, the refinement of design marks the Shirasian work as different from all other styles met with in buildings in East Africa.

From references made to the East African Coast by Arab travellers, the accounts of which have been dealt with in the first chapter of this thesis, the reader will be reminded of the trading-centres established on the coast. During the fourteenth century, the trading-stations became the substantial abodes of merchants and communities, and this possibly included more than one race of immigrants. It could as well have been that the
Indians had trade connection with the coast as early as the Arabs did. We know to-day that where there were Arabs, there were also Indians. But what we know for certain is that from early times, Indian ships brought merchandise from the ports of Gujerat to the shores of the Gulf of Arabia.

In this connection R. Coupland writes "Much of the Ocean-shipping was Indian-owned; and since Arabs in general seem never to have shown much aptitude for the technique of business, it is probable that the Indians were from the earliest days what they still are in East Africa — the masters of finance, the bankers and money-changers and money-lenders.".

Early in the sixteenth centuries Portuguese travellers tell us that Zanzibar and Pemba used to buy silks and cottons from the merchants of Cambay resident in Mombasa. Major Pearce writes that in 1591 Captain Lancaster noticed during his stay in Zanzibar harbour that vessels arrived from
R. Coupland, *East Africa and its Invaders*, pp. 27.

1. R. Coupland, *East Africa and its Invaders*, pp. 27.

... they are clad in very fine silk and cotton goods, which they purchase at Zanzibar from the

... and many jare-

... of fine cotton work, silver beads in rolls, beads, anshanas, beads, and bracelets, and

... In good ships presents? 4. Visiting Indians he tells the same story. He speaks of

... a place of great warfare, and for a great duration, in which are always sacred spots of many kinds and also great live, both of these weigh more than two fish and there which go, see there, and others which come from the great kingdom of

... others which will be the Isles of Familiar.

Undoubtedly, then, by the early nineteenth century, the East African coast has been fascinating with ancient tradition making him vivid. From the sub-

... nature of the people, it is fair and reason-
Indian Ports. Duarte Barbosa visiting Pemba, Mafia, and Zanzibar, in A.D. 1512, writes that "the Kings of these Isles live in great luxury; they are clad in very fine silk and cotton garments, which they purchase at Mombasa from the Cambaya merchants. The women wear many jewels of fine Cofala gold, silver too in plenty, earings, necklaces, bangles, and bracelets, and they go clad in good silk garments." Visiting Mombasa he tells the same story. He speaks of it as "a place of great traffic, and has a good harbour, in which are always moored craft of many kinds and also great ships, both of those which come from Cofala and those which go thither, and others which come from the great Kingdom of Cambaya, others which sail to the Isles of Zanzibar."

Evidently, then, by the early sixteenth century, the East African Coast had been flourishing when Duarte Barbosa made his visit. From the substantial nature of the towns, it is fair and reas-


3. Ibid., The Book of Duarte Barbosa, pp. 6-29.

In the following months of January, we also find certain that the Indians for a Rezaia and their modern families and chiefs as far as they have been observed. We also find out that during the reign of Rezaia in the fifth month (1573-1586), Indians were known to building activities, and that the state of the three states of the Island was in better shape. In 1575, in Seville alone, Indian possessions, containing both Muslim and Christian, consisted about 12,000 souls. Major Pearce argues that in 1575, many of the Indians in Seville were very efficient and were all born from whites and native, and the wealth or colonization of Seville was very large.
unable to assume that the trade was of no mushroom growth, but must have been going on for some many years back. And since that the trade from Cambay was part and parcel of it, the only logical inference one can draw is that the Indians represented a trading activity of many years, if not centuries.

In the building works of to-day, we know for certain that the Indian is a familiar figure in modern Zanzibar and Pemba as far as stone constructions are concerned. We also know for certain that during the reign of Seyyid Sa'id bin Sultan (1804-1856), Indians were involved in building activities, and that the whole of the local trade of the Islands was in their hands. By 1919, in Zanzibar alone, Indian Communities, comprising both Muslims and Hindus, numbered about 10,000 souls. Major Pearce reports that in 1919, many of the Indians in Zanzibar were wealthy and nearly all came from Cutch and Cambay, and few speak or understand Hindustani. Like the Arabs of

2. Ibid., Pearce, pp. 254.
to-day, the Indians regard Zanzibar as their permanent home, and speak Swahili rather than Gutchi. This was true in 1919, when Major Pearce observed the same thing. But even at a much earlier date Duarte Barbosa in A.D. 1512, says that "some of the Moors speak Arabic but the more part use the language of the country". Although little detailed knowledge has survived, concerning the manner in which these Asiatic people lived and grew through the Middle Ages, one would reasonably assume that the Immigrants have, since a long time, established together a settlement throughout the coast, of which they had become natives.

Unlike the Indian, the Arab of to-day in Zanzibar and Pemba, and most probably that of a hundred years ago, has never involved himself in the work of building. Here the word Arab, obviously refers to those from Ṣūmān, and from the Southern Coast of Arabia, the S catalogs and Mafazis, living at the Coast. The East African Arab showed no interest or aptitude for the art of build-
1. The Book of Duarte Barbosa, vol. i, pp. 6-29.

No one can assume that even at an earlier date, the book had to depend very largely on indirect writing, which was to carry out architectural practice. The collaboration in basic types of the situation until the Italian of the last century was underlining the building itself as involuntary, and insisting also that the building before him was a structure in a restricted condition. Considering that there are or radical or fundamental changes in the architecture of the house, the given exception seems to explain the similar ethical nature of style and decoration, as the professor states that the power has moved advances and experiences. This point is essential to explain the fact that such a structure was following a trend of building preserved before him as an essentially traditional vehicle.

A study of examples of this period would reveal the presence in architectural style between...
ing, and such a trade, therefore, was confined to the Indian alone.

So, one may assume that even at an earlier date, the Arab had to depend very largely on Indian building craftsmen to carry out architectural ventures. This consideration is based upon the assumption that the Indian of the last century was undertaking the building trade as hereditary, and assuming also that the builder before him was a craftsman in a codified tradition. Considering that there are no radical or fundamental changes in the architecture of the coast, the given assumption seems to explain the rather static nature of style and decoration, as the craftsman alone lacks the power for basic advances and experiments. This point is emphasized to explain the fact that such a craftsman was following a trend of building present before him on completely traditional methods.

A study of mosques of this period would reveal the contrast in architectural style between
the earlier mosque of Chwaka Jamia (PLATE 9) and that of Msuka Mjini (PLATE 16), which belongs to a later period. The difference between the two appears at once. The ignorance of the structural and decorative methods of the later coastal builder, whose sense for detail and capacity for harmonizing the various parts of design into a united whole reveals his individuality as a better designer. Here it is possible to trace the foreign influence of the decorative elements from outside East Africa when they were still fresh — though not outstanding in creative design — and how these elements were gradually diluted and gave way to the crude traditional taste.

In seeking the origins of the architecture belonging to this middle period at the coast, the best method of analysis is, perhaps, ably suggested by Garlake, who writes that "it is only by an exhaustive comparison of the most minor elements, by isolating each feature of decoration, plan and construction and then tracing the combinat-
ions and composition of each separate item as they are joined to form larger and broader design entities, that a sequence of development is traceable. This method which may be called the 'selective stylistic framework' of the decorative elements of mihrabs, will result into ultimate groupings — groups which have been divided according to their differences in the formation and shapes of their respective mihrabs and differences of their minor decorative details. Throughout such an analysis, the basic importance should be placed on selecting those groups of mihrabs which have common similarities.

In Pemba, an analysis of this sort reveals that the mihrabs of Chwaka Jamia and that of Ras-Mkumbuu, the only two mihrabs which form a single group, are strikingly different from other mihrabs such as that of Shengejuu, Kichokochwe, Chaoni, that on Fundo Island, Kiwani, and Mtangani. The latter mihrabs form groups which have similarities, and considering their aesthetic qualities,

The reason for the absence of ornamental decoration on the exterior of these buildings is that the architects and builders did not have the time to develop or perfect techniques for the application of decorative elements. Consequently, the buildings of the early Islamic period lack the ornamentation that characterizes later Islamic architecture. The lack of decorative elements is evident in the facade of the Al-Hind mosque, which is characterized by its simplicity and lack of ornamentation.

However, the absence of decorative elements does not mean that the architects and builders were not aware of the importance of ornamentation. In fact, the lack of decorative elements is a result of the practical constraints that the builders faced. The builders were more concerned with the structural integrity of the buildings than with the aesthetic appeal.

The absence of decorative elements is also a result of the cultural context in which the buildings were constructed. The Islamic cultural context was characterized by a preference for simplicity and functionality. This preference for simplicity and functionality is evident in the design of the buildings.

The absence of decorative elements is also a result of the economic constraints that the builders faced. The builders were more concerned with the cost of construction than with the aesthetic appeal of the buildings.

The lack of decorative elements is also a result of the technological limitations that the builders faced. The builders were not able to develop or perfect techniques for the application of decorative elements.

The absence of decorative elements is also a result of the political context in which the buildings were constructed. The buildings were constructed during a period of political instability, and the builders were more concerned with the security of the buildings than with the aesthetic appeal.

The absence of decorative elements is also a result of the social context in which the buildings were constructed. The buildings were constructed during a period of social unrest, and the builders were more concerned with the safety of the buildings than with the aesthetic appeal.

The absence of decorative elements is also a result of the environmental context in which the buildings were constructed. The buildings were constructed during a period of environmental instability, and the builders were more concerned with the sustainability of the buildings than with the aesthetic appeal.

The absence of decorative elements is also a result of the technological limitations that the builders faced. The builders were not able to develop or perfect techniques for the application of decorative elements.

The absence of decorative elements is also a result of the economic constraints that the builders faced. The builders were more concerned with the cost of construction than with the aesthetic appeal of the buildings.

The absence of decorative elements is also a result of the political context in which the buildings were constructed. The buildings were constructed during a period of political instability, and the builders were more concerned with the security of the buildings than with the aesthetic appeal.

The absence of decorative elements is also a result of the social context in which the buildings were constructed. The buildings were constructed during a period of social unrest, and the builders were more concerned with the safety of the buildings than with the aesthetic appeal.

The absence of decorative elements is also a result of the environmental context in which the buildings were constructed. The buildings were constructed during a period of environmental instability, and the builders were more concerned with the sustainability of the buildings than with the aesthetic appeal.

The absence of decorative elements is also a result of the technological limitations that the builders faced. The builders were not able to develop or perfect techniques for the application of decorative elements.

The absence of decorative elements is also a result of the economic constraints that the builders faced. The builders were more concerned with the cost of construction than with the aesthetic appeal of the buildings.

The absence of decorative elements is also a result of the political context in which the buildings were constructed. The buildings were constructed during a period of political instability, and the builders were more concerned with the security of the buildings than with the aesthetic appeal.

The absence of decorative elements is also a result of the social context in which the buildings were constructed. The buildings were constructed during a period of social unrest, and the builders were more concerned with the safety of the buildings than with the aesthetic appeal.

The absence of decorative elements is also a result of the environmental context in which the buildings were constructed. The buildings were constructed during a period of environmental instability, and the builders were more concerned with the sustainability of the buildings than with the aesthetic appeal.
they fall far short of Chwaka Jania and Ras-Mkumbuu. The study of these groups which have common factors in their subsequent deterioration of technical and aesthetic standards, therefore, show a clear chronology of traditional East African architecture and its decoration. Conversely, the Chwaka Jania and Ras-Mkumbuu mihrabs with their elaborate decorative embellishments exhibit a higher standard of technical skill and a feeling for decorative style, consequently they are not likely to be the work of a local craftsman. The only explanation here, then, would be that since a local craftsman lived in a society where the art of building was still in its infancy, and where he was likely to be faced with limitations in the constructional techniques and the knowledge of applying the creative design into architecture, he would be unlikely to produce results better than those which could only be produced by an artisan of higher experience, such as an artisan from a country where architecture achieved a more profound expre-
ssion. The conclusion is that the mihrabs of Chwaka Jamia and Ras-Mkumbuu are unique and likely to be the products of foreign builders, and that they belong to an earlier date than the others. This theory should not exclude the possibilities that mosques other than the two mentioned were built by outside builders, but also that the outside influence gradually became increasingly rare.

The only legitimate approach to seek the origins of the architecture of Zanzibar and Pemba of this middle period would be, then, to study the decorative motifs manifest in the mihrabs of Chwaka Jamia and Ras-Mkumbuu — mainly because these mihrabs are likely to have outside influences more than the others, insofar that they were built at the beginning of this period. Peter Garlake explains that "in no one region of the Near East are there sufficiently numerous or detailed parallels to enable clear conclusions on the origins to be confirmed without doubt". This is

Since we are dealing with mosques belonging to the middle period of the coast, for the sake of comparisons, it would be proper to compare them with the architecture of the medieval period outside East Africa. This is a more logical approach since the builders of the East African mosques were likely to be following the current styles of architecture of their own Islamic countries. But al-}

...
very true, but one should not expect to find resemblances of decorative motifs, for instance, between the East African and the Near Eastern to be very close. The reasons for this have been given at large at the beginning of this chapter. The early mosques of the coast are insignificant if compared with those of the other parts of the Islamic World; mosques which have been master-planned by noted architects, and ornamented by competent designers, and financed by wealthy and renowned Caliphs and Sultans.

Since we are dealing with mihrabs belonging to the middle period at the coast, for the sake of comparison, it would be proper to compare them with the architecture of the medieval period outside East Africa. This is a more logical approach since the builders of the East African mosques were likely to be following the current styles of architecture of their own home countries. But since the standard and quality of the medieval architectural styles of the major cities from abroad
1. See above, pp. 143-154.

The case of the South African Cranes, the most notable example in that area, shows that in those conditions of war and travel, there was no clear distinction between the different groups of animals. This is not as easy to understand as the provincial cartulary collection at Tbilis as insufficiently documented.

Of all the animals of war, the horse (almost 50%) involves at the present remains numerous, in contrast, the more elaborate example of all the animals in the island. Most of the species (about 20% of the total) have been under considerable contemplation since 14. The general survey of the field and art has developed into an independent study of natural history. This characterization of Italian architecture is supported by the treatment of the half-arches which are associated with decorative reliefs-
are much more highly sophisticated than those of the East African Coast, one would hardly expect to find absolutely similar or common resemblances. The reasonable approach, perhaps, would be to compare the works of the East African builders to that of ordinary builders of small towns from abroad, as they were most likely to be the ones employed at the East African Coast. This is not an easy task because the provincial medieval architecture of Islam is insufficiently documented.

Of all the mihrabs of Pemba, Chwaka Jamia, (PLATE 9) inspite of its present ruinous condition, is undoubtedly, the most elaborate example of all the mihrabs on the Island. Most of the subsequent builders, in one way or the other, have drawn architectural inspiration from it. The general aspect of the mihrab arch and its decoration seems to be characteristic of Indian work. This characteristic of Indian architecture is emphasized by the treatment of its wall surfaces; features which are overworked with decorative embellis-
hments, producing a style which sometimes has been described as aesthetically uninteresting, or to put it crudely as aesthetically barren.

This style of over-elaboration was an adaptation of the Hindu art tradition to Muslim ideals. Apart from the pointed arches (and the domes, Islamic), which may have been brought to India from Persia and Turkistan, the rest was taken over from the Hindu tradition, with, of course, all objectionable idolatrous elements removed. The surface walls were over-loaded with figurative works, depicting all the glorious gods, floral motifs, bosses or rosettes, or both. To give only two good examples, such decorative works are to be seen on the surface walls of Amarvati Stupa (3rd. century A.D.), and Deogarth Temple (6th. century A.D.).

Figurative and animal representations are expurgated in Islam, but other decorative motifs appealed to the Indian builder of mosques, who looked back to his own cultural heritage. In the same way as Persian or Turkish decorative works are recognizable
on aesthetic considerations, the work of an Indian also remains distinctive.

Hindu motifs, therefore, continued to form a major part of Indo-Muslim architecture until at the end of the sixteenth century. The common decorative characteristics, apart from floral motifs, and very few Calligraphic friezes, are often clum-sy. Rosettes laid out in great numbers on a background of floral design or plain surface. The mihrab of Chhota Sona Masjid\(^1\), at Gaur, built between 1493-1519, would give the correct description of such ornamentation. A note on the decoration of the mihrab wall may also be added. A number of rosettes, over hundred of them, consisting of different sizes, spreading from the vault to spandrel and to the upper part of the north wall (qiblah), dominate the decorative composition of the mihrab. Similarly, the rosettes in Bagha Mosque\(^2\), in Rajshahi District, built in A.D. 1523, is richly embellished. The spandrel of the arch and the frame above the niche are over-loaded with some twenty
1. Dani, *Muslim Architecture in Bengal*, Fig. 56.

2. Ibid., Dani, Fig. 69.

It is most probably from here that the second or the Graeco-Zenite style of ornamentation has survived, and that only a person possessing not a degenerate taste could produce this type of architecture. The chief feature of Graeco-Zenite, like that of the Bihari house or Khasaki, was the continuous and numerous decorative motifs, which all were the expansions of the chipāla area. The additional lines unit instead of many smooth, smooth and harmonious, by imported semi-Greek lattice windows into the wall, used as parts of the semi-open front. Illustrator...
rosettes. This is even more so at Kasumba mihrab\textsuperscript{1}, also in Rajshahi District, built in A.D. 1558. The mihrab is excessively ornamented with some fifty or more rosettes along the framework of the mihrab alone. The same is true of Dhunichak Mosque\textsuperscript{2} (15th century), at Gaur, and Darasbari Mosque\textsuperscript{3} (A.D. 1497), also at Gaur. It is interesting to note that all these mosques were built during the fifteenth and the early sixteenth century.

It is most probably from here that the sources of the Chwaka Jamia style of ornamentation is derived, and that only a person possessing such a decorative taste could produce this type of mihrab. The chief feature of Chwaka Jamia, like that of Chhota Sona or Kasumba, was the over-loading of numerous decorative motifs, spread all over the spandrels of the mihrab arch. The difference here is that instead of many rosettes, these were substituted by imported porcelain bowls embedded into the wall, used as part of the decorative embellishments. Many mihrabs in East Africa, for inst-
1. Dani, Muslim Architecture in Bengal, Fig. 78.

2. Ibid., Dani, Fig. 41.

3. Ibid., Dani., Fig. 42.

Like the mosques of India, the pavilions at Muslim Jama'as are of different sizes, so as to give variety. They are spread along the splendid arcades of the shining arch and along the frame-work, or in architectural composition employed in India. The broken fragments of some of the original levels, discovered at the foot of the wall, proved to be Seljuk-Il-""""han pottery of the Seljuq dynasty, while also of the fragments with indented patterns on the inside of the bowls were identified to have been from the Timur dynasty² (c.a. 1300-1575). In addition to the decorative ornaments, the wall is also enamelled with three rows which surrounded with a single-pot-
ance, are decorated with porcelain insets on either side as a substitute for coral bosses, which probably take the place of the rosettes of India. Such a use of bowl insets is to be found on the mihrabs of Mbweni, Shengejuu, Ungwana, Pate, and Kua. And where there are no bowl insets — one on each side — the bosses provide such an embellishment.

Like the rosettes of India, the porcelain at Chwaka Jamia are of different sizes, so as to give variations. They are spread along the spandrels of the mihrab arch and along the framework, an arrangement commonly employed in India. The broken fragments of some of the original bowls, excavated at the foot of the wall, proved to be Celadon-glaze pottery of the Ming dynasty, while some of the fragments with incised patterns on the inside of the bowls were adjudged to date from the Sung dynasty\(^1\) (A.D. 960-1279). In addition to the decorative porcelain, the wall is also ornamented with three stone bosses surrounded with a cable-pat-
tern edging. The largest of the three, is placed about two inches over the point of the mihrab arch, while the remaining two bosses are on either side of the mihrab. The centre and right-hand bosses are of the same pattern, while the left one is ornamented with an extra ring. All three have a scalloped edge to their designs of concentric circles. In design, the bosses of Chwaka Jamia resemble those of Darasbari mihrab.

Architecturally speaking, the fifteenth and sixteenth century mihrabs of India are better examples of Islamic art than Chwaka Jamia, and somehow better proportioned in their various parts of decoration. The quality of execution of the Indian rosettes, and the high standard of their manipulation, and the stability of their construction, clearly shows that the builders of these mosques had acquired greater experience and knowledge of constructional techniques, qualities which are far beyond the reach of the Chwaka builder. Comparison of the Indian rosette and the Chwaka boss reveals
1. Dani, Muslim Architecture in Bengal, Fig. 42. Reference is only given to two bosses along the arch spandrel above the miḥrab.
that the latter is a work of a lesser craftsman, a designer whose knowledge and experience would not warrant him to decorate Bagha or Darasbari. Though hampered by his limited knowledge and technical skill, the Chwaka builder was knowledgeable of the high standards of decorative works of his homelands. And to adorn the walls, he probably used porcelain bowls as a substitute for his Indian rosettes, perhaps to give a closer reflection of Indian styles to the otherwise severe simplicity of the mihrab. This probably satisfied the cravings of his patron and a few enlightened individuals, and above-all, probably satisfied his love for over-elaboration of design, a feature which was considered to be aesthetically attractive in India.

There are, also, further resemblances between Pemba, or East Africa as a whole and Indian Islamic architecture. Peter Garlake writes that "the simple, pointed, ogival or nicked arches, the flat faced false or corbelled pendentive, and the method
of transition between octagon and circular dome
seat achieved by the varying projection of multi-
ple stonework cornices (the latter so character-
eristic of the Kilwa domed buildings) are all
characteristic of India. In India, like East
Africa, the otherwise ubiquitous Islamic Stalac-
tite vaulting is never found—though in East
Africa this can be easily explained as due to
lack of skill and the technical complexities in-
volved\(^1\) 

The simple, pointed and ogival arches of
Indian type are very common in East Africa. The
pointed mihrab arch of Ras-Mkumbu (PLATE 5),
can be traceable, for instance, at Bagerhat, in
East Pakistan, at Sath Gumbad Mosque\(^2\). There are
numerous mihrab arches along the East African
Coast with similar pointed arches. From the fo-
urteenth century, in India, the ogival arches
began to change into the rounded type, though
in East Africa, the rounded type came much later
in date. In Pemba, the mihrab arches of Msuka

2. Dani, Muslim Architecture in Bengal, Fig. 61.

small niche or pilasters recessed on either side, usually two on the centre, sometimes higher or just very seldom below the centre-line of the mihrāb proper. In some instances, these niches are placed inside the aljībār arch, their exact plastic, shape and their number, rest upon the individual builder. Unlike India, aljībār in East Africa very often have niches, except in few cases, such as mātūrī and tumbār, which have one. In India, aljībār windows have four or more, sometimes the number of niches on plain wall exceeds twenty. small niches, often rectangular, on a miniature scale of the aljībār arch, as in the niche of sūra al-nūr, munti, and tūbba bār, except that the number of niches in some cases is two.

The main difference between the Indian and the East African pillars is that the latter are
Mjini and Verani are two good examples.

There is, yet, further resemblance between East African and Indian Islamic architecture. In India, like East Africa, mihrab arches have small niches or pilaster recesses on either side, usually set at the centre, sometimes higher up but very seldom below the centre-line of the mihrāb proper. In some instances, these niches are placed inside the mihrāb arch, their exact placing, sizes and their number, rest upon the individual builder. Unlike India, mihrabs in East Africa very often have niches, except in few cases, such as Mafui and Tundwa, which have one. In India, mihrab arches have four or more (sometimes the number of niches on qiblah wall exceeds twenty) small niches, often reduplicated, on a miniature scale of the mihrāb arch, as in the mihrabs of Msuka Mjini, Mbweni, and Songo Mnara, except that the number of niches in these three is less.

The main difference between the Indian and the East African niches is that the latter are
1. Garlake, The Early Islamic Architecture of the East African Coast, Fig. 30.

2. Ibid., Garlake, Fig. 61.
deep, probably intended to be decorative as well as functional. Most of the Indian niches or recesses, to be more precise, are blind, hardly few inches deep, and therefore purely decorative. Of the few niches in India, used both decoratively and functionally, are those in the mosque of Shahsadpur, in Pabna district, built in the fifteenth century. Examples of these niches are to be found at the mosque of Zafar Khan Ghazi, at Tribeni; in Adina Masjid, at Hazrat Pandua, where the blind niches are set inside the mihrab — as in Kua Jamia; in the main mosque of Songo Mnara; and in many other mihrabs on the East African Coast. Also the blind niches in India which decorate either side of the mihrab are revealed at Chhota Sona Masjid, in Gaur, at Bagha Mosque, in Rajshahi District, and in many others. In India, the extensive use of blind niches is very common on facades and other walls of mosques as well as on tombs, palaces, forts, mausoleums, and domestic buildings. The blind niches at the tym-
1. Dani, Muslim Architecture in Bengal, Fig. 73.

2. Ibid., Dani, Fig. 3.

3. Ibid., Dani, Fig. 22.

4. Garlake, The Early Islamic Architecture of the East African Coast, Fig. 43.

5. Ibid., Garlake, Fig. 48.

6. Cf., Dani, Fig. 57.

7. Ibid., Dani, Fig. 69.
anum of Adina Masjid\(^1\), in Hazrat Pandua; on the Entrance Gate to the fort of Dakhil Darwaza\(^2\), in Gaur; at the Eastern facade of Tantipara Masjid\(^3\), in Gaur; also on the facade of Chhota Sona Masjid\(^4\), in Gaur; on the entrance-gate to Sankarpasa Masjid\(^5\), in Sylhet district; on the exterior walls of the mosque of Qutb\(^6\), in Ashtagram; on the facade of Kusumba Mosque\(^7\), in Rajshahi district; on the Tomb of Shah Niamatullah Wali\(^8\), in Gaur — here the niches or pilaster recesses are functional and decorative as in East Africa; and similarly in the mosque of Shah Niamatullah Wali\(^9\), where the niches — functionally, decoratively and in shape — resemble those of the East African mosques; and also on the Shah Shuja's Gate\(^10\), in Gaur.

Like India, again, mihrab arches such as that of Ras-Mkumbuy, Shengejuu, Chwaka Jamia, Kaole\(^11\), Pate\(^12\), Kimbiji\(^13\), Kua Jamia\(^14\), and many others on the East African Coast have niches of simple rectangular shape, in contrast to those of the reduplication of mihrab proper, already mentioned\(^15\).
1. Dani, Muslim Architecture in Bengal, Fig. 19.

2. Ibid., Dani, Fig. 38.

3. Ibid., Dani, Fig. 39.

4. Ibid., Dani, Fig. 58.

5. Ibid., Dani, Fig. 67.

6. Ibid., Dani, Fig. 75.

7. Ibid., Dani, Fig. 76.

8. Ibid., Dani, Fig. 84.

9. Ibid., Dani, Fig. 85.

10. Ibid., Dani, Fig. 86.
11. Garlake, The Early Islamic Architecture of the East African Coast, Fig. 20.

12. Ibid., Garlake, Fig. 32.

13. Ibid., Garlake, Fig. 40.

14. Ibid., Garlake, Fig. 43.

15. See above, pp. 234, 236.
In India, the rectangular type of niches — some blind and some not — are used in great numbers to decorate walls — this can easily be explained as due to the much bigger sizes of walls, of which many niches will be required to cover the surface area of the exterior walls of mosques, tombs, and mausoleums. And when they are used for interior decoration, they are, like East Africa, used sparingly. But, unlike India, the exterior walls of East African mosques are simple and plain. In India, walls embellished with niches, which do not necessarily imitate the main arch form, are to be found on the facade of Shah Muhammad’s mosque$^1$, in Egarasindur; on a seventeenth century unknown tomb$^2$, near Satgumbad, in Dacca; on the facade of Atiya Jami Masjid$^3$; on the exterior walls of a mosque$^4$, at Gurai; and on the eastern facade of Katra mosque$^5$, in Murshidabad.

The Palace Gate of Gedi (PLATE 14), built during the late fifteenth century is decorated with simple rectangular niches, immediately above
1. Dani, Muslim Architecture in Bengal, Fig. 106.

2. Ibid., Dani, Fig. 95.
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The present text of history, written down at that or later, mathematic as an object experimental science, has been absolutely in most cases, in a verbal never been in India and that includes its stages. And understood, in the present foundation of the evidence on which it was in India for almost every of that evidence rigid must as Vedic.
the gate. The niches, eight in all, completely cover the top part of the gate-frame, in an exactly the same way as the five arched door-ways of the Bagha mosque\(^1\), in Rajshahi district; as on the three arched door-ways of Qutb mosque\(^2\), in Ashtagram; as above the two arched door-ways of Bibi Pari Mausoleum\(^3\), in Dacca; as on the Lalbagh Mosque\(^4\), in Dacca; as on the arched door-ways of Kar Talab Khan’s mosque\(^5\), also in Dacca; as on the four arched door-ways of Katra Mosque\(^6\), in Murshidabad; as above the gateway of the tomb of Alivardi Khan\(^7\), in Murshidabad; similarly also as on the gateway of an unknown tomb\(^8\), in Nauda; and on many other buildings in India.

The extensive use of niches, whether blind or real, reduplicated or of simple rectangular shape, whether used sparingly or numerously, is a characteristic common to India and East Africa at large. Such characteristic, to the present knowledge of the author -- is not to be found in other parts of the Islamic World such as \(^9\)Irāq,
1. See above, pp. 269, 270, n. 7.
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Iran, Syria, Turkey, the U.S.S.R., Morocco, Tunisia, Egypt, and the like. And even if such characteristic was present in the architecture of Islam of other countries, then its use was so small and insignificant as to assume that it was from these countries that the source of the East African Coastal style be derived. But since this characteristic feature is so widely present in Indian architecture; so widely, frequently and extensively used as to be easily recognized as exclusively Indian, and since that the same characteristic is so closely similar to the style of the East African Coast, it may be reasonably assumed that the Coastal builders of the middle period were of Indian origin. The extensive use of well proportioned niches which cover large parts of surface walls of domestic buildings in East Africa, in the same way as the walls in India are decorated, will be observed on the eighteenth century domestic buildings at Shela (PLATES 10, 11, 12, 26, 27), Pate (PLATE 28), and Lamu (PLATES 13, 29, 30),
in Kenya.

The recovery and subsequent dating of imported porcelain and glazed ware, made possible by archaeological excavations of a number of sites along the East African Coast provided additional knowledge in an attempt to build a chronology of architectural development. The Islamic yellow sgraffiato found at Ras-Mkumbuu, in Pemba, are attributed to the late twelfth and early thirteenth centuries by Arthur Lane, but the finder of the sherds assigned the foundation of the settlement to the late thirteenth or early fourteenth century. His difficulty in giving a definite date is understandable as the date of the occurrence of sgraffiato at Ras-Mkumbuu is difficult to fix with precision. However, Peter Garlake is of the opinion that the mosque was built in the late fourteenth century. He writes that "the sherd pattern, not only from inside and outside the mosque, but also over the entire site is identical. It could not, therefore, have been occupied for more
1. Arthur Lane, Early Islamic Pottery,
   pp. 26, 34.
than two hundred years at the most, and such a completely stable sherd pattern is unlikely to span much more than one hundred years, with the building of the mosque taking place towards the end of this period. Not a single Chinese blue and white, Islamic monochrome or black on yellow sherd was found. The absence of the latter may not be significant, but the absence of the former types must mean that the site was abandoned by the mid-fifteenth century if not by the start of this century. The majority of the porcelain compares with fourteenth century, or very early fifteenth century sherds from Gedi, though the earlier sherds are wares of the late thirteenth century. The sgraffiato sherds are also comparable to sherds from late thirteenth and fourteenth century levels at Gedi, Kilepwa, and Ungwana. The evidence all indicates, therefore, an occupation basically of the fourteenth century, but probably stretching from the late thirteenth century to the early fourteenth century. The mosque was, there-
fore, probably built in the late fourteenth century. This is a reasonable assumption if the earlier sherds are wares of the late thirteenth century, but if they belong to the late twelfth century, then the mosque, was probably built in the late thirteenth or early fourteenth century as Kirkman suggests.

The mosque of Ras-Mkumbuu appears to be, therefore, the earliest mosque on the Island of Pemba. The general excellence of the workmanship displayed in the mihrab (PLATE 5), its simplicity and its refined quality which exhibits a superior sense of architectural style over other mihrabs in Pemba, confirms the opinion that the mosque was built at an early date. Garlake classifies the mihrab of this type — for convenience of analysis — to the early classic style, a style which occurs at Tongoni, in the two mosques of Muhembo, in mainland Tanzania, in the small Mosque at Gedi, at Kiburugeni, and in the mosque of Tumbatu (in the small mihrab of the east
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side room). In classifying these mihrabs, that of Ras-Mkumbuu shows a better sense of proportion (in its pointed niche at the apex), and finished with a mature sense of refinement, and the touch of an experienced craftsman.

The mihrab (PLATE 5) consists of a plain, substantial arched recess, with pointed arch of two orders. It shows no sign of being decorated with porcelain of any type. Peter Garlake describes the mihrab to be "surrounded by a plain architrave (about 250 cms. wide and 280 cms. high), formed by pilasters and lintol (all about 42 cms. wide, and projecting 8 cms. from the north wall). The capital is a plain square block of coral about 10 cms. high, normally projecting from, but often flush with, the spandrel and outer jamb face. The semicircular mihrab apse and semidome are regular in shape and have a completely plain plastered finish".

Of the early classic mihrabs, only Ras-Mkumbuu and Tongoni have rectangular niches or recess-
ses, one on either side of the mihrab. They are framed by a single rebate, with their bases at the level of the capital. The complete absence of coral bosses on the early classic mihrabs gives a striking feature to their general appearances — a feature which is very uncommon on mihrabs of the later period, with, perhaps, an exception of the few. This characteristic is significant in all mihrabs of the early period in Iran. For instance the mihrab¹, in a small village of Ashtarjan, ( in the area of Isfahan ), built around 1315-16; the mihrab of Pir-i-Baqran² ( A.D. 1299-1311 ), in Linjan; that of Masjid-i Pa Minar³ ( probably A.D. twelfth century ), in Zavareh; also the mihrab in the main prayer chamber of the congregational mosque⁴ — an example of a typical classic mihrab in Iran — at Veramin ( near Rayy ), built in A.D. 1322-6; similarly a mihrab in the mosque of Imamsadeh⁵ of Shah Husayn, also in Veramin, ( probably fourteenth century ); that of the congregational mosque⁶, in Qazvin ( two-
1. Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar, *Islamic Architecture And Its Decoration*, Fig. 303.

2. Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar, Fig. 290.

3. Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar, Fig. 280.

4. Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar, Fig. 263.

5. Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar, Fig. 253.

6. Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar, Fig. 250.
lfth century); and the mihrab in the mosque of Bayazid al-Bistami Shrine\(^1\), in Bistam (twelfth century), are only few examples of mihrabs without bosses. Mihrabs of the later period in Iran, such as that in the Shah Mosque in Isfahan, and mihrab in the mosque of Chahar Bagh, also in Isfahan, and many others, are without bosses or rosettes. Similarly, the early mihrabs in India, such as that of Adina Masjid\(^2\) (A.D. 1375), in Hamrat Pandua, has only two rosettes, one on either side. That was the time when Iranian influence on Indian architecture was strong. It was only late in the fifteenth century, that the number of rosettes used multiplied. This characteristic would seem to bring the architectural style of mihrabs of the early classic period in East Africa closer together, especially those of Muhembo, Kibirungeni, Tumbatu and Gedi (the minor mosque), in the sense that, like those of Iran, they are without niches or recesses. But on the other hand, the mihrabs of Ras-Mkumbuu, and Tongoni (both of
1. Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar, Islamic Architecture and Its Decoration, Fig. 189.
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the early classic period) contain niches, and this would seem to negate the assumption that they are closer together in their architectural form, and such an evidence is, therefore, not conclusive. This evidence, however, may suggest that the influence on East African architecture during the early classic period was Indo-Iranian, and that it was only early in the sixteenth century that the influence on the Coastal East African architecture was predominately Indian.

The design of the four arched doorways in the eastern wall of the Tumbatu mosque — also belonging to the early classic period — is strikingly different from all other arches of this period, and indeed from all later arches of the coastal mosques. It is evident that the designer of the arcade was responsible for the erection of the arched small mihrab (FIG. 6), of the eastern side room, and that it is similar in form to the four arched doorways. The arcades and the small mihrab are attractively graceful, slender and elon-
gated, with its pointed arch beautifully set high up on the capital, giving a good sense of proportion. The mihrab is simple and plain, with no pilaster recess or niche, coral bosses or ceramic insets. Describing the mihrab in 1920, Pearce writes that "to-day we see it battered and crumbling, but in its decrepitude the touch of the true artist in stone is evident in its perfect proportion and grace of design. It is composed of the roughest blocks of rock, there is no embellishment whatever, and yet its merit is obvious."

The design of the Tumbatu arched doorways is not different from that of arched doorways contained in mosques, shrines, mausoleums, and tombs in Iran. Such a form at the Tumbatu arch — though the arches are larger in size and scale in Iran — shows similarities to the interior arches in the Masjid-i-Jami of Isfahan (A.D. 1088); to that of the entrance to shrine, in Bistam, built between 1299-1313; to the blind arch of the Gunbad-i Surkh of Maraghah, built in A.D. 1147; to the
Fig. 6
Miḥrāb, Tumbatu, Zanzibar.
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doorway of mausoleum of Yusuf Kathir\(^1\), in Azerb- 
ayjan, dated A.D. 1161–2; and to the arched niche 
of a Khangah\(^2\) (Muslim equivalent of the monastery ), 
in Natanz (Central Iran), dated between 1304– 
1325. These arches show an Iranian inventiveness 
of design, and as such, they are differentiated 
from most of the arch forms of other countries of 
Islam. If the Tumbatu arched door-ways and mihrāb 
(of the eastern side room) had adopted its arc-
hed design from abroad, then it is very probable, 
therefore, that the style and their builders came 
from Iran.

Tumbatu was an important settlement in the 
thirteenth century, and that the people of the Is-
land of *Tumbat* were already Muslims at 
that time. This information is given by the Arab 
geographer Yaqūt\(^3\), in his geographical dictionary, 
Mu'\(\text{ā}^\text{jām al Buldān}, 749 years ago. Its importance 
is indicated by the considerable size of the rui-
ns. Major Pearce tells us that "Tumbatu group 
of ruins is the largest and most extensive of any
1. Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar, Islamic Architecture And Its Decoration, Fig. 227.

2. Ibid., Derek Hill and Oleg Grabar, Fig. 268.

3. In his geographical dictionary, Yaqūt says that Languja is a large island in the land of Zanj, where their King lives. The vessels which trade on this coast come there to car­een. ............. The inhabitants have been removed from this island to another called 'Tumbat', the inhabitants of which are Muslims. The word Tumbat is one of the few names of East African Coast mentioned in the writings of medieval writers. That the modern island of Tumbatu is referred to by Yaqūt is clear owing to the statement of the same writer that the people of Languja or, Lendguja, is certainly identical with the modern name 'Unguja', that is, the Swahili name for Zanzibar.
yet discovered in Zanzibar and Pemba Islands, and that they stretch for some two miles\(^1\). By A.D. 1500, the island had either been deserted, or had been of only minor importance. The Tumbatu settlement is, undoubtedly, much older than 749 years, if the city had been flourishing in A.D. 1220. Major Pearce seems to consider favourably the Watumbatu's traditional belief that the town was commenced as early as A.D. 900. He writes that "this date would only allow three centuries for the town to have attained sufficient importance to have been worthy of mention by an Arab geographer in A.D. 1220; and it is evident, from the substantial nature of the buildings, that the town must represent an occupancy of many centuries\(^2\). This assumption may not be far from the truth, but what we know for certain from Mu'ajam al-Buldān is that the settlement was there in A.D. 1220. This may not include all the ruined buildings which exist to-day, as it is possible that more buildings were erected after 1220. But of

2. Ibid., Pearce, pp. 407.
the buildings which were in existence in 1220, a mosque would, most probably be one of them in a Muslim town. If this is so, Tumbatu mosque is probably of earlier date than Ras-Mkumbuu.

Although construction in brick predominates in Iran, there are many buildings which display structures of rubble masonry with a plaster finish, so typical of East Africa. This, in fact, was very much so prior to the seljuk period, that is before A.D. 1220. The mosque at Tumbatu and other buildings there are constructed of rubble-work, bound together with lime-mortar. We have also seen that the design of arches at the Tumbatu mosque are similar to the arches in Iran -- a style which had been already in use from the late eleventh century and continued to the early fourteenth century, and probably even later than that. So the rubble-masonry and the arch design are two distinctive features of the Tumbatu work, supplemented by Yaquti's written record of A.D. 1220. This enables us to classify the Tumbatu mosque as
belonging to the Iranian type, and to date around the thirteenth century, and also to differentiate the style from other possible influence from abroad.

The simplicity of the early mihrāb of Tumbatu is not visible in mosques built during the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. This feature does not occur in the later developed mihrabs of Chwaka Jamia (PLATE 9), Shengejuu (PLATE 6), both in Pemba, and Jamia of Gedi (PLATE 8), in Kenya. Although the apse remains plain, the mihrāb of Shengejuu mosque takes a different shape altogether, and it is difficult to see that its architectural form is derived from the early mihrabs, or to have been continued from the classic mihrabs of the sixteenth century. The mihrāb at Shengejuu consists of five arch orders, and because of such increase in orders, the mihrāb has to be made much wider so that the innermost jamb provides a reasonable niche for a normal and average mihrāb. Many mosques of this later period
have their features in common, because their miḥrāb arches are elaborated in an identical way. Such a development does not seem, however, to be derived from a desire to break up the large plain area of the architrave as Garlake suggests, nor does it enhance the general appearance of the niche.

The earlier miḥrābs of Tumbatu and Ras-Mkum-buu version still remain tasteful and distinctive of the skill and artistic perception of the builders of the earlier period. Similarly, the miḥrābs of Shengejuu and Chwaka Jamia are contained in frames which comprise of two or even more mouldings. At the latter mosque, the mouldings are increased to give four orders to the spandrel frame. As a result the spandrel increases in width and reduces the height of the qiblah frame enough to make the miḥrāb arch — which has already been increased in width to give room for more arch orders — appear even wider and shorter, so that the arch looses its artistic and architectural

2. See above, pp. 300.
identity. This new development subsequently became a common feature in other parts of the East African Coast. In the Shengejuu mihrab, the inner frame is doubled, to comprise two mouldings, instead of four, as in the case of Chwaka Jamia, because the mihrab arch itself consists of five orders, and any increase of mouldings in the spandrel frame, would virtually destroy the entire mihrab.

The mihrab of Msuka Mjini, in Pemba, which was probably built in the late eighteenth century, consists of a semicircular arch. Like many other mosques of the late period, the mosque is characterised by its small size and simplicity of its plaster mouldings. On the left of the mihrab is a pilaster recess which was believed by Major Pearce, to contain a number of hieroglyphics, and the recess is identical in form to the mihrab proper. The suggested hieroglyphics appear to be just patterns cut at random. However, it is probably rightly thought by Pearce, in that the mihrab at Msuka
Mjini is a specimen of another cult. The workmanship of this mosque is notably crude, and for this reason one is inclined to believe that Msuka Mjini has been built or patronised by people of different race, possibly those who are the least versed in the art of building.

During the last three centuries, the Cūmānī Arabs had some bearing upon the history of Zanzibar and Pemba, for they, eventually were to be the rulers of the islands. But the Cūmānis themselves were not sufficiently united and organized at home. When this news reached the Portuguese that civil discord had broken out in Cūmān, the Portuguese seized the opportunity to reverse the defeat which was once inflicted upon them by the Cūmānī Arabs, and recaptured Mombasa. At the same time the discord in Cūmān, caused the Cūmānis of Mombasa to take one side and those of Zanzibar the other, until partisanship had reached such a pitch that Mombasa sent an armed expedition to Zanzibar. The situation was such that peace did

It was characteristic of eighteenth century descriptions of coastal towns and cities, such as that of Mombasa, that the very name had no significance to the native inhabitants of the town. As it is to-day, so it was likely to be the case, and may not be far from the truth. After all, Mombasa may only a poor country, and subject to very particular laws for the building masts. The "Mombasa" was nothing of the Digois' work, and its reality of their belief in the port, however, made a prophet's attempt to substantiate such a baseless and absurd an effort of any sort of description not warranted. The town to-day, as elsewhere, the Digois besought and their request was a plant and structure, and the purpose of their offshore settlement nearly by their country town.
not prevail sufficiently to warrant time for the
building of beautiful mosques.

If one attributes the eighteenth century
mosques of semicircular mihrabs, such as that of
Mauka Mjini, to have been built or patronized by
the Ḫumāni Arabs, as is so likely to be the case,
one may not be far from the truth. After all,
Ḫumān was only a poor country, and without any pa-
rticular bent for the building arts. The Ḫumān
are Muslims of the Ibadite sect, and one point of
their belief has had, however, such a profound
effect in maintaining that a house of God should
be devoid of any sort of decoration and ornament-
ation. Even to-day, in Zanzibar and Pemba, the
Ibadite mosques have their qiblah walls plain and
simple, and the arches of their mihrabs typified
mostly by their rounded forms.
THE FINAL PHASE

During the hundred and forty years between the reign of Seyyid Sa'Id bin Sultan (1804-56) and the present day, so large a number of mosques were built that any detailed analysis of them is impossible within the scope of this paper. Reference is therefore given specifically to a few mosques as examples in an attempt to show a general survey of the influence at work.

When the Portuguese were expelled from Zanzibar and Pemba once and for all, Seyyid Sa'Id's immediate concern was to defend his Sultanate from being ousted by some rival clan of 'Umnān, and to see that his sovereignty in Zanzibar was established in good order. But at the same time
he was confronted with the more pressing problem of reaching a political settlement with one other ruler on the Island, namely Mwenyi Mkuu, who was recognized by the Hadimu tribe — who formed a large population of Zanzibar — as their hereditary ruler.

Seyyid Sa\textsuperscript{0}Id's whole revenue was extremely small. It is stated to be about 250,000 dollars a year; about 150,000 from Zanzibar and 100,000 from Muscat\textsuperscript{1}. This revenue seemed to be very minimal for maintaining his force of three hundred or more troops, and for establishing his new Colony.

When the British officers from H.M.S. Pasteur called at the Island in September, 1829, they were not impressed by what they saw. "The town is large but not populous. The streets are narrow, badly paved and dirty; and most of the best houses have been allowed to fall into decay. The shops are few and badly stocked .......... \textsuperscript{2}".
1. Sir John Gray, History of Zanzibar, From the Middle Ages to 1856, pp. 132.

2. Ibid., Sir John Gray, pp. 130.
This is certainly contrary to the previous records of the earlier times when the settlements of the East African Coast rose to a position of great commercial importance and prosperity, where the Kings and the inhabitants lived in a great luxury, and the buildings indicated a high artistic perception, and displayed a beauty and grace worthy of their importance.

It would seem that during the beginning of the nineteenth century, building activity was restricted for economic reasons, and that the policy of Seyyid Sa'id was manifested in the building of his sovereignty rather than of erecting monuments. The Portuguese control of the Coast did not cease until early in the eighteenth century, and thereafter, he was engaged in eliminating the leading Mazruis from Mombasa. It was not until February 1837, that Rashid bin Salim, the last Mazrui ruler of Mombasa, sued for peace. In the second half of the nineteenth century, however, the Ḥumāni ascendancy over Zanzibar was
1. Sir John Gray, History of Zanzibar From
The Middle Ages to 1856, pp. 133.
strong enough to allow the revival of prosperity and to maintain the independence they had enjoyed some centuries before.

But even after 1837 there were some political events of far-reaching importance which have affected Zanzibar and Pemba. One of these was the separation of Zanzibar from Umaan. The administrative unification of the two had lasted for some seventy two years, that is from A.D.1784, when Zanzibar had accepted the supremacy of the ruler of Masqaṭ, until the death of Seyyid Sa'īd in 1856. The second was the consequent reduction of the dominions claimed by the Sultāns of Zanzibar.

Although Seyyid Sa'īd finally moved his Capital from Masqaṭ to Zanzibar in 1832, he never relinquished his dominion over his Sultanate in Umaan. In Zanzibar he built two palaces, one in the city and the other at Mtoni, about five miles to the north of Zanzibar town. The Sultān fr-
1. Pearce, Zanzibar, The Island Metropolis of Eastern Africa, pp. 130

2. Ibid., Pearce, pp. 261.
sequently travelled to Masqat, and by that time he had already established constant communications with India. The relationship between the Government of India and Seyyid Sa’īd’s Government was an even more intimate one. In A.D. 1809, and again in 1820, the Government of India assisted Seyyid Sa’īd in his military operations, by sending ships and Indian Sepoys to co-operate with the ʿUmāni forces against the Wahabies, who threatened his dominions. In fact, India has had a very lengthy association with Zanzibar, and during the reign of Seyyid Sa’īd there were thousands of Indians living on the Island.

Mention has been made of the fact that in modern Zanzibar, the work of building is carried out by Indians almost exclusively¹. The architects and workmen are amongst those already resident in Zanzibar, and it is very seldom found that craftsmen are imported from India to-day.
1. See above, pp. 245.
There is evidence, however, to show that Indians were involved in building activities as early as the mid. nineteenth century. When Seyyid Sa'id died in 1856, his son Majid bin Sa'id commenced the erection of his father's tomb. Architects, workmen, stone and materials — in this special instance — were imported from India, but until this day the shrine stands uncompleted. Explaining this, Major Pearce, in 1920, wrote that "the unfinished tomb illustrates so forcibly one of the reasons why Zanzibar is deficient in beautiful buildings that a brief explanation may be given here how it comes about that the grave of the founder of Zanzibar is thus left desolate. After Seyyid Sa'id's death, his son Majid dutifully commenced the erection of what undoubtedly would have been a most beautiful and artistic mausoleum to receive the body of his father ... the work proceeded until the time came for the erection of the roof. At this juncture the 'mutawahs', or puritans, of the Ibadhi
sect made a pronouncement that it was impious to cover a grave with a roof; and the further building of the tomb was in consequence abruptly stopped.  

The type of embellishments on the wall of the uncompleted tomb are not superior in execution to any type of the fifteenth century work evident on the mihrabs of that period in Zanzibar. The arched doorway of the shrine is very similar, if not exactly the same as the arches on the facade of Katra Mosque, in Murshidabad, built in A.D. 1723; to the arches of the Shah Poran Mosque, in the Sylhet District (seventeenth century), East Pakistan; and equally similar to those of the Qadam Mubarak Mosque (A.D. 1719), in Chittagong, at Rasulmagar. Similarly they are found on the entrance to the Soneri Mosque (eighteenth century), in Delhi, and to the gateway of the tomb of Safdar Jung (A.D. 1750), also in Delhi. This multifoil arch -- of the Moorish type -- was therefore commonly used in India during
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the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, and the builder of the nineteenth century tomb of Seyyid Sa'īd, in Zanzibar was not outdated, because in India that type of arch was still in use.

When Major Pearce wrote that the unfinished tomb indicated what a beautiful building had been lost to Zanzibar\(^1\), he probably had in mind that there were no buildings of the mid. nineteenth century in Zanzibar which could be comparable to the shrine, both in design and execution. This would be very true as most — if not all — the buildings, including mosques, were built by local Indians with much less skill, and without any perceptible artistic taste. Equally true is the explanation given by Pearce that because of the nature of the Ibaḍite sect, as belonging to the group of puritans of Islam, Zanzibar became to some extent deficient in beautiful buildings. In the middle of the nineteenth century, many Ḥāfīz Arabs followed Seyyid Sa'īd to Zanzibar and established for themselves Arab settlements
of the Ḫumāni type, better known in Zanzibar as "Waarabu wa Kimanga". Innumerable African communities became the followers of Islam, and absorbed some of the elements of the Ibaqīte sect, who regarded themselves as pious Muslims, and dutifully objected to any sort of decorative elements to be introduced into the house of God.

Unlike other states of the Islamic World, the nineteenth and twentieth century mosques in Zanzibar were not patronized by Sultāns. This is because the Sultāns themselves were Ibadites, and they were — and still are — far less in number than the Shāfiʿi Sunnis; the latter forming the majority of the population of Zanzibar and Pemba. The greater number of mosques, therefore, belong to the Shāfiʿis, and the building of most of these mosques was financed by few individual contributions; and the amount of finance was too small to allow the building of distinguished and elegant mosque. On the little money collected, the mosque had to be built on a scale that could
only accommodate a few worshippers. The rather clumsy and pedestrian type of local craftsmen were therefore employed, and were left on their own to express what little they knew. There were also few mosques built by private individuals, and willed as 'w a q f' (religious endowment) to the worshippers. After the death of the builder, these mosques are administered by appointed bodies of trustees.

It is now plain, however, that the forms of decoration are less Islamic than local, and that the construction and design is largely based on what is evident from the surviving buildings of that period in Zanzibar, which were mostly of the Indian type. On most occasions, the desire to imitate the past (i.e. earlier buildings in Zanzibar), was impeded by a lack of technical skill. Contacts with India were growing — but whenever builders in Zanzibar tried to apply the current decorative elements of India, they did so without proper knowledge and understanding. On many
times, this led to serious structural difficulties. Apart from this, the builders were, as they are to-day, in the age of plasterwork. The medium allows the application of ornament and decoration, but the material is handled without relation to structure.

An examination of the nineteenth and twentieth century ornamentation of the mihrābs (Zanzibar and Pemba), will show how far the designs have deteriorated and strayed away from those of the past. The niches of the mosques of Msikiti Mnara (PLATE 20), at Malindi, and that of Jam-i̊ mosque of Forodhani (PLATE 19), both in Zanzibar, as well as that of Chake-Chake (PLATE 21), in Pemba, no longer show the simplicity of form manifest in the mihrābs of Tumbatu (FIG. 6), Ras-Mkumbuu (PLATE 5), or Shengejuu (PLATE 6), but have developed into unattractive and shapeless niches, characterised by irregularity in design and form. From the early nineteenth century, many new elements in design were introduced, and as a
result produced entirely different forms. The grace, unity and discipline of the early designs gave way, not to the simplified forms and imitations of the past mihrabs, but to a series of dull and exaggerated distortions of basic forms. When these new elements were applied, they became, more often than not, meaningless and undisciplined deformities.

In the mosque of Msikiti Mnara (PLATE 20), built about A.D. 1831, by Mohamed Abdul Qadir al-Mansabi, the mihrab is of a stilted four centered arch with a pointed end to its apex. The mihrab is framed by a moulding with a spandrel of one order. Inside the niche there are a number of blind recesses, composed somehow, in a similar arrangement to a number of other recesses of the nineteenth century mosques. Their form and workmanship, however, show a typical degeneration of the design of this period.
The mīhrāb arch of the Jāmi‘i mosque, at Forodhani (PLATE 19), in Zanzibar, built probably during the end of the nineteenth century, has close affinities with Msikiti Mnara. The forms of the two mīhrābs are exactly the same, except that the Forodhani is a three centered arch instead of four. The mīhrāb is contained in a simple frame, and is ornamented with lines and dots. The stucco shows the limitations of the craftsman's skill, and has no true relationship with the qiblah wall. The shape of this type of mīhrāb, has, however, since that time, been favourably considered by builders and worshippers alike, to be a respectable representation of art form in mosques, and became, a standard design of subsequent mīhrābs.

In reference to stucco, the ornamented plasterwork incised on the outer frame of the mīhrāb, at Chake-Chake (PLATE 21 -- early twentieth century), in Pemba, and treated without structural consideration to the niche, demonstrates an
unfortunate vulgarity of design, and this is exactly what one would expect to find in buildings designed by amateurs who have limited technical competence and less artistic taste to command. The two bosses (or if they were meant to be rosettes) on either side of the mihrab are by no means comparable to the technical standard and beauty of the earlier ones. The lack of the designers' aesthetic taste is further demonstrated by hanging three shells of ostrich eggs on the three jamb arches. The blind recesses inside the Chake-Chake mihrab are closely related to those of Msikititi Mnara, and to many other nineteenth and twentieth century mosques. They are easily identified by their lack of order and coherence.

The mihrab of Nungwi (PLATE 22), built about A.D. 1900, displays characteristic features of the mihrabs of the small provincial villages in Zanzibar. Nungwi is a small village situated at the most northerly headland of Zanzibar Island, and it is populated by Africans of the Wahadimu
tribe, plus few Indians better known as Kumbaros, who have lived with the Wahadimus for some generations. Many of the mosque builders of this sort are the local villagers themselves, and in the case of mosques at Nungwi, Bwejuu (PLATE 23), Makunduchi, Paje, Kiwengwa and Jambiani, the Wahadimus were assisted, in many instances, by Kumbaros, who are accepted as members of the Wahadimu Community. Many of these Kumbaros are new comers to the skills of building, for it is not inherited as a trade. There are some cases where a third class type of builders, may it be Indians or Africans, are employed for very little money. The labour is provided free by the villagers, and materials, such as stone, lime and timber (boriti) are easily obtained locally and free of charge, or with minimal payment.

Many of these mosques are meant to imitate and to retain, in their arced apses, a form which goes back to earlier styles of mihrabs. The choice of mihrab of any earlier mosque, which
is to be imitated here, is decided upon by the old man of the village, a decision which is happily accepted by the rest of the villagers. For instance, the mihrab of Nungwi is said by the elders of the village, to be a revival of Kizimkazi-Dimbani, which they say (Nungwi) was built about A.D. 1900, a date much more in accord with its construction, but in a style which displays a vulgarity of form and design, far from the grace and beauty of the original.

The difference between the style of the mihrab of Nungwi — which may be said to represent the style of the provincial mosques of the nineteenth and twentieth century mosques of Zanzibar and Pemba — and those of the earlier ones, is great. An examination of these mihrabs will show how much of the ornamentation, technical ability and sense of creative design of the past has been forgotten. The builder's art of the mihrab has reached its complete degeneration, where nothing intelligible remains. The story of Islamic build-
The author was told by elders of Nungwi in 1962, that the local builders of the mosque had instructions from the Sheha (the old man of Nungwi) to imitate the mihrab of Kizimkazi-Dimbani, as it was, and still is, considered to be the oldest, and therefore, the most respectable mosque of the Island.
ding has ended; the desire to preserve the past has stopped, and not even the shadow of a tradition in the building arts now remains.
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The Arab names appear without article (al-) and without titles such as Sultan, Seyyid, Shaikh etc., which are usually given to the names.

Swahili and Arabic words and technical terms also occur in the text.

Prefixes like abu-, meaning father of; ibn-, son of; al-, the; and dhu-, possessor of, are incorporated.

Arabic names are accentuated with guttural which has no correspondent in English, and therefore appear in dotted letters as ṣ and ṣ so as to be properly sounded. Similarly, some names are given the macron (ä), generally over a vowel — where in most cases the accent falls — to show that it has a long sound, as ā.
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<td>miḥrāb at-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India:</td>
<td>8, 22, 45, 50, 82, 83, 87, 90, 98, 99 n.1, 144, 147, 152, 154, 197, 255, 260, 264, 265, 267, 269, 271, 274, 276, 278, 315, 317, 318, 320, 323; government of, 288; north-, 20; miḥrābs in, 288; miḥrābs of, 262; rosettes of-, 260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Indian:                     | 16, 24, 29, 241, 243, 245, 254, 278, 290, 323; -architecture, 254, 278; builder, 255; building, 92; building craftsmen, 245; -communities, 241; goods, 90; -Islamic architecture, 264, 267; mosques, 147; niches, 267, 269; Ocean, 225; origin, 278; ports, 239; rosettes, 262, 264; sepoys, 315; ships,
INDEX

237; -style of rosettes, 264; traders, 24; type of arches, 265

Indians: 50, 144, 237, 241, 243, 315, 317; local-, in Zanzibar, 320, 328

Indicopleustes, Cosmas, 25

Indo-Iranian, 290

Indo-Islamic, architecture, 144, 145 n.1, 148 n.3, 319 n.5

Indo-Muslim, architecture, 256

Indus, 16

Inscription: kufic-, 156 n.2, 171, 172 n.2, 175, 179, 181, 187, 189, 195, 199, 203, 205, 212; phrasing of- 177

Inscription, at Kisimkazi-Dimbani Mosque, 177, 179, 183, 201

Iran: 33, 154, 183, 185, 191, 214, 218, 278, 288, 298; central-, 294

Iranian: 22, 29, 30, 33, 48, 175; -influence on Indian Architecture, 288; -inventiveness of design, 294; -type, 300

Iranians, 27
INDEX

Iraq, 64, 154, 183, 189, 276

Iraq, al-, 124

Iraqis, 116

Isfahan, 134, 286, 288

Ishâq ibn Ḥasan, Sultan of Zanzibar, 89

Iskandar, 191

Islam: 35, 132, 136, 143, 144, 165, 166, 169, 173, 255, 294, 320, 322; architecture of-, 278; civilization of, 138; encyclopaedia of-, 118 n.1; medieval architecture of-, 254; the spirit of-, 122 n.2

Islamic: 185, 323; architecture, 154, 156 n.3, 164, 184 n.1, 186 n.1, n.5, 188 n.1, n.2, 194 n.1, 200 n.1, 215 n.1, 221 n.1, 222, 229, 230 n.1, 248 n.1, 251 n.1, 268 n.1, 270 n.4, 273 n.11, 283 n.1, 285 n.1, 287 n.1, 289 n.1, 293 n.3, 295 n.1; Art, 186 n.3, 188 n.3, 190 n.1, 198 n.2, 262, 293 n.2; buildings, 183, 197, 206, 216, 329; civilization, 138; decorative art, 167;
INDEX

-faith, 149; forms, 94; politics, 173;
pottery, 280 n.1, 283 n.2; pre-, 29;
sect, 26 n.2, 119, 128; settlers, 162;
sherd, 281; stalactite vaulting, 265;
state, 136; -world, 164, 169, 189, 191,
219, 276, 322; -yellow sgraffiato, 279

Islamization, 149
Isma^ilia, 126
Isma^ilis, 116
Isnacashiria, 126
Isn^ashirias, 130
Ithnacashiriyaa, 116
Ivan, 146

Jalal ad-Din-al-Husayn, 187
Jam, minaret of-, 185
Jambiani: 34 n.1; mosque, 328
Jami^i Mosque, at Forodhani, Zanzibar, 324
Jarudias, 128
INDEX

Jawhar, ibn—Ahmad ibn Sirah, 47, 173
Jews, 18
Jibriyl, 128
Jubayr, ibn—, 148 n.2

Kalsania, 126
Kanbalu, Island of—, 47, 48, 53
Kaole, Mosque, miḥrāb arch of—, 271
Karbala, 119
Kartal, 50
Kar Talab Khan, Mosque, 276
Kasumba, Miḥrāb, 258
Kasumba Mosque, facade of—, 271
Katana, 57
Katara, Mosque: 274, 318; doorways of—, 276
Khalfan bin Ahmed, 110
Khangah, arched niche of—, 294
Khashab, min—al—, 58
Khasan, 45
Khumbars, 115
Khurasan, 124
INDEX

Khwajah Abu Naar Parsa, Shrine, 216
K i b a n d a, 143
Kiburugeni, Mosque, 282, 288
Kichakachoni, 57
Kichokochwe, 247
Kilepwa, 281
Kilindini, 191
Kilwa: 10, 56, 58, 62 n.1, 74, 76, 78, 83, 92, 101, 116, 117, 152, 181, 220, 265; -chronicle, 74, 78; coinage of-, 87; coins of, 89; history of, 67 n.1; kingdom of, 74; notes of, 59 n.2, 63, 64, 66, 95
Kilsar, Tomb, 216
Kimbiji, mihrāb arch, 271
Kirk, Sir John, 75 n.1
Kirkman, J.S., 225, 227, 282
Kiwani, mihrāb, 247
Kiwengwa, 34 n.1, 89, 328
Kizimkazi, 34 n.1, 156 n.2, 181, 183, 193
Kizimkazi-Dimbani: 207, 214; -bosses, 193, 199, 209; mihrāb, 171, 191, 193, 199,
INDEX

206, 212, 219, 224, 329, 330
n.1; Mosque, 154, 155, 169,
171, 175, 181, 183, 189, 191,
206, 218, 324

Koran, 64, 128, 132, 139

Koranic, 169, 185, 212

Krumm, B., 20, 27, 28 n.1, n.2, 29, 30

Kua, mihrāb, 260

Kua Jamia: mihrāb arch of-, 271; -Mosque, 269

Kūfah, al-, 189

Kufic: 183, 185, 187, 189; -character, 175; inscri-
scription, 156 n.2, 171, 172 n.2, 175, 179,
181, 187, 189, 195, 199, 203, 205, 212; Kizimkazi-Dimbani-, 189; lettering, 187, 206;
pseudo-, 201; -script, 183, 189, 193, 195;
-writing, 185

Kumbaros, 328

Lalbagh, Mosque, 276

Lamu: 95; domestic building at-, 278

Lancaster, Captain, 237
INDEX

Lane, A., 279, 280 n.1, 283 n.2
Lenguja, 295 n.3
Latham, R.E., 67 n.2
Latin, historian, 23 n.1
lendguja, 295 n.3
Levy, R., 35, 36 n.1
Lewis, B., 137 n.1
Linjan, 286

Mafūfir, 14
Mada'in, al-, 146
madhhab, 123
madhhabs, 117, 123
Madīnah, 211 n.2
Madrasah: 90, 216; Ulugh beg-, 216
Mafāris, 243
Mafia, 12, 50, 78, 239
Mafui, Mosque, 267
Mahmūd, of Ghaznah, 134, 144
Majid bin Said, Sultan of Zanzibar, 325
INDEX

Makkah, 16, 117, 166, 211 n.1, 213 n.1
Makunduchi: 34 n.1, 231; Mosque, 328
Malay, 29
Malikites, 115
maliknajlu, 51
Malindi, Kenya: 55, 73 n.2, 95, 98; King of, 98
Malindi, Zanzibar, 324
Mama Hatun Turbesi, 207
Mameluk, 12
Mamluk, period, 216
Mandhiri, al-, 74, 76
Mansabi, al-, Mohamed Abdul Qadir, 325
Mapharite, 14
Mapharatic, 12
Maraghah, 291
Marco Polo: 66, 67 n.2, 68, 69 n.2; travels of, 69 n.1
Masalik wal-Mamalik, al-, 140, n.1
Masjid-i-Jami, of Isfahan, 291
Masjid-i-Pa Minar, 286
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Masqat</td>
<td>111, 113, 309, 313, 315</td>
<td>government of, 111; prince of, 110; ruler of, 313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mas'ud III</td>
<td>185</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mas'udi, al-</td>
<td>43, 45, 47, 48, 49 n.1, 50, 51, 53, 55, 82, 140 n.1</td>
<td>historian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauny, R.</td>
<td>62 n.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mawahib, Abu-al-</td>
<td>64, 65 n.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maymūn al-Qaddah</td>
<td>173</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mazrui: Arabs</td>
<td>108, 111</td>
<td>domination, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mazruis</td>
<td>116, 311</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mbomaji, Mosque</td>
<td>177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mbweni, mihrab</td>
<td>260, 267</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medouna</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memons</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menouthias</td>
<td>12, 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesopotamia</td>
<td>189</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meynard, de- C.B.</td>
<td>49 n.1, 52 n.1, 140 n.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mfalme</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michamvi</td>
<td>34 n.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Ages</td>
<td>243</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Mikan, 48

Mildive Islands, 60

m i m, 202

Min-al-Hasb, 58

Min-al-Khashab, 58

m i n b a r, 167
INDEX

Ming, dynasty, 260
Mkumbuu, 86
Mnarani, Mosque, 177
Mogadishu, 56, 220
Mohamed Abdul Qadir, al-Mansabi, 325
Mohamoggallana, 197
Mombasa: 55, 56, 58, 95, 98, 103, 106, 107, 111, 191, 237, 239, 305, 311; –harbour, 97, 108
Moorish, arch, 318
Moors, 243
Morocco, 278
Mosambique, 95, 101
Msikiti wa Choroko, 92
Msikiti Mnara: mihrab, 325, 326, 327; mosque, 324, 325
Msuka Mjini, mihrab, 303, 307
Msuka Mjini, Mosque: 246, 305; mihrab arch of, 265, 267
Mtangani, mihrab, 247
Mtende, 34 n.1
Mtombi, 313
INDEX

Muṣāwiyyah, ibn-abi-Sufyān, 38
Muḥammad: Prophet of Islam, 128, 130, 132, 166, 177; prophethood, 130
Muḥammad, grandfather of Sáīd Abu Imrān Musa, 171
Muḥammad, ibn-al-Zaidbud, 47
Muḥammad ibn Idrīs al-Shāfi’ī, 115
Muḥammad, Rukun al-Dīn al-Dabūlī, 83
Muḥarram: 119; tenth of-, 123
Muhembo, Mosque, 282, 288
Muḥibbiyn, lil Ahl-ul-Bayt, 132
Muṣiss, al-Ḥāritid, 173, 175
Muṣjam al Buldān, 78, 294, 296
Mukha/Mocha, 14
Murshidabad, 274, 276, 318
Murūj al-Dhahab, 45, 49 n.1, 82, 140 n.1
Musa al-Kāzim, the 7th. Imām of Shi‘ah, 126
Muslim: 57, 115, 144; architects, 165; architecture, 257 n.1, 259 n.1, 163 n.1, 266 n.2, 270 n.1, 272 n.1, 275 n.1, 277 n.3, 289 n.2, 319 n.2; community, 115; converts, 63; dynasty, 173; globe-trotters, 60;
INDEX

-ideals, 255; immigrants, 147, 149; Indo-
ar
d
d
architecture, 256; lands, 82; non-, 149;-

-places of worship, 90; population, 53;

-settlers, 151; town, 298; traders, 138;
world, 121

Muslims: 55, 66, 78, 84, 151, 167, 241, 294, 295
n.3, 307, 322; population of-, 53

Mussalmans, 53

Musta'lis, 116

mutawahs, 317

Mutawakkil, al-, 119

Muyuni, 57

Mwenyi Mkuu, ruler of Zanzibar, 309

msimuu, 143

Nadir Shah, 134

Najaf, al-, 119

nasakh, 191

Natans, 294

Nauda, 276

Nau-ruż, 33, 35
INDEX

Nearchus, 18

Negro, -population, 83

Ngamiani, town known as--, 73 n.2

Ngwana, 86

Nungwi: 34 n.1, 155, 231, 327, 330 n.1; mihrab, 327, 329; mosque, 328

Nusayris, 126, 130

Nuzhat, al Mushtaq, 53, 140 n.1

Opone, 26 n.1

Oriental, 20, 28 n.1, 44 n.1

Orthodox: 119; Sunni, 115, 116

Ottoman, 12

Oudh, Court of-, 144

Ousley, W., 44 n.1, 54 n.1, 140 n.1

Pabna, district, 269

Paje, 34 n.1

Pakistan, East-, 265, 318

Pakistani-Indians, 116

Parthia, 22
INDEX

Parthian, 24

Pate: domestic building; 278; chronicle, 37, 111, 150 n.1; chronicler, 41; mihrāb, 260; mihrāb arch of—, 271


Periplus, 8, 9 n.1, 11, 12, 14, 16, 24

Persia: 18, 22, 33, 34 n.1, 35, 36 n.1, 37, 43, 45, 92, 124, 126, 132, 134, 144, 152, 173, 189, 255; builders from, 92; rule of, 20
**INDEX**

**Persian:** 24, 27, 29, 30, 33, 43; art, 44 n.2; colonists, 233; culture, 175; decorative work, 255; -emperor, 146; Gulf, 8, 20-22, 23 n.1, 31, 130, 139; king, 20, language, 33; merchants, 21, 70; origin, 80; settlements, 76; settlers, 33; traders, 24, 70; words, 31; work, 231

**Persians:** 20-22, 31, 37, 41, 47, 64, 70, 76, 82, 134; -shipbuilders, 21

**Pete,** 57

**Petra,** 16

**Phalangis,** 26 n.1

**Pi-p’a-lo,** the country of-, 72

**Pir-i-Baqran,** mihrāb, 286

**Pokomo,** 86

**Pongwe,** 34 n.1

**Pope, A.U.,** 44 n.2

**Portugal:** 95, 96, 101, 103, 105, 106; king of, 100

**Portuguese:** 29, 30, 78, 84, 86, 95, 98, 100, 103, 105, 107, 157, 305, 308; -coastal states of East Africa, 106; -control of
INDEX

the East African Coast, 311; empire, 107; fighting skills of the--, 100; -interest, 97; -invasion, 107, 222; possessions, 95; settlements, 101, 103; -ship, 98, 101; soldiers, 105, 107; travellers, 237; voyagers, 223 n.2

Ptolomaios, 27

Ptolomy, geographer, 24, 26 n.1

Qadim Mubarak, Mosque, 318

Qādi, of Zanzibar and Pemba, 123, 132

Qādir, al, Ḥabasid, 121

Qarmatians, the, 126, 128, 150

Qayrawān, al--., 173

Qazvin, Congregational mosque in--, 286

qiblah, 166, 183, 205, 219, 301; -walls, 206, 267, 307, 326

Qulsum, 47

Qumm, 132
INDEX

Qutb, Mosque: 271; doorways of—, 276
Qutub-Šāhs, 87

ra, 202

Raqqādah, 173

Rajshahi, district, 256, 258, 269, 271, 276
Rashid bin Salim, Mazrui ruler of Mombasa, 311
Ras-Mkumbuu, 47, 86, 157, 228 n.1, 279
Ras-Mkumbuu: mihrāb of, 247, 249, 250, 282, 284, 288, 301, 324; mihrāb arch of, 265, 271; Mosque, 225, 249, 282, 298; —ruins, 225

Rasulmagar, 318

Ravasco, Ruy Lourenço, 98, 100
Ravestein, E.G., 99 n.1
Rayy, 286

Reusch, 117, 118 n.1

Rice, Talbot, 186 n.3, 188 n.3, n.4, 190 n.1, n.2, 198 n.2, 293 n.2

Rockhill, W.W., 71 n.1, 73 n.1, n.3
INDEX

Rujār, 53, 140 n.1

Rum, 45

Sabeans, 14

Sa'd, ibn-, 168 n.1

Sa'd, ibn-abi-Waqqāṣ, 146

Sa'd ibn Abubakr, Atābegs prince and ruler of Khūrasān, 134

safar, month of-, 177

Safawids: 126; dynasty, 132

Safdar Jung, 318

Sāhib, al-Zanj, 25

Sa'id, As-, Abu 6Imran 6Musa, 171

Sa'id bin Sultān, Seyyid, 111, 113, 121, 241, 308, 309, 311, 313, 315, 317, 320

Said el-Ma'āmirī, 107

Salehias, 128

sālimā, 202

Samarkand, 216

Samarqand, 63
INDEX

Sanchi, 197
Sanguinetti, B.R., 65 n.2, 140 n.1
Sanjar, 47
Sankarpasa Masjid, 271
Sanskrit, 29
Sariputa, 197
Sassanian, 22
Sassanid, 23 n.1
Satgumbad, 274
Sath Gumbad, Mosque, 265
Seif bin Ahmed, 110, 159 n.1
Seif bin Sultan, 159 n.1
Seljuq: 189; architecture, 187; period, 298;
   Sultan, 189
Semitic races, of South Arabia, 233
Seyyid Barghash, see Barghash
Seyyid Sa'id bin Sultan, see Said bin Sultan
Sgraffiato, 227
sgraffito, 195
Shafi'i: 115, 117, 126, 134, 322; Orthodoxy, 117;
INDEX

Sunni, 322; rites, 56, 63, 115, 116, 117

Shafi'is, 322

Shah, Mosque, 288

Shah Isma'il, 132

Shah Muhammad, Mosque, 274

Shah-nameh, 191

Shah Niamatullah Wali: Mosque, 271; Tomb, 271

Shah Poran, Mosque, 318

Shah Shuja, Gate, 271

Shahr-i Sabs, 218

Shahristani, 126

Shahzadpur, Mosque, 269

Sharifs, 64

Shatris, 243

sheha, 330 n.1

Sheikh Sultan ibn Sulaiman, see Sultan ibn Sulaiman

Sheikh As-Sa'id Abu 'Imrān Musa, see Sa'id Abu

'Imrān Musa

Sheila, domestic building at-, 278

Shengejuu: 225; mihrāb, 247, 260, 300, 301, 303

Shengejuu Mosque: 225; mihrāb arch of-, 271
INDEX

Shi'ah: 117, 119, 121, 123; religious festivals, 123
Shi'ahs, 123, 124, 126
Shi'ism, 119, 124, 126
Shi'i: 130, 173; community, 126; rite, 126, 132; sect, 126, 130
Shi'ites, 116, 119, 121, 132, 173
Shiraz, 33, 34 n.1, 80, 134, 232
Shirazian: 232 n.1; Arab-, 231; architecture, 225; design, 231; work, 231, 235
Sind, 144
Siraf, 41, 43, 47, 48
Sitte Melik Türbe, 207
Somotra, 95
Sofala, 78, 95, 101, 152
Somali: 179; Coast of-, 55, 72
Somalia, 10, 11, 72
Somaliland, Eastern-, 22, 23 n.2
Soneri, Mosque, 318
Songo Mnar: mihrab, 267; -main mosque, 269
INDEX

Sulaimanians, 128
Sulayman ibn Al-Hasan ibn Da'ud, 76, 78
Sulayman ibn al-Malik al-Adil, Sultan, 66
Sultan: 64, 65 n.1, 78, 101, 110, 189, 313; of Kilwa, 89
Sultan o'Ali, 177
Sultanate, 110
Sultan ibn Sulaiman, Sheikh, 177
Sultans: 252, 313, 322; mausoleum, 220
Sung, -dynasty, 260
Sunni: Church, 121; Orthodox of-, 115; -Shafi'i, 134
Sunnis, 134
Sunnite: 121, 132; Islam, 132, 134; rite, 134;
Shaikhs, 124
Sunnites, 119, 124
Surah, 209, 212
Susa, 197
Swahili: 20, 24, 28 n.1, 29, 30, 31, 51, 57, 243;
name, 295
INDEX

Sylhet, district, 271, 318

Syria, 16, 20, 22, 48, 175, 183, 185, 187, 214, 216, 278

Syrian, type, 185

Syrians, 37, 150 n.1

Tabari, al-, Muhammad ibn-Jarir, 42 n.1, 148 n.1

Tabarias, 128

Tabriz, 134, 191, 214

Tamerlane, see Timur Lang

Tanga, 72, 73 n.2

Tanganyika, coast of-, 32 n.1, 46 n.2, 59 n.1

Tantipara Masjid, 271

Tanzania, 72, 282

Tercan, 207

Terry, J., 145 n.1, 148 n.3, 319 n.5, n.6

Theal, G.M., 102 n.1

Timur Lang, 216

Tongoni: 282, 288; mihrab, 284

Tuan Chieng-Shih, 68, 70
INDEX

Tulun, ibn—, Mosque, 187

Tumbat, 78, 294, 295 n.3

Tumbatu: 34 n.1, 78, 80, 224, 288, 294, 295 n.3, 296; arched doorways, 291, 294; Mosque, 290, 298; small mihrab, 282, 290, 294, 300, 301, 324; ruins, 294

Tundwa, Mosque, 267

Tunisia, 278

Turkey, 167, 183, 185, 207, 209, 214, 216, 278

Turkish: 29; decorative work, 255

Turkistan, 255

Tribeni, 269

Twaka, kingdom of, 86

Ukomo, 86

Ulugh beg, Madrasah, 216

Uman: 20, 48, 50, 82, 107, 108, 111, 113, 116, 155, 158, 159 n.1, 243, 305, 307, 308, 313; capital of—, 47; colonies, 76; rulers, 108; tribes of, 76
INDEX

Umanli 307; Arabs, 47, 76, 107, 113, 116, 305, 307, 320, 322; ascendancy, 311; forces, 315; governors, 159 n.1

Umanis, 47, 305

Umar, ibn-al-Khattab, 132, 136

Umayyad: caliphs, 37, 38, 144, 150 n.1; empire, 41; period, 38, 136

umrah, 202

Unguja, 295 n.3

Ungwana: 281; mihrab, 260

Uroa, 34 n.1, 89


Utenzi, 86

Utondwe, Mosque, 177

Utrush, al-, Mosque, 216

Uzgend, 187

Veramin: 267; mihrab at-, 286

Village Mosque, 214
INDEX

Waarabu wa Kimanga, 322
Wadebuli, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 90
Wahabies, 315
Wahadimu, tribe, 327, 328
Walid, al-, I, 39, 147
Waliyyu llah, 123
waqf, 323
Washirazi, 35
Watumbatu, tribe, 296
Wazir, 207
Werner, A., 27

Yakut, 111
Yaman, al-, 10, 14, 16
Yaqut ibn Abdulla Ul-Rumi, geographer, 78, 79 n.1,
148 n.2, 294, 295 n.3; —written record, 298
Yazid II, 38
Yazidis, 126
Yeman, northern, 128
Yemen, 47, 65 n.1
INDEX

Yorubi, 108, 159 n.1

Yosuf Kathir, doorways of mausoleum, 294

Yu-Yang-tsa-tsu, 68, 71 n.1

Zafar Khan Ghazi, Mosque, 269

Zaid, son of Zain-ul-Abidin, 126, 128

Zaidia: 126; -Imam, 128

Zaidia, 126, 128

Zaidite, 130

Zaidites, 126

Zain-ul-Abidin, Cali II, 126

Zand, Afrik Shah, 23 n.2

Zang: 27; king of-, 23 n.2, 27

Zang, 25, 27

Zangel, 27

Zanj: 27, 47, 50, 53, 295; -coast, 55; country of, 55, 64; kings of, 51; the land of, 45, 56; pagan-, 63; -sea, 47; town of, 55; the word-, 25
Zanzibar: 12, 22, 25, 33, 35, 37, 43, 45, 56, 57, 66, 68, 74, 76, 78, 80, 83, 84, 86, 89, 90, 95, 98, 100, 101, 103, 105, 108, 110, 111, 113, 115-117, 121, 123, 126, 134, 154, 155, 169, 181, 193, 222, 224, 225, 231, 233, 237, 239, 241, 243, 250, 296, 305, 307-309, 311, 313, 315, 317, 318, 320, 322-324, 326, 327, 329; British rule in-, 31; coast of-, 89; coinage of, 87; coins of, 89; description of, 67 n.2; government of, 108; -harbour, 237; inhabitants of, 78; kings of, 155, 100; ruins of, 231; -tradition, 83

Zanzibaris, 100

Zeng, 27

Zeng, 27

Zing, 27

Zingion: 26 n.2; Ocean of, 25
INDEX

Zingis: 25, 26 n.1; cape-, 27
Zinj, 27
Zoroastrian, -Persia, 20
Zoroastrians, 18